# New Jersey Comprehensive Waiver Demonstration Section 1115 Quarterly Report Demonstration Year: 5 (7/1/16-6/30/17)

Federal Fiscal Quarter: 3 (4/1/17-6/30/17)

### I. Introduction

The New Jersey Comprehensive Waiver Demonstration (NJCW) was approved by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) on October 2, 2012, and is effective October 1, 2012 through June 30, 2017.

This five year demonstration will:

- Maintain Medicaid and CHIP State Plan benefits without change;
- Streamline benefits and eligibility for four existing 1915(c) home and community-based services (HCBS) waivers under one Managed Long Term Services and Supports Program;
- Continue the service delivery system under two previous 1915(b) managed care waiver programs;
- Eliminate the five year look back at time of application for applicants or beneficiaries seeking long term services and supports who have income at or below 100 percent of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL);
- Cover additional home and community-based services to Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries with serious emotional disturbance, autism spectrum disorder, and intellectual disabilities/developmental disabilities;
- Transform the State's behavioral health system for adults by delivering behavioral health through behavioral health administrative service organizations; and
- Furnish premium assistance options to individuals with access to employer-based coverage.

In this demonstration the State seeks to achieve the following goals:

- Create "no wrong door" access and less complexity in accessing services for integrated health and Long-Term Care (LTC) care services;
- Provide community supports for LTC and mental health and addiction services;
- Provide in-home community supports for an expanded population of individuals with intellectual and developmental disabilities;
- Provide needed services and HCBS supports for an expanded population of youth with severe emotional disabilities; and
- Provide need services and HCBS supports for an expanded population of individuals with cooccurring developmental/mental health disabilities.
- Encourage structural improvements in the health care delivery system through DSRIP funding.

This quarterly report is submitted pursuant to Special Term and Condition (STC) 101 in the New Jersey Comprehensive Waiver; and in the format outlined in Attachment A of the STCs.

### II. Enrollment and Benefit Information

Summary of current trends and issues related to eligibility, enrollment, disenrollment, access, and delivery network.

There have been no changes in trends or issues related to eligibility, enrollment, disenrollment, access, and delivery network in the current quarter.

Summary of any changes or anticipated changes in populations served and benefits. Progress on implementing any demonstration amendments related to eligibility or benefits.

There are no anticipated changes in populations served or benefits.

### **III. Enrollment Counts for Quarter**

			[	
	Total Number of	Total Number of	Total Number of	Total Number of
Demonstration	Demonstration	Demonstration	Demonstration	Demonstration
Populations by	participants	participants	participants	participants
MEG	Quarter Ending –	Quarter Ending –	Quarter Ending –	Quarter Ending –
	09/16	12/16	03/17	06/17
Title XIX	738,022	736,958	733,911	708,387
ABD	276,205	273,472	269,376	260,844
LTC				
HCBS - State plan	7,250	8,122	8,606	9,922
TBI – SP				
ACCAP – SP				
CRPD – SP				
GO – SP				
HCBS - 217-Like	11,909	12,276	12,359	12,417
TBI – 217-Like				
ACCAP – 217-Like				
CRPD – 217-Like				
GO – 217-Like				
SED - 217 Like	82	153	211	227
IDD/MI – (217 Like)	164	254	374	343
NJ Childless Adults				
AWDC	357,745	369,302	374,239	358,397
New Adult Group	203,905	204,088	203,744	196,433
SED at Risk	3,441	3,675	4,169	3,947
MATI at Risk				
Title XXI Exp Child				
NJFAMCAREWAIV-				
POP 1				

### IV. Outreach/Innovative Activities to Assure Access

#### **MLTSS**

The State has continued to maintain its efforts to ensure that consumers, stakeholders, MCOs, providers and other community-based organizations are knowledgeable about MLTSS. The State has depended on its relationships with stakeholder groups to inform consumers about the changes to managed care.

The MLTSS Steering Committee met on June 8, 2017 with its representation from stakeholders, consumers, providers, MCOs and state staff members. The June meeting focused on the new National Association of States United for Aging and Disabilities (NASUAD)/Center for Health Care Strategies (CHCS) report, *Demonstrating the Value of the Medicaid MLTSS Program*. A CHCS representative gave an overview of the national MLTSS landscape with a focus on where New Jersey (NJ) ranked. In addition, the State and MCOs presented on family caregiver services, strategies and success stories as well as the NJ Caregiver assessment, which looks for consistent, routine, and normal support that individuals are already receiving from family and friends.

The Department of Human Services (DHS) met with the Nursing Facility (NF) Quality Workgroup on June 20, 2017 to provide an update on the Nursing Home Quality Performance Measures Initiative and its design, policies and project plan, including workflows and key timeframes. Stakeholders were pleased to see the State's progress and framework for moving ahead with the Any Willing Qualified Provider (AWQP) program. DHS is developing a program aimed at improving the quality of care and outcome to MLTSS members living in nursing facilities. This new program is considered to be a foundational step in the State's evolving value based purchasing (VBP) strategy with the goal to reimburse providers based on performance and to encourage consumers to select high value service providers.

During this quarter, DHS gave MLTSS updates to the long term care industry providers on:

- May 16, 2017 at the annual assisted living conference sponsored by the Health Care Association
  of New Jersey, a non-profit trade association representing long term care providers.
- June 15, 2017 at the annual meeting of LeadingAge New Jersey, a statewide association of notfor-profit senior care organizations whose members include adult day programs, assisted living communities, home and community based services, independent living senior housing, life plan communities, and nursing homes; and
- June 22, 2017 at the annual conference of the Home Care and Hospice Association of New Jersey to over 200 professionals from licensed home health agencies, health care service firms, hospices, and organizations that offer services and products that support home care and hospices.

The Office of Managed Health Care (OMHC), with its provider relations unit, has remained committed to its communications efforts to ensure access through its provider networks. Its provider-relations unit has continued to respond to inquiries through its email account on these issues among others: MCO contracting, credentialing, reimbursement, authorizations, appeals and complaint resolution.

### ASD/ID/DD-MI/SED

The Department of Children and Families (DCF), Children's System of Care (CSOC) promotes their program at their many meetings throughout the state and plans to continue to do so at community/stakeholder meetings.

### **Supports**

During this quarter, the Division of Developmental Disabilities (DDD) continues enrollment of individuals into the Supports Program. As of the end of the reporting quarter, DDD enrolled 2,500 individuals in the Supports Program.

DDD held weekly meetings with representatives from Public Partnerships LLC (PPL) in order to operationalize their role as the Fiscal Intermediary (FI). Provider payments going through the FI and payment for Self-Directed Employees who have been enrolled in PPL will begin July 1, 2017. Switching the remaining Self-Directed Employees will continue to take place over the next quarter. DDD provided technical assistance and guidance to Medicaid/DDD approved providers who have expressed that they are not yet ready to provide services through the Supports Program. The Division has notified all providers that they need to be ready by July 1, 2017 and has approved some extensions for providers still in need of items to be in place before being ready. No extensions have been provided beyond the end of the next quarter.

DDD notified individuals that have not completed the current version of the NJ Comprehensive Assessment Tool (NJ CAT) that they need to get it completed by the end of July or be in jeopardy of a gap in services.

DDD continues to assist individuals with Medicaid eligibility including assisting individuals in accessing Supports Program Only Medicaid.

