

1. Title page for the state’s substance use disorder (SUD) demonstration or the SUD component of the broader demonstration

The state should complete this title page at the beginning of a demonstration and submit as the title page for all monitoring reports. The content of this table should stay consistent over time. Definitions for certain rows are below the table.

State	<i>Michigan</i>
Demonstration name	<i>Michigan’s 1115 Behavioral Health Demonstration</i>
Approval period for section 1115 demonstration	<i>04/05/2019 - 9/30/2024</i>
SUD demonstration start date^a	<i>10/01/2019</i>
Implementation date of SUD demonstration, if different from SUD demonstration start date^b	<i>N/A</i>
SUD (or if broader demonstration, then SUD -related) demonstration goals and objectives	<i>This demonstration will allow Michigan to broaden the crucial component of residential substance disorder services in the state’s existing network of SUD providers and SUD benefits to provide a broader continuum of care for beneficiaries seeking help with a SUD, including withdrawal management services in residential treatment facilities that meet the definition of an IMD. The benefits will continue to be provided through a managed care delivery system. The state and CMS expect that offering a full continuum of SUD treatment and recovery supports based on American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM) criteria or other nationally recognized, SUD-specific program standards, will result in improved health outcomes and sustained recovery for this population</i>
SUD demonstration year and quarter	<i>DY2Q2</i>
Reporting period	<i>01/01/2021 – 03/31/2021</i>

^a **SUD demonstration start date:** For monitoring purposes, CMS defines the start date of the demonstration as the *effective date* listed in the state’s STCs at time of SUD demonstration approval. For example, if the state’s STCs at the time of SUD demonstration approval note that the SUD demonstration is effective January 1, 2020 – December 31, 2025, the state should consider January 1, 2020 to be the start date of the SUD demonstration. Note that the effective date is considered to be the first day the state may begin its SUD demonstration. In many cases, the effective date is distinct from the approval date of a demonstration; that is, in certain cases, CMS may approve a section 1115 demonstration with an effective date that is in the future. For example, CMS may approve an

extension request on 12/15/2020, with an effective date of 1/1/2021 for the new demonstration period. In many cases, the effective date also differs from the date a state begins implementing its demonstration.

^b Implementation date of SUD demonstration: The date the state began claiming federal financial participation for services provided to individuals in institutions for mental disease.

2. Executive summary

The executive summary should be reported in the fillable box below. It is intended for summary-level information only. The recommended word count is 500 words or less.

Implemented on October 1, 2019, this executive summary and iteration of the monitoring report reflects demonstration year 2, quarter 2 report for Michigan's 1115 Behavioral Health Demonstration for the period 01/1/2021 – 03/31/21. With regards to the SUD Implementation Plan, MDHHS is finalizing training dates for the ASAM Continuum full implementation in FY22. MDHHS completed one of the SUD HIT milestone 3 objectives, by developing a SUD monitoring dashboard. This enhancement allows Prepaid Inpatient Health Plans (PIHP) to review their regional metrics compared to the state average for all BH 1115 SUD federal reporting. MDHHS is working with three PIHP regions to begin implementing the eConsent management project in FY21. The state is continuing to move forward with all identified activities per recognized timelines.

3. Narrative information on implementation, by milestone and reporting topic

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
1. Assessment of need and qualification for SUD services			
1.1 Metric trends			
1.1.1. The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services	X		Medicaid Beneficiaries continue to be served at the same level, no change in the assessment of need for SUD services.
1.2 Implementation update			
1.2.1. Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 1.2.1.i. The target population(s) of the demonstration	X		The state is not expanding the clinical criteria beyond the established SUD diagnoses.
1.2.1.ii. The clinical criteria (e.g., SUD diagnoses) that qualify a beneficiary for the demonstration	X		Providers continue to use the ASAM criteria to determine the appropriate level of care per beneficiary.
1.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services	X		

Medicaid Section 1115 SUD Demonstrations Monitoring Report – Part B Version 3.0
 Michigan *Michigan's 1115 Behavioral Health Demonstration*

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
2. Access to Critical Levels of Care for OUD and other SUDs (Milestone 1)			
2.1 Metric trends			
2.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 1			MI saw fluctuations in enrollment and services in Q1 Months 1-3 due to the increase in Covid cases in MI and the need to further enforce social distancing practices. MI is unable to report on Metric 11, <18 subpopulation due to the how claims are submitted for these beneficiaries.
2.2 Implementation update			
2.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 2.2.1.i. Planned activities to improve access to SUD treatment services across the continuum of care for Medicaid beneficiaries (e.g. outpatient services, intensive outpatient services, medication-assisted treatment, services in intensive residential and inpatient settings, medically supervised withdrawal management)	X		The statewide assessment training dates are being planned.
2.2.1.ii. SUD benefit coverage under the Medicaid state plan or the Expenditure Authority, particularly for residential treatment, medically supervised withdrawal management, and medication-assisted treatment services provided to individual IMDs	X		
2.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 1	X		