DDD is continuing enrollment of individuals into Supports Program + Private Duty Nursing (PDN) and provides options counseling to individuals identified as needing PDN. In addition, DDD continues enrollment of individuals identified through Support Coordination Agencies as meeting the criteria for Supports Program enrollment.

DDD continues to meet with the trade organizations and individual providers to assist in preparation for the Medicaid-based, Fee-for-Service system. In addition, DDD continues regular calls with providers and individuals/families regarding the system reform (including the Supports Program). These calls provide the opportunity for stakeholders to share issues/concerns as they come up, receive updates, suggest ideas and provide feedback. DDD continues to answer provider questions and provide guidance on the application process for provider enrollment.

DDD continues the identification of quality measures for the DDD system, providers, individuals, and services. In addition, DDD received a final report from the Division of Medical Assistance and Health Service's Quality Management Unit Comprehensive Audit Review of the Supports Program. The final report indicated that the Supports Program was in compliance with all sub-assurances and a Corrective Action Plan was not required for any sub-assurance based on the results of the audit.

NJ CAT assessments, supplemental assessments, reassessments as needed and DDD continues to work through the process for Day Habilitation Certification. During this quarter, DDD released a revised (version 4.0) Supports Program Policies & Procedures Manual.

#### V. Collection and Verification of Encounter Data and Enrollment Data

### **Summary of Issues, Activities or Findings**

New Jersey managed care plans must submit all services provided to MLTSS recipients to the State in HIPAA-compliant formats. These service encounters are edited by New Jersey's fiscal agent, Molina Medicaid Solutions, before being considered final. New Jersey implements liquidated damages on its health plans for excessive duplicate encounters and excessive denials by Molina; the total dollar value of encounters accepted by Molina must also equal 98 percent of the medical cost submitted by the plans in their financial statements. Certain acute care encounters (including those for MLTSS enrolled individuals) are subject to monthly minimum utilization benchmarks that must be met. If these benchmarks are not met nine months after the conclusion of a given service month, up to 2 percent of capitation payments to the plans begin to be withheld; if plans meet these thresholds over the subsequent nine months, these withheld capitation payments are returned to the plans. However, if plans do not meet these benchmarks at this point, the withheld capitations are converted to liquidated damages.

### VI. Operational/Policy/Systems/Fiscal Developments/Issues

#### **MLTSS**

The Division of Medical Assistance and Health Services (DMAHS) convenes a bi-weekly meeting with state staff from the various Divisions involved in MLTSS to discuss any issues to ensure that they are resolved timely and in accordance with the rules and laws that govern the Medicaid program. The state also continues to have bi-weekly conference calls with the MCOs to review statistics and discuss and create an action plan for any issues that either the State or the MCOs are encountering.

### ASD/ID/DD-MI/SED

The Department of Children and Families (DCF), Children's System of Care (CSOC) continues ongoing enrollment of youth in the Intellectual Disabilities/ Development Disabilities co-occurring Mental Illness Pilot (ID/DD-MI) and the Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) Pilot. As of 6/30/2017, there were 154 youth identified for the ASD pilot and 808 youth identified for the ID/DD-MI pilot. The SED Plan A coverage process was finalized with the Division of Medical Assistance and Health Services (DMAHS) and has been operationalized. As of 6/30/17, 251 SED Plan A youth have been enrolled through the Serious Emotional Disturbance program.

CSOC, CSOC's Contracted Systems Administrator (CSA), and DMAHS's fiscal agent, Molina, continue to hold implementation meetings as needed.

CSOC continues to build ASD, I/DD-MI and SED provider networks and are expected to be poster next quarter.

Technical assistance continues to be ongoing to assist and provide new ASD, ID/DD-MI providers related procedures and expectations. CSOC also provided technical assistance on the Medicaid enrollment process to ensure that providers receive Medicaid ID for billing and requisite provider enrollment

training.

### **Supports**

During this quarter, the Division of Developmental Disabilities (DDD) held the initial Family Advisory Council meeting. This group will meet monthly to provide input and feedback on Division policies. No issues regarding the Supports Program were presented to the Division during this quarter.

DDD conducted quarterly Support Coordination Supervisors meeting to provide updates, answer questions, and receive feedback. DDD also met individually with providers within our contract reimbursement system to determine readiness for the shift to the Supports Program and Fee-for-Service and answer questions. In addition, DDD also provided answers to and met with a variety of providers regarding various areas related to the Supports Program. No issues were raised during these meetings.

DDD provided a webinar entitled "How DDD Services Can Support an Individual with Intellectual and Developmental Disability's Best and Most Meaningful Life." This webinar provided information about how the Supports Program can support individuals in Employment/Work, Education/Learning, Entertainment/Fun, Home Life, Responsibilities, and Health/Well Being. The webinar attendees included providers, Support Coordinators, individuals and families, and DDD staff. This webinar was one of the most highly attended webinars so there are plans to provide it again in the future. DDD conducted webinars for our current qualified providers under the previous system to ensure that they are fully informed about the shift to Fee-for-Service and need to become Medicaid/DDD approved providers in the areas they provide services. DDD also provided training related to Supports Program + PDN for Division staff. Plans are in place to provide similar trainings for Managed Care Organization Care Managers as well as Support Coordinators in the fall of 2017. DDD beneficiaries are notified of upcoming webinars through regular division updates, as well as through the Support Coordinator listsery. These webinars are open to the public and there are plans to archive the webinars in the future.

DDD provided presentations at schools, trade organization membership meetings, conferences, family group/organization meetings and events, self-advocates, the transition coordinators network, etc.

#### IME

During the DY5 FF3, from April 1, 2017 through June 30, 2017, the IME received and responded to 17,057 calls to the call center from consumers and/or family members. 3,147 of those calls resulted in direct referrals into treatment and 1,485 calls were sent to care coordination services to facilitate admission to treatment. The IME Utilization Management services reviewed and approved clinical medical necessity for 6,007 treatment requests and 3,820 clinical reviews for continued treatment. The IME also continues to maintain the provider hotline for provider assistance and responded to 3,855 calls between April 1 and June 30. The IME in partnership with DMHAS and Medicaid facilitated trainings to providers on the clinical review process and ASAM placement criteria. In addition targeted assistance training was provided to Opioid Treatment Providers who have been struggling during this transition process over the past year.

#### **DSRIP**

Quarterly Payment Reports – Federal drawdown by New Jersey for Quarter 3, Demonstration Year 5 for payments earned under Stage 1 and Stage 2 measures is pending CMS approval of Progress Reports.

Progress in meeting DSRIP goals – For DY5 Q3, all progress reports have been submitted to CMS as of May 17, 2017 for review.

Performance – DY4 results: 25 hospitals submitted appeals. All appeals have been submitted to CMS for review as of June 30, 2017.

Challenges – CMS approved the Stage 3 substitution measures and remaining ITGs for DY5. CMS and NJ agreed that for DY6 and forward, the state will utilize the national benchmark when possible, followed by the NJ statewide benchmark. New Jersey Department of Health (NJDOH) is awaiting a response from CMS regarding a question of possible duplicate funding issue for the CarePoint hospital system. CMS and NJDOH are working together on revisions to the FMP for DY5.

Mid-course corrections – Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS), New Jersey Department of Human Services (NJDHS) and New Jersey Department of Health are holding weekly calls to discuss the 1115 Waiver renewal, including extending NJDSRIP under the 1115 Waiver Renewal. CMS and NJDOH are working together on a final version of the STCs for DY6.

Successes and evaluation – The Learning Collaborative was held on June 8, 2017. The agenda included: Partnering with Managed Care Organizations; Stakeholder Engagement; and Next Generation DSRIP. It was well attended.

### Other

Managed Care Contracting:

There are no updates for this quarter.

#### Self-attestations:

There were a total of 167 self-attestations for the time period from April 1, 2017 to June 30, 2017.

### MCO Choice and Auto-assignment:

The number of individuals who changed their MCO after auto-assignment is 4,845.

#### MLR:

### MCO Medical Loss Ratios for the 12 month Period July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016:

Horizon NJ Health: 91.8% UnitedHealthcare: 87.8% Amerigroup: 83.5% WellCare: 89.4% Aetna: 97.5%

### VII. Action Plan for Addressing Any Issues Identified

Issue Identified	Action Plan for Addressing Issue	
No issues identified.	Development:	
	Implementation:	
	Administration:	

## VIII. Financial/Budget Neutrality Development/Issues

Issues Identified:					
No issues Identified.					
Actions Taken to Address Issues:					

# IX. Member Month Reporting

A. For Use in Budget Neutrality Calculations

Eligibility Group	Month 1	Month 2	Month 3	Total for Quarter Ending XX/XX
Title XIX				
ABD				
LTC (following transition to MLTSS)				
HCBS -State Plan				
TBI – SP				
ACCAP – SP				
CRPD – SP				
GO – SP				
HCBS -217 Like				
TBI – 217-Like				
ACCAP – 217-Like				
CRPD – 217-Like				
GO – 217-Like				
SED -217 Like				
IDD/MI -(217 Like)				
NJ Childless Adults				
New Adult Group				
Title XXI Exp Child				
XIX CHIP Parents				

### X. Consumer Issues

### **Summary of Consumer Issues**

Call Ce	Call Centers: Top 5 reasons for calls and %(MLTSS members)					
	Aetna	Amerigroup	Horizon NJ Health	UnitedHealthcare	WellCare	
1	Eligibility	Checking status of authorization	Request to speak with Care Manager	Medical Benefits	Speak to Care Manager	
2	Benefits	Checking status of assessment	Request MLTSS assessment	PCP Update	Change PCP because of auto assignment.	
3	PCP Change	Member looking to speak with their Care Manager	Member inquiries with questions about their care plan	ID Card	calling to speak to Care Managers	
4	Provider Search	Checking on status of authorization request	Returning CM's calls	Eligibility Inquiry	Inquiries on eligibility	
5			Requests to speak with Clinical Care Coordinator		Inquiries on benefits	

Call Centers: Top 5 reasons for calls and % (MLTSS providers)

	Aetna	Amerigroup	Horizon NJ Health	UnitedHealthcare	WellCare
1	Eligibility	Checking status of authorization	Authorization inquiries from service providers (Requests for authorization)	Claim Status	Authorization requests
2	Authorization inquiries	Checking status of assessment	Eligibility inquiries	Eligibility Inquiry	Inquiries on eligibility
3	Network participation inquires	Facilities seeking new or return authorizations	Requests for MLTSS enrollment	Training inquiries	Claims inquiries
4	Training inquiries	Providers request authorization maintenance – corrections, updates due to change in member status	Inquiries about MLTSS covered benefits	Authorization inquiries	Authorization status inquiries
5	Claims	Checking on	Claims status		

	inquiries	status of authorization request		

# XI. Quality Assurance/Monitoring Activity

Λ	Λl	.Τ	S	S	

# MLTSS Claims Processing Information by MCO

	Aetna	Amerigroup	Horizon NJ Health	UnitedHealthcare	WellCare
# Claims Received	Eligibility	Checking status of authorization	Authorization inquiries from service providers (Requests for authorization)	Claim Status	Authorization requests
# Claims Paid	33,785	82,956	310,201	54,639	184,820
# Claims Denied	24,197	71,533	275,910	46,668	137,412
# Claims Pending	7,830	9,414	30,857	4,307	43,820
Average # days for adjudication	1,758	2,009	3,434	3,664	1,412

# Top 5 Reasons for MLTSS Claims Denial by MCO

	Aetna	Amerigroup	Horizon NJ Health	UnitedHealthcare	WellCare
1	Service denied	NetworX Std Fee	Duplicate claim	No authorization	No
	because	Sched		on file	Authorization
	payment				
	already made				
	for				
	same/similar				
	procedure				
	within set time				
	frame				
2	Non-covered	Procedure non-	Timely filing	Medi 2ndary	No Patient

	charge(s)	reimbursable		Carrier	Responsibility
3	Procedure code incidental to primary procedure	Disallow-not allowed under contract	No authorization	Benefits Based on Admission Date	Timely Filing
4		Incorrect billing form/provider	Provider not contracted	iCES Edit overridden	
5					

### SED/IDD/ASD:

CSOC has a workgroup that continues to work on streamlining critical incident reporting. CSOC also continues to expand the network of providers to assure timely access to services.

### **Supports:**

DDD continues to hold quarterly update meetings for families, providers, and Support Coordination Agencies.

### Other Quality/Monitoring Issues:

**EQR PIP** 

In December 2013, the MCOs, with the guidance of the External Quality Review Organization (EQRO), initiated a collaborative Quality Incentive Program (QIP) with a focus on Identification and Management of Obesity in the Adolescent Population. Since inception, the EQRO had held regularly scheduled meetings with the MCOs to ensure a solid and consistent QIP foundation across all MCOs. In September 2015, the plans submitted a report to include a qualitative analysis of their recent activities and, based on the analysis, any revisions to the interventions for the upcoming year. Starting August 2015, the MCOs met monthly, independent of the EQRO, for continued collaborative activities. The MCOs are expected to show improvement and sustainability of this collaborative QIP. A routine QIP cycle consists of baseline data followed by two remeasurement years and then a sustainability year. Currently, four MCOs are involved in the collaborative. For three of the MCOs, 2013 is their baseline data year for the project; results of calendar year 2014 reflect remeasurement year 1 and results of calendar year 2015 reflect remeasurement year 2. January of 2016 started the sustainability year for these plans. The fourth MCO entered into the NJ market in December of 2013, making their baseline year 2014, with results of calendar year 2015 as their first remeasurement year. January of 2016 was the start of remeasurement year 2 for this plan. The MCOs submitted a progress report in September 2016 which was reviewed by the EQRO. January of 2017 started the sustainability year for the fourth MCO. In June 2017, three of the MCOs submitted their final report for this QIP as the final sustainability data collection was completed in May 2017, and is currently being reviewed by the EQRO. The fourth MCO is currently in their sustainability year and submitted a progress report in June 2017, which is currently being reviewed by the EQRO.

The MCOs are also involved in a non-collaborative Prenatal QIP with the focus on Reduction of Preterm Births. The initial proposals were submitted by the MCOs in October 2014 for review by the EQRO. The individual proposals were approved and project activities were initiated by the plans in early 2015. The

June interim reports included the 2014 baseline data. The September 2015 reports included an analysis of plan specific activities and any revisions for the upcoming year. Results of calendar year 2015 measures represented remeasurement year 1. January of 2016 was the start of remeasurement year 2 for this QIP. The MCO's submitted a progress report in September 2016 which was reviewed by the EQRO. January of 2017 was the start of the sustainability year for these plans. In June 2017, the plans submitted a progress report which included the results of the remeasurement year 2 data and is currently being reviewed by the EQRO.