Medicaid Section 1115 SUD Demonstrations Monitoring Report – Part B Version 3.0
 Michigan *Michigan's 1115 Behavioral Health Demonstration*

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
3. Use of Evidence-based, SUD-specific Patient Placement Criteria (Milestone 2)			
3.1 Metric trends			
3.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 2	X		
3.2. Implementation update			
3.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 3.2.1.i. Planned activities to improve providers' use of evidence-based, SUD-specific placement criteria	X		MI will train on a statewide assessment that includes ASAM patient placement criteria.
3.2.1.ii. Implementation of a utilization management approach to ensure (a) beneficiaries have access to SUD services at the appropriate level of care, (b) interventions are appropriate for the diagnosis and level of care, or (c) use of independent process for reviewing placement in residential treatment settings	X		The state is planning for state level utilization management approach.
3.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 2	X		

Medicaid Section 1115 SUD Demonstrations Monitoring Report – Part B Version 3.0
 Michigan Michigan’s 1115 Behavioral Health Demonstration

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
4. Use of Nationally Recognized SUD-specific Program Standards to Set Provider Qualifications for Residential Treatment Facilities (Milestone 3)			
4.1 Metric trends			
4.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 3 <i>Note: There are no CMS-provided metrics related to Milestone 3. If the state did not identify any metrics for reporting this milestone, the state should indicate it has no update to report.</i>	X		No update to report.
4.2 Implementation update			
4.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 4.2.1.i. Implementation of residential treatment provider qualifications that meet the ASAM Criteria or other nationally recognized, SUD-specific program standards	X		
4.2.1.ii. Review process for residential treatment providers’ compliance with qualifications.	X		
4.2.1.iii. Availability of medication-assisted treatment at residential treatment facilities, either on-site or through facilitated access to services off site	X		
4.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 3	X		

Medicaid Section 1115 SUD Demonstrations Monitoring Report – Part B Version 3.0
 Michigan *Michigan's 1115 Behavioral Health Demonstration*

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
5. Sufficient Provider Capacity at Critical Levels of Care including for Medication Assisted Treatment for OUD (Milestone 4)			
5.1 Metric trends			
5.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 4	X		
5.2 Implementation update			
5.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: Planned activities to assess the availability of providers enrolled in Medicaid and accepting new patients in across the continuum of SUD care	X		
5.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 4	X		
6. Implementation of Comprehensive Treatment and Prevention Strategies to Address Opioid Abuse and OUD (Milestone 5)			
6.1 Metric trends			
6.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 5	X		
6.2 Implementation update			
6.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 6.2.1.i. Implementation of opioid prescribing guidelines and other interventions related to prevention of OUD	X		
6.2.1.ii. Expansion of coverage for and access to naloxone	X		

Medicaid Section 1115 SUD Demonstrations Monitoring Report – Part B Version 3.0
 Michigan *Michigan's 1115 Behavioral Health Demonstration*

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
6.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 5	X		
7. Improved Care Coordination and Transitions between Levels of Care (Milestone 6)			
7.1 Metric trends			
7.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 6	X		
7.2 Implementation update			
7.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: Implementation of policies supporting beneficiaries' transition from residential and inpatient facilities to community-based services and supports	X		
7.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 6	X		The state initiated a hub and spoke model for OUD beneficiaries that will increase care coordination support for Medicaid beneficiaries within PIHP Region 1, 2, two counties in Region 4 and Region 9. The state is submitting a revised SPA to increase OHH eligibility in three more PIHP regions 6, 7 and 10 with an anticipated start date of October 1, 2021.
8. SUD health information technology (health IT)			
8.1 Metric trends			
8.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to its health IT metrics	X		
8.2 Implementation update			

Medicaid Section 1115 SUD Demonstrations Monitoring Report – Part B Version 3.0
 Michigan Michigan’s 1115 Behavioral Health Demonstration