Additionally, all MCOs submitted individual QIP proposals in September 2015 on Falls Prevention specific to members receiving managed long term support services. The individual proposals were approved and project activities were initiated by the plans in early 2016. The June reports included the 2015 baseline data. The MCO's submitted a progress report in September 2016, which was reviewed by the EQRO. January of 2017 was the start of remeasurement year 2 for this QIP. The plans submitted a progress report in June 2017 which included the remeasurement year 1 data and is currently being reviewed by the EQRO.

State Sanctions against MCO, ASO, SNP or PACE Organization:

There are currently no state sanctions against an MCO, ASO, SNP or PACE organization.

#### XII. Demonstration Evaluation

The State is testing the following hypotheses in its evaluation of the demonstration:

- A. Expanding Medicaid managed care to include long-term care services and supports will result in improved access to care and quality of care and reduced costs, and allow more individuals to live in their communities instead of institutions.
  - The Center for State Health Policy (CSHP) devoted this quarter to complete all evaluation activities related to this hypothesis. Calculation of all evaluation metrics and population characteristics from Medicaid fee-for-service claims and managed care encounter data were completed, regression analyses were finalized, results were organized into tables or charts, and the narrative of the draft final evaluation report was composed. CSHP also examined CAHPS-HEDIS results, MCO and state-reported quality metrics, and the NCI-AD surveys in New Jersey and other states and summarized relevant results in the draft final evaluation report. Additionally, CSHP completed the MLTSS Stakeholder report summarizing findings from qualitative interviews of individuals and agencies participating in or affected by MLTSS reforms. In June, CSHP attended the MLTSS Steering Committee meeting in person and listened by phone to the Nursing Facility Quality Stakeholders meeting.
- B. Providing home and community-based services to Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries and others with serious emotional disturbance, opioid addiction, pervasive developmental disabilities, or intellectual disabilities/developmental disabilities will lead to better care outcomes.

The chapter in the draft final evaluation report addressing this hypothesis as it pertains to children with ASD, ID-DD/MI, and SED was completed during this quarter and reviewed by staff from the Division of Children and Families. A draft of the stakeholder report regarding the Supports Program was also completed during this quarter and delivered to the State for review.

C. Utilizing a projected spend-down provision and eliminating the look back period at time of

application for transfer of assets for applicants or beneficiaries seeking long term services and supports whose income is at or below 100% of the FPL will simplify Medicaid eligibility and enrollment processes without compromising program integrity.

All evaluation activities related to this hypothesis were completed this quarter. The draft report of CSHP's findings was reviewed by the State and the content was finalized after addressing their comments.

D. The Delivery System Reform Incentive Payment (DSRIP) Program will result in better care for individuals (including access to care, quality of care, health outcomes), better health for the population, and lower cost through improvement.

CSHP continued to monitor developments in the DSRIP program this quarter. CSHP communicated to the DSRIP state official the plans in regard to the second round of key informant interviews and the web survey which will be part of the final evaluation report. CSHP has kept abreast of all materials and announcements posted to the DSRIP website, including another revision of the Databook released in June, and communications with hospitals about the future of DSRIP. In June, CSHP attended the DSRIP Learning Collaborative meeting, and CSHP also requested from the State results of selected Stage 4 metric calculations as required for the final evaluation report due in March 2018.

### XIII. Enclosures/Attachments

A. Budget Neutrality Report

B. MLTSS Quality Measures

C. ASD/ ID/DD-MI Performance Measures

### XIV. State Contact(s)

Meghan Davey Director NJ Division of Medical Assistance and Health Services PO Box 712, Trenton, NJ 08625

Phone: 609-588-2600 Fax: 609-588-3583

Stacy Shanfeld NJ Division of Medical Assistance and Health Services PO Box 712, Trenton, NJ 08625

Phone: 609-588-2606 Fax: 609-588-3583

### XV. Date Submitted to CMS

# **Federal Budget Neutrality Summary**

SUBJECT TO PUBLIC COMMENT PROCESS

Room Under the Budget Neutrality Cap							\$	31,477,434,16
					Total			
		e of Service Budget		S 64 Waiver Date of				
State Fiscal Year	N	eutrality Ceiling*	Se	rvice Expenditures	BN Savings Phase-Down	DSRIP Expenditu	ıres	Variance
Initial Waiver Period								
SFY13 Actual	\$	6,657,135,109		5,891,233,244			\$	765,901,86
SFY14 Actual	\$	9,449,402,249		8,174,587,531			\$	1,274,814,71
SFY15 Actual	\$	10,114,682,433		8,104,593,990			\$	2,010,088,44
SFY16 Actual	\$	10,677,824,461		8,152,637,041			\$	2,525,187,42
SFY17 Estimated	\$	11,159,269,155		8,491,505,369			\$	2,667,763,78
SFY13-17	\$	48,058,313,407	\$	38,814,557,175	\$ -	\$	- \$	9,243,756,23
First Waiver Extension Period								
SFY18 Projected	\$	11,896,950,170	\$	8,292,583,641			\$	3,604,366,52
SFY19 Projected	\$	12,685,551,420		8,827,479,537			\$	3,858,071,88
SFY20 Projected	\$	13,528,745,933		9,214,995,541			\$	4,313,750,39
SFY21 Projected	\$	14,430,482,800		9,462,849,812			\$	4,967,632,98
SFY22 Projected	\$	15,395,008,680		9,905,152,536			\$	5,489,856,14
SFY18-22	\$	67,936,739,002		45,703,061,068			\$	22,233,677,93
Second Waiver Extension Period								