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
8.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 8.2.1.i. How health IT is being used to slow down the rate of growth of individuals identified with SUD	X		
How health IT is being used to treat effectively individuals identified with SUD			
8.2.1.ii. How health IT is being used to effectively monitor “recovery” supports and services for individuals identified with SUD	X		MI providers use the state’s consent form to ensure information is shared to appropriate parties related to the beneficiaries needs and care management.
8.2.1.iii. Other aspects of the state’s plan to develop the health IT infrastructure/capabilities at the state, delivery system, health plan/MCO, and individual provider levels	X		The state finalized work modifying a care coordination platform, to afford designated access to BH 1115 SUD metric data, for PIHP regions. The dashboard went live February 10, 2021.
8.2.1.iv. Other aspects of the state’s health IT implementation milestones	X		The state has held internal work group meetings on eConsent design. The state is working on finalizing a design draft to share for internal review before stakeholder review. Three PIHP regions will start implementing the pilot end of FY21. The state continues to have work group meetings to develop the SUD residential bed registry and begin piloting end of FY21.

Medicaid Section 1115 SUD Demonstrations Monitoring Report – Part B Version 3.0
 Michigan *Michigan's 1115 Behavioral Health Demonstration*

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
8.2.1.v. The timeline for achieving health IT implementation milestones	X		October 1, 2022-Prescription Drug Monitoring Program (PDMP) Functionalities. October 1, 2022- Current and Future PDMP Query Capabilities. Increase in all state fiscal years from baseline- Use of PDMP-Supporting Clinicians with Changing Office Workflows/Business Process. October 1, 2022- Master Patient Index/Identity Management.
8.2.1.vi. Planned activities to increase use and functionality of the state’s prescription drug monitoring program	X		The state is on-track to enhance the connectivity between the state’s PDMP and any statewide, regional, or local health information for October 1, 2022.
8.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to health IT	X		The state does not expect to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to health IT.
9. Other SUD-related metrics			
9.1 Metric trends			
9.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to other SUD-related metrics	X		
9.2 Implementation update			
9.2.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to other SUD-related metrics	X		

4. Narrative information on other reporting topics

Prompts	State has no update to report (Place an X)	State response
10. Budget neutrality		
10.1 Current status and analysis		
10.1.1 If the SUD component is part of a broader demonstration, the state should provide an analysis of the SUD-related budget neutrality and an analysis of budget neutrality as a whole. Describe the current status of budget neutrality and an analysis of the budget neutrality to date.		The state submits expenditure reports to CMS that will automatically populate Budget Neutrality reports per quarter.
10.2 Implementation update		
10.2.1 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect budget neutrality		The state does not expect to make other program changes that would affect budget neutrality.
11. SUD-related demonstration operations and policy		
11.1 Considerations		
11.1.1 The state should highlight significant SUD (or if broader demonstration, then SUD-related) demonstration operations or policy considerations that could positively or negatively affect beneficiary enrollment, access to services, timely provision of services, budget neutrality, or any other provision that has potential for beneficiary impacts. Also note any activity that may accelerate or create delays or impediments in achieving the SUD demonstration’s approved goals or objectives, if not already reported elsewhere in this document. See report template instructions for more detail.	X	
11.2 Implementation update		
11.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 11.2.1.i. How the delivery system operates under the demonstration (e.g. through the managed care system or fee for service)	X	

Medicaid Section 1115 SUD Demonstrations Monitoring Report – Part B Version 3.0
 Michigan *Michigan's 1115 Behavioral Health Demonstration*

Prompts	State has no update to report (Place an X)	State response
11.2.1.ii. Delivery models affecting demonstration participants (e.g. Accountable Care Organizations, Patient Centered Medical Homes)	X	
11.2.1.iii. Partners involved in service delivery	X	
11.2.2 The state experienced challenges in partnering with entities contracted to help implement the demonstration (e.g., health plans, credentialing vendors, private sector providers) and/or noted any performance issues with contracted entities	X	
11.2.3 The state is working on other initiatives related to SUD or OUD	X	
11.2.4 The initiatives described above are related to the SUD or OUD demonstration (The state should note similarities and differences from the SUD demonstration)	X	
12. SUD demonstration evaluation update		
12.1 Narrative information		
12.1.1 Provide updates on SUD evaluation work and timeline. The appropriate content will depend on when this report is due to CMS and the timing for the demonstration. There are specific requirements per Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) for annual reports. See report template instructions for more details.	X	New HEDIS codes were acquired, the evaluation team documented changes from the prior year, and identified Michigan-specific codes that will need to be included to supplement HEDIS measures. Beneficiary surveys were finalized, finalized recruitment materials (letter, brochure), generated the preliminary sample, modified with additional exclusions to avoid residential treatment settings, and began conducting surveys facilitated by trained staff. A set of questions were generated to give trainees that will be participating in ASAM training. These individuals will be used for future key informant interviews on ASAM guideline implementation. The evaluation team reviewed HCBS program materials and ran preliminary data to identify appropriate codes on which to base analysis and began drafting key informant questions for the Covid19-addendum.