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	iitoi iiig spiea	dsheet											
					Main Bud	get Neutrality	Test	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	<u>'</u>	1	
Budget Neutrality "Without Waiver" Cap	s based on Current Demo	caps Established	in STC #128										
					тот	AL COMPUTABLE							
Waiver Year	1	2	3	4	5	Demo		6	7	8	9	10	Renewal
State Fiscal Year	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Period 1		2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Period 1
NO WAIVER													
Title XIX	\$ 1,888,003,055 \$	, ,,	<u> </u>	\$ 3,450,426,912 \$		\$ 14,856,588,893	\$	3,916,313,421 \$	4,253,676,301 \$	4,620,100,621 \$	5,018,089,822 \$	5,450,362,995 \$	23,258,543,159
*ABD/LTC/HCBS State Plan	\$ 4,769,132,053 \$	6,727,573,381				\$ 33,201,724,514	\$	7,980,636,748 \$	8,431,875,120 \$	8,908,645,312 \$	9,412,392,978 \$	9,944,645,685 \$	44,678,195,842
	\$ - \$		\$ -	\$ - \$		\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	-
	\$ - \$		<u>'</u>	\$ - \$		\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	-
NO WAIVER -TOTAL COMPUTABLE	\$ 6,657,135,109 \$	9,449,402,249	\$ 10,114,682,433	\$ 10,677,824,461 \$	11,159,269,155	\$ 48,058,313,407	\$	11,896,950,170 \$	12,685,551,420 \$	13,528,745,933 \$	14,430,482,800 \$	15,395,008,680 \$	67,936,739,002
WITH WAIVER Title XIX	\$ 1.660.532.120 \$	2 200 100 142	\$ 2,582,613,493	\$ 2,534,724,200 \$	2 (40 124 (57	\$ 11,826,174,612	Ś	2,877,328,130 \$	3,125,189,727 \$	3,394,402,860 \$	3,686,806,812 \$	4,004,399,310 \$	17,088,126,839
**ABD/LTC/HCBS State Plan	\$ 1,660,532,120 \$ \$ 4,009,676,348 \$		· · · · ·			\$ 11,826,174,612	\$	5,209,108,223 \$	5,496,142,521 \$	5,614,445,392 \$	5,735,895,711 \$	5,860,605,937 \$	27,916,197,784
HCBS state plan	\$ 4,009,070,348 \$	3,408,130,344	\$ 3,213,407,337	\$ 3,263,632,623 \$		\$ 25,465,406,150	\$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	27,910,197,784
DDD Supports-PDN	\$ - \$		\$ -	\$ - \$		-	\$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	
DSRIP	\$ 192,443,637 \$	266,607,552	т	'	293.872.727	\$ 1,313,396,644	\$	166,000,000 \$	166,000,000 \$	166,000,000 \$	- \$	- \$	498.000.000
CNOMS	\$ 28,581,139 \$	40,668,893			40,147,289		\$	40,147,289 \$	40,147,289 \$	40,147,289 \$	40,147,289 \$	40,147,289 \$	200,736,445
WITH WAIVER - TOTAL COMPUTABLE	\$ 5,891,233,244 \$	8,174,587,531	\$ 8,104,593,990	\$ 8,152,637,041 \$	8,491,505,369	\$ 38,814,557,175	\$	8,292,583,641 \$	8,827,479,537 \$	9,214,995,541 \$	9,462,849,812 \$	9,905,152,536 \$	45,703,061,068
Difference	\$ 765,901,865 \$	1,274,814,718	\$ 2,010,088,443	\$ 2,525,187,420 \$	2,667,763,787	\$ 9,243,756,232	\$	3,604,366,528 \$	3,858,071,883 \$	4,313,750,392 \$	4,967,632,987 \$	5,489,856,144 \$	22,233,677,934
* ABD, LTC, and HCBS State Plan Member	Months, PMPM, and Tota	l Expenditures are	combined in the WO	W Cap Consolidated Cald	culation								
** ABD, LTC, and HCBS State Plan Member	r Months, PMPM, and Tot	al Expenditures ar	e combined in the Ac	tuals Consolidated Calcu	lation								
Waiver Year					F	EDERAL SHARE							
vvalver rear	1	2	3	4	<b>5</b>	Demo		6	7	8	9	10	Renewal
State Fiscal Year		2 2014	3 2015	4 2016				6 2018	7 2019	8 2020	9 2021	10 2022	Renewal Period 1
					5	Demo			-		-		
State Fiscal Year NO WAIVER Title XIX	\$ 947,820,714 \$	2014 1,506,619,075	2015 \$ 1,750,450,892	2016 \$ 1,732,193,224 \$	5 2017 1,810,147,427	Demo Period 1 \$ 7,747,231,332	\$	2018 1,966,078,907 \$	2019 2,135,442,788 \$	2,319,396,177 \$	2,519,195,858 \$	2022 2,736,206,878 \$	Period 1 11,676,320,608
State Fiscal Year NO WAIVER	\$ 947,820,714 \$ \$ 2,391,860,109 \$	2014 1,506,619,075 3,385,329,393	2015 \$ 1,750,450,892 \$ 3,480,257,450	2016 \$ 1,732,193,224 \$ \$ 3,620,527,386 \$	5 2017 1,810,147,427 3,784,120,100	Demo Period 1  \$ 7,747,231,332 \$ 16,662,094,439	\$	2018 1,966,078,907 \$ 3,997,285,138 \$	2019 2,135,442,788 \$ 4,223,298,360 \$	2020 2,319,396,177 \$ 4,462,099,796 \$	2021 2,519,195,858 \$ 4,714,413,534 \$	2022 2,736,206,878 \$ 4,981,004,694 \$	Period 1 11,676,320,608 22,378,101,523
State Fiscal Year NO WAIVER Title XIX	\$ 947,820,714 \$ \$ 2,391,860,109 \$ \$ - \$	2014 1,506,619,075 3,385,329,393	2015 \$ 1,750,450,892 \$ 3,480,257,450 \$ -	2016 \$ 1,732,193,224 \$ \$ 3,620,527,386 \$ \$ - \$	5 2017 1,810,147,427 3,784,120,100	Demo Period 1  \$ 7,747,231,332 \$ 16,662,094,439 \$ -	\$	2018 1,966,078,907 \$ 3,997,285,138 \$ - \$	2019 2,135,442,788 \$ 4,223,298,360 \$ - \$	2020 2,319,396,177 \$ 4,462,099,796 \$ - \$	2021 2,519,195,858 \$ 4,714,413,534 \$ - \$	2022 2,736,206,878 \$ 4,981,004,694 \$ - \$	Period 1 11,676,320,608
State Fiscal Year NO WAIVER Title XIX *ABD/LTC/HCBS State Plan	\$ 947,820,714 \$ \$ 2,391,860,109 \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$	2014 1,506,619,075 3,385,329,393 - -	\$ 1,750,450,892 \$ 3,480,257,450 \$ - \$ -	2016 \$ 1,732,193,224 \$ \$ 3,620,527,386 \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$	5 2017 1,810,147,427 3,784,120,100 - -	Period 1  \$ 7,747,231,332 \$ 16,662,094,439 \$ - \$ -	\$ \$	2018 1,966,078,907 \$ 3,997,285,138 \$ - \$ - \$	2019  2,135,442,788 \$ 4,223,298,360 \$ - \$ - \$	2020 2,319,396,177 \$ 4,462,099,796 \$ - \$ - \$	2021 2,519,195,858 \$ 4,714,413,534 \$ - \$ - \$	2022 2,736,206,878 \$ 4,981,004,694 \$ - \$ - \$	Period 1  11,676,320,608 22,378,101,523