Medicaid Section 1115 SUD Demonstrations Monitoring Report – Part B Version 3.0
 Michigan *Michigan's 1115 Behavioral Health Demonstration*

Prompts	State has no update to report (Place an X)	State response
12.1.2 Provide status updates on deliverables related to the demonstration evaluation and indicate whether the expected timelines are being met and/or if there are any real or anticipated barriers in achieving the goals and timeframes agreed to in the STCs		The evaluation is on schedule to meet the deliverables outlined in the revised Covid-19 evaluation proposal. There are no anticipated barriers at this time that would impact the goals and timeframes the state has agreed to in the STCs.
12.1.3 List anticipated evaluation-related deliverables related to this demonstration and their due dates		December 2021- Midpoint assessment (will include baseline and midpoint key informant interviews, and baseline administrative and beneficiary survey data) September 2022- Interim Report (will include baseline and midpoint key informant interviews, and baseline administrative and beneficiary survey data) March 2025- Final Report (will include all evaluation results)
13. Other demonstration reporting		
13.1 General reporting requirements		
13.1.1 The state reports changes in its implementation of the demonstration that might necessitate a change to approved STCs, implementation plan, or monitoring protocol		The state will not report on Metric 11 for the subpopulation of <18. The state reimbursement structure does not permit reporting for this population. The state is confident all other subpopulations for this metric is accounted for in the monitoring reports.
13.1.2 The state anticipates the need to make future changes to the STCs, implementation plan, or monitoring protocol, based on expected or upcoming implementation changes	X	
13.1.3 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 13.1.3.i. The schedule for completing and submitting monitoring reports	X	
13.1.3.ii. The content or completeness of submitted reports and/or future reports	X	

Medicaid Section 1115 SUD Demonstrations Monitoring Report – Part B Version 3.0
 Michigan Michigan’s 1115 Behavioral Health Demonstration

Prompts	State has no update to report (Place an X)	State response
13.1.4 The state identified real or anticipated issues submitting timely post-approval demonstration deliverables, including a plan for remediation	X	
13.2 Post-award public forum		
13.2.2 If applicable within the timing of the demonstration, provide a summary of the annual post-award public forum held pursuant to 42 CFR § 431.420(c) indicating any resulting action items or issues. A summary of the post-award public forum must be included here for the period during which the forum was held and in the annual report.		
14. Notable state achievements and/or innovations		
14.1 Narrative information		
14.1.1 Provide any relevant summary of achievements and/or innovations in demonstration enrollment, benefits, operations, and policies pursuant to the hypotheses of the SUD (or if broader demonstration, then SUD related) demonstration or that served to provide better care for individuals, better health for populations, and/or reduce per capita cost. Achievements should focus on significant impacts to beneficiary outcomes. Whenever possible, the summary should describe the achievement or innovation in quantifiable terms, e.g., number of impacted beneficiaries.		Telehealth policies are being utilized and SUD admissions have continued to stabilize. Admissions into services have not reached pre-pandemic levels but providers have process in place to serve those needing care. MI is still experiencing high cases of Covid-19.

*The state should remove all example text from the table prior to submission.

Note: Licensee and states must prominently display the following notice on any display of Measure rates:

Measures IET-AD, FUA-AD, FUM-AD, and AAP [Metrics #15, 17(1), 17(2), and 32] are Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS®) measures that are owned and copyrighted by the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA). HEDIS measures and specifications are not clinical guidelines, do not establish a standard of medical care and have not been tested for all potential applications. The measures and specifications are provided “as is” without warranty of any kind. NCQA makes no representations, warranties or endorsements about the quality of any product, test or protocol identified as numerator compliant or otherwise identified as meeting the requirements of a HEDIS measure or specification. NCQA makes no representations, warranties, or endorsement about the quality of any organization or clinician who uses or reports performance measures and NCQA has no liability to anyone who relies on HEDIS measures or specifications or data reflective of performance under such measures and specifications.

The measure specification methodology used by CMS is different from NCQA's methodology. NCQA has not validated the adjusted measure specifications but has granted CMS permission to adjust. A calculated measure result (a "rate") from a HEDIS measure that has not been certified via NCQA's Measure Certification Program, and is based on adjusted HEDIS specifications, may not be called a "HEDIS rate" until it is audited and designated reportable by an NCQA-Certified HEDIS Compliance Auditor. Until such time, such measure rates shall be designated or referred to as "Adjusted, Uncertified, Unaudited HEDIS rates."