State Fiscal Year NO WAIVER Title XIX *ABD/LTC/HCBS State Plan NO WAIVER - FEDERAL SHARE	\$ 947,820,714 \$ \$ 2,391,860,109 \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$	2014 1,506,619,075 3,385,329,393 - -	\$ 1,750,450,892 \$ 3,480,257,450 \$ - \$ -	2016 \$ 1,732,193,224 \$ \$ 3,620,527,386 \$ \$ - \$	5 2017 1,810,147,427 3,784,120,100 - -	Period 1  \$ 7,747,231,332 \$ 16,662,094,439 \$ - \$ -	\$	2018 1,966,078,907 \$ 3,997,285,138 \$ - \$	2019 2,135,442,788 \$ 4,223,298,360 \$ - \$	2020 2,319,396,177 \$ 4,462,099,796 \$ - \$	2021 2,519,195,858 \$ 4,714,413,534 \$ - \$	2022 2,736,206,878 \$ 4,981,004,694 \$ - \$	Period 1 11,676,320,608 22,378,101,523
State Fiscal Year NO WAIVER Title XIX *ABD/LTC/HCBS State Plan  NO WAIVER - FEDERAL SHARE WITH WAIVER	\$ 947,820,714 \$ \$ 2,391,860,109 \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$	2014 1,506,619,075 3,385,329,393 - - - 4,891,948,468	\$ 1,750,450,892 \$ 3,480,257,450 \$ - \$ - \$ 5,230,708,342	2016  \$ 1,732,193,224 \$ \$ 3,620,527,386 \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ 5,352,720,610 \$	5 2017 1,810,147,427 3,784,120,100 - - 5,594,267,527	Period 1  \$ 7,747,231,332 \$ 16,662,094,439 \$ - \$ - \$ 24,409,325,771	\$ \$ \$ \$	2018  1,966,078,907 \$ 3,997,285,138 \$  - \$  - \$  5,963,364,045 \$	2019  2,135,442,788 \$ 4,223,298,360 \$  - \$ - \$ 6,358,741,148 \$	2,319,396,177 \$ 4,462,099,796 \$ - \$ - \$ 6,781,495,973 \$	2,519,195,858 \$ 4,714,413,534 \$ - \$ - \$ 7,233,609,393 \$	2,736,206,878 \$ 4,981,004,694 \$ - \$ - \$ 7,717,211,572 \$	Period 1  11,676,320,608 22,378,101,523 34,054,422,131
State Fiscal Year NO WAIVER Title XIX *ABD/LTC/HCBS State Plan  NO WAIVER - FEDERAL SHARE WITH WAIVER Title XIX	\$ 947,820,714 \$ \$ 2,391,860,109 \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ 3,339,680,823 \$ \$ 833,625,102 \$	2014 1,506,619,075 3,385,329,393 - - 4,891,948,468 1,328,022,716	\$ 1,750,450,892 \$ 3,480,257,450 \$ - \$ - \$ 5,230,708,342 \$ 1,416,882,579	2016  \$ 1,732,193,224 \$ \$ 3,620,527,386 \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ 5,352,720,610 \$  \$ 1,272,489,520 \$	5 2017 1,810,147,427 3,784,120,100 - - 5,594,267,527 1,329,921,166	Period 1  \$ 7,747,231,332 \$ 16,662,094,439 \$ - \$ - \$ 24,409,325,771 \$ 6,180,941,082	\$ \$ \$ \$	2018  1,966,078,907 \$ 3,997,285,138 \$  - \$  - \$  5,963,364,045 \$  1,444,484,528 \$	2019  2,135,442,788 \$ 4,223,298,360 \$  - \$  6,358,741,148 \$  1,568,916,719 \$	2,319,396,177 \$ 4,462,099,796 \$ - \$ - \$ 6,781,495,973 \$ 1,704,067,869 \$	2,519,195,858 \$ 4,714,413,534 \$ - \$ - \$ 7,233,609,393 \$  1,850,861,340 \$	2,736,206,878 \$ 4,981,004,694 \$ - \$ - \$ 7,717,211,572 \$ 2,010,300,038 \$	Period 1  11,676,320,608 22,378,101,523 34,054,422,131 8,578,630,493
State Fiscal Year NO WAIVER Title XIX *ABD/LTC/HCBS State Plan  NO WAIVER - FEDERAL SHARE WITH WAIVER Title XIX **ABD/LTC/HCBS State Plan	\$ 947,820,714 \$ \$ 2,391,860,109 \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ 3,339,680,823 \$ \$ 833,625,102 \$	2014 1,506,619,075 3,385,329,393 - - 4,891,948,468 1,328,022,716	\$ 1,750,450,892 \$ 3,480,257,450 \$ - \$ - \$ 5,230,708,342	2016  \$ 1,732,193,224 \$ \$ 3,620,527,386 \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ 5,352,720,610 \$  \$ 1,272,489,520 \$	5 2017 1,810,147,427 3,784,120,100 - - 5,594,267,527 1,329,921,166	Period 1  \$ 7,747,231,332 \$ 16,662,094,439 \$ - \$ - \$ 24,409,325,771	\$ \$ \$ \$	2018  1,966,078,907 \$ 3,997,285,138 \$  - \$  - \$  5,963,364,045 \$	2019  2,135,442,788 \$ 4,223,298,360 \$  - \$ - \$ 6,358,741,148 \$	2,319,396,177 \$ 4,462,099,796 \$ - \$ - \$ 6,781,495,973 \$	2,519,195,858 \$ 4,714,413,534 \$ - \$ - \$ 7,233,609,393 \$	2,736,206,878 \$ 4,981,004,694 \$ - \$ - \$ 7,717,211,572 \$	Period 1  11,676,320,608 22,378,101,523 34,054,422,131
NO WAIVER Title XIX *ABD/LTC/HCBS State Plan  NO WAIVER - FEDERAL SHARE WITH WAIVER Title XIX **ABD/LTC/HCBS State Plan  HCBS state plan	\$ 947,820,714 \$ \$ 2,391,860,109 \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ 3,339,680,823 \$ \$ 833,625,102 \$ \$ 2,011,080,838 \$	2014 1,506,619,075 3,385,329,393 - - 4,891,948,468 1,328,022,716	\$ 1,750,450,892 \$ 3,480,257,450 \$ - \$ - \$ 5,230,708,342 \$ 1,416,882,579 \$ 2,624,022,610	2016  \$ 1,732,193,224 \$ \$ 3,620,527,386 \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ 5,352,720,610 \$  \$ 1,272,489,520 \$ \$ 2,647,200,206 \$	5 2017 1,810,147,427 3,784,120,100 - - 5,594,267,527 1,329,921,166 2,759,984,637	Period 1  \$ 7,747,231,332 \$ 16,662,094,439 \$ - \$ - \$ 24,409,325,771  \$ 6,180,941,082 \$ 12,794,229,285	\$ \$ \$ \$	2018  1,966,078,907 \$ 3,997,285,138 \$  - \$  5,963,364,045 \$  1,444,484,528 \$ 2,608,866,127 \$	2019  2,135,442,788 \$ 4,223,298,360 \$  - \$ 6,358,741,148 \$  1,568,916,719 \$ 2,752,620,510 \$	2,319,396,177 \$ 4,462,099,796 \$ - \$ 6,781,495,973 \$  1,704,067,869 \$ 2,811,855,451 \$	2,519,195,858 \$ 4,714,413,534 \$ - \$ 7,233,609,393 \$  1,850,861,340 \$ 2,872,665,650 \$	2,736,206,878 \$ 4,981,004,694 \$ - \$ - \$ 7,717,211,572 \$ 2,010,300,038 \$ 2,935,107,363 \$	Period 1  11,676,320,608 22,378,101,523 34,054,422,131 8,578,630,493
State Fiscal Year NO WAIVER Title XIX *ABD/LTC/HCBS State Plan  NO WAIVER - FEDERAL SHARE WITH WAIVER Title XIX **ABD/LTC/HCBS State Plan	\$ 947,820,714 \$ \$ 2,391,860,109 \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ 3,339,680,823 \$ \$ 833,625,102 \$ \$ 2,011,080,838 \$ \$ - \$	2014 1,506,619,075 3,385,329,393 - - 4,891,948,468 1,328,022,716	\$ 1,750,450,892 \$ 3,480,257,450 \$ - \$ - \$ 5,230,708,342 \$ 1,416,882,579 \$ 2,624,022,610 \$ - \$ -	2016  \$ 1,732,193,224 \$ \$ 3,620,527,386 \$ \$ - \$ \$ 5,352,720,610 \$  \$ 1,272,489,520 \$ \$ 2,647,200,206 \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$	5 2017 1,810,147,427 3,784,120,100 - - 5,594,267,527 1,329,921,166 2,759,984,637 - -	Demo Period 1  \$ 7,747,231,332 \$ 16,662,094,439 \$ - \$ - \$ 24,409,325,771  \$ 6,180,941,082 \$ 12,794,229,285 \$ - \$ -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2018  1,966,078,907 \$ 3,997,285,138 \$  - \$  5,963,364,045 \$  1,444,484,528 \$ 2,608,866,127 \$  - \$	2019  2,135,442,788 \$ 4,223,298,360 \$  - \$ 6,358,741,148 \$  1,568,916,719 \$ 2,752,620,510 \$  - \$	2,319,396,177 \$ 4,462,099,796 \$ - \$ 6,781,495,973 \$  1,704,067,869 \$ 2,811,855,451 \$ - \$	2,519,195,858 \$ 4,714,413,534 \$ - \$ 7,233,609,393 \$  1,850,861,340 \$ 2,872,665,650 \$ - \$	2,736,206,878 \$ 4,981,004,694 \$ - \$ - \$ 7,717,211,572 \$ 2,010,300,038 \$ 2,935,107,363 \$ - \$	Period 1  11,676,320,608 22,378,101,523 34,054,422,131 8,578,630,493
State Fiscal Year NO WAIVER Title XIX *ABD/LTC/HCBS State Plan  NO WAIVER - FEDERAL SHARE WITH WAIVER Title XIX **ABD/LTC/HCBS State Plan HCBS state plan HOLD DDD Supports-PDN	\$ 947,820,714 \$ \$ 2,391,860,109 \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2014 1,506,619,075 3,385,329,393 - - 4,891,948,468 1,328,022,716 2,751,940,994 - -	\$ 1,750,450,892 \$ 3,480,257,450 \$ - \$ - \$ 5,230,708,342 \$ 1,416,882,579 \$ 2,624,022,610 \$ - \$ - \$ 150,097,502	2016  \$ 1,732,193,224 \$ \$ 3,620,527,386 \$ \$ - \$ \$ 5,352,720,610 \$  \$ 1,272,489,520 \$ \$ 2,647,200,206 \$ \$ - \$ \$ 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5 2017 1,810,147,427 3,784,120,100 - - 5,594,267,527 1,329,921,166 2,759,984,637 - -	Demo Period 1  \$ 7,747,231,332 \$ 16,662,094,439 \$ - \$ - \$ 24,409,325,771  \$ 6,180,941,082 \$ 12,794,229,285 \$ - \$ - \$ 720,926,211	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2018  1,966,078,907 \$ 3,997,285,138 \$  - \$  5,963,364,045 \$  1,444,484,528 \$ 2,608,866,127 \$  - \$  - \$	2019  2,135,442,788 \$ 4,223,298,360 \$  - \$  6,358,741,148 \$  1,568,916,719 \$ 2,752,620,510 \$  - \$  - \$	2020  2,319,396,177 \$ 4,462,099,796 \$  - \$ 6,781,495,973 \$  1,704,067,869 \$ 2,811,855,451 \$  - \$	2,519,195,858 \$ 4,714,413,534 \$ - \$ 7,233,609,393 \$  1,850,861,340 \$ 2,872,665,650 \$ - \$ - \$	2,736,206,878 \$ 4,981,004,694 \$ - \$ 7,717,211,572 \$  2,010,300,038 \$ 2,935,107,363 \$ - \$ - \$	Period 1  11,676,320,608 22,378,101,523 34,054,422,131  8,578,630,493 13,981,115,101
State Fiscal Year NO WAIVER Title XIX *ABD/LTC/HCBS State Plan  NO WAIVER - FEDERAL SHARE WITH WAIVER Title XIX **ABD/LTC/HCBS State Plan HCBS state plan HOLD DDD Supports-PDN DSRIP	\$ 947,820,714 \$ \$ 2,391,860,109 \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ 3,339,680,823 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 2,011,080,838 \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ 96,221,820 \$	2014 1,506,619,075 3,385,329,393 - - 4,891,948,468 1,328,022,716 2,751,940,994 - - 138,946,278 21,084,004	\$ 1,750,450,892 \$ 3,480,257,450 \$ - \$ - \$ 5,230,708,342 \$ 1,416,882,579 \$ 2,624,022,610 \$ - \$ - \$ 150,097,502	2016  \$ 1,732,193,224 \$ \$ 3,620,527,386 \$ \$ - \$ \$ 5,352,720,610 \$  \$ 1,272,489,520 \$ \$ 2,647,200,206 \$ \$ - \$ \$ 168,572,730 \$ \$ 20,274,381 \$	5 2017 1,810,147,427 3,784,120,100 - - 5,594,267,527 1,329,921,166 2,759,984,637 - - 167,087,881 20,073,645	Demo Period 1  \$ 7,747,231,332 \$ 16,662,094,439 \$ - \$ - \$ 24,409,325,771  \$ 6,180,941,082 \$ 12,794,229,285 \$ - \$ - \$ 720,926,211 \$ 94,920,286	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2018  1,966,078,907 \$ 3,997,285,138 \$  - \$  5,963,364,045 \$  1,444,484,528 \$ 2,608,866,127 \$  - \$  83,000,002 \$	2019  2,135,442,788 \$ 4,223,298,360 \$  - \$  6,358,741,148 \$  1,568,916,719 \$ 2,752,620,510 \$  - \$  83,000,002 \$	2,319,396,177 \$ 4,462,099,796 \$ - \$ 6,781,495,973 \$  1,704,067,869 \$ 2,811,855,451 \$ - \$ 83,000,002 \$	2,519,195,858 \$ 4,714,413,534 \$ - \$ 7,233,609,393 \$  1,850,861,340 \$ 2,872,665,650 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	2,736,206,878 \$ 4,981,004,694 \$ - \$ - \$ 7,717,211,572 \$  2,010,300,038 \$ 2,935,107,363 \$ - \$ - \$	Period 1  11,676,320,608 22,378,101,523 34,054,422,131  8,578,630,493 13,981,115,101 249,000,007
NO WAIVER Title XIX *ABD/LTC/HCBS State Plan  NO WAIVER - FEDERAL SHARE WITH WAIVER Title XIX **ABD/LTC/HCBS State Plan HCBS state plan HOLD DDD Supports-PDN DSRIP CNOMS WITH WAIVER - FEDERAL SHARE	\$ 947,820,714 \$ \$ 2,391,860,109 \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 3,339,680,823 \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ 2,011,080,838 \$ \$ - \$ \$ 96,221,820 \$ \$ 14,798,341 \$ \$ 2,955,726,101 \$ \$ 2,011,069,653	2014 1,506,619,075 3,385,329,393 - - 4,891,948,468 1,328,022,716 2,751,940,994 - - 138,946,278 21,084,004 4,239,993,992	\$ 1,750,450,892 \$ 3,480,257,450 \$ - \$ 5,230,708,342 \$ 1,416,882,579 \$ 2,624,022,610 \$ - \$ 150,097,502 \$ 18,689,916 \$ 4,209,692,607	\$ 1,732,193,224 \$ \$ 3,620,527,386 \$ \$ - \$ \$ 5,352,720,610 \$  \$ 1,272,489,520 \$ \$ 2,647,200,206 \$ \$ - \$ \$ 168,572,730 \$ \$ 20,274,381 \$ \$ 4,108,536,836 \$	5 2017 1,810,147,427 3,784,120,100 - - 5,594,267,527 1,329,921,166 2,759,984,637 - - 167,087,881 20,073,645 4,277,067,328	Demo Period 1  \$ 7,747,231,332 \$ 16,662,094,439 \$ - \$ - \$ 24,409,325,771  \$ 6,180,941,082 \$ 12,794,229,285 \$ - \$ - \$ 720,926,211 \$ 94,920,286 \$ 19,791,016,864	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2018  1,966,078,907 \$ 3,997,285,138 \$  - \$ 5,963,364,045 \$  1,444,484,528 \$ 2,608,866,127 \$  - \$ 83,000,002 \$ 20,073,645 \$  4,156,424,302 \$	2019  2,135,442,788 \$ 4,223,298,360 \$  - \$  6,358,741,148 \$  1,568,916,719 \$ 2,752,620,510 \$  - \$  83,000,002 \$ 20,073,645 \$ 4,424,610,876 \$	2,319,396,177 \$ 4,462,099,796 \$ - \$ 6,781,495,973 \$  1,704,067,869 \$ 2,811,855,451 \$ - \$ 83,000,002 \$ 20,073,645 \$ 4,618,996,967 \$	2,519,195,858 \$ 4,714,413,534 \$ - \$ - \$ 7,233,609,393 \$  1,850,861,340 \$ 2,872,665,650 \$ - \$ - \$ 4,743,600,635 \$	2,736,206,878 \$ 4,981,004,694 \$ - \$ - \$ 7,717,211,572 \$  2,010,300,038 \$ 2,935,107,363 \$ - \$ - \$ 20,073,645 \$ 4,965,481,045 \$	Period 1  11,676,320,608 22,378,101,523 34,054,422,131  8,578,630,493 13,981,115,101 - 249,000,007 100,368,223 22,909,113,824
State Fiscal Year  NO WAIVER  Title XIX  *ABD/LTC/HCBS State Plan  NO WAIVER - FEDERAL SHARE  WITH WAIVER  Title XIX  **ABD/LTC/HCBS State Plan  HCBS state plan  HOLD DDD Supports-PDN  DSRIP  CNOMS	\$ 947,820,714 \$ \$ 2,391,860,109 \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ 3,339,680,823 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 2,011,080,838 \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ 96,221,820 \$ \$ 14,798,341 \$ \$ 2,955,726,101 \$	2014 1,506,619,075 3,385,329,393 - - 4,891,948,468 1,328,022,716 2,751,940,994 - - 138,946,278 21,084,004 4,239,993,992	\$ 1,750,450,892 \$ 3,480,257,450 \$ - \$ 5,230,708,342 \$ 1,416,882,579 \$ 2,624,022,610 \$ - \$ 150,097,502 \$ 18,689,916 \$ 4,209,692,607	2016  \$ 1,732,193,224 \$ \$ 3,620,527,386 \$ \$ - \$ \$ 5,352,720,610 \$  \$ 1,272,489,520 \$ \$ 2,647,200,206 \$ \$ - \$ \$ 168,572,730 \$ \$ 20,274,381 \$	5 2017 1,810,147,427 3,784,120,100 - - 5,594,267,527 1,329,921,166 2,759,984,637 - - 167,087,881 20,073,645 4,277,067,328	Demo Period 1  \$ 7,747,231,332 \$ 16,662,094,439 \$ - \$ - \$ 24,409,325,771  \$ 6,180,941,082 \$ 12,794,229,285 \$ - \$ - \$ 720,926,211 \$ 94,920,286	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2018  1,966,078,907 \$ 3,997,285,138 \$ - \$ - \$ 5,963,364,045 \$  1,444,484,528 \$ 2,608,866,127 \$ - \$ 83,000,002 \$ 20,073,645 \$	2019  2,135,442,788 \$ 4,223,298,360 \$  - \$  6,358,741,148 \$  1,568,916,719 \$ 2,752,620,510 \$  - \$  83,000,002 \$ 20,073,645 \$	2,319,396,177 \$ 4,462,099,796 \$ - \$ 6,781,495,973 \$  1,704,067,869 \$ 2,811,855,451 \$ - \$ 83,000,002 \$ 20,073,645 \$	2,519,195,858 \$ 4,714,413,534 \$ - \$ 7,233,609,393 \$  1,850,861,340 \$ 2,872,665,650 \$ - \$ - \$ 20,073,645 \$	2,736,206,878 \$ 4,981,004,694 \$ - \$ - \$ 7,717,211,572 \$  2,010,300,038 \$ 2,935,107,363 \$ - \$ - \$ 20,073,645 \$	Period 1  11,676,320,608 22,378,101,523 34,054,422,131  8,578,630,493 13,981,115,101 - 249,000,007 100,368,223
State Fiscal Year  NO WAIVER  Title XIX  *ABD/LTC/HCBS State Plan  NO WAIVER - FEDERAL SHARE  WITH WAIVER  Title XIX  **ABD/LTC/HCBS State Plan  HCBS state plan  HOLD DDD Supports-PDN  DSRIP  CNOMS  WITH WAIVER - FEDERAL SHARE	\$ 947,820,714 \$ \$ 2,391,860,109 \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 3,339,680,823 \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ 2,011,080,838 \$ \$ - \$ \$ 96,221,820 \$ \$ 14,798,341 \$ \$ 2,955,726,101 \$ \$ 2,011,069,653	2014 1,506,619,075 3,385,329,393 - - 4,891,948,468 1,328,022,716 2,751,940,994 - - 138,946,278 21,084,004 4,239,993,992	\$ 1,750,450,892 \$ 3,480,257,450 \$ - \$ 5,230,708,342 \$ 1,416,882,579 \$ 2,624,022,610 \$ - \$ 150,097,502 \$ 18,689,916 \$ 4,209,692,607	\$ 1,732,193,224 \$ \$ 3,620,527,386 \$ \$ - \$ \$ 5,352,720,610 \$  \$ 1,272,489,520 \$ \$ 2,647,200,206 \$ \$ - \$ \$ 168,572,730 \$ \$ 20,274,381 \$ \$ 4,108,536,836 \$	5 2017 1,810,147,427 3,784,120,100 - - 5,594,267,527 1,329,921,166 2,759,984,637 - - 167,087,881 20,073,645 4,277,067,328	Demo Period 1  \$ 7,747,231,332 \$ 16,662,094,439 \$ - \$ - \$ 24,409,325,771  \$ 6,180,941,082 \$ 12,794,229,285 \$ - \$ - \$ 720,926,211 \$ 94,920,286 \$ 19,791,016,864	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2018  1,966,078,907 \$ 3,997,285,138 \$  - \$ 5,963,364,045 \$  1,444,484,528 \$ 2,608,866,127 \$  - \$ 83,000,002 \$ 20,073,645 \$  4,156,424,302 \$	2019  2,135,442,788 \$ 4,223,298,360 \$  - \$  6,358,741,148 \$  1,568,916,719 \$ 2,752,620,510 \$  - \$  83,000,002 \$ 20,073,645 \$ 4,424,610,876 \$	2,319,396,177 \$ 4,462,099,796 \$ - \$ 6,781,495,973 \$  1,704,067,869 \$ 2,811,855,451 \$ - \$ 83,000,002 \$ 20,073,645 \$ 4,618,996,967 \$	2,519,195,858 \$ 4,714,413,534 \$ - \$ - \$ 7,233,609,393 \$  1,850,861,340 \$ 2,872,665,650 \$ - \$ - \$ 4,743,600,635 \$	2,736,206,878 \$ 4,981,004,694 \$ - \$ - \$ 7,717,211,572 \$  2,010,300,038 \$ 2,935,107,363 \$ - \$ - \$ 20,073,645 \$ 4,965,481,045 \$	Period 1  11,676,320,608 22,378,101,523 34,054,422,131  8,578,630,493 13,981,115,101 - 249,000,007 100,368,223 22,909,113,824
State Fiscal Year  NO WAIVER  Title XIX  *ABD/LTC/HCBS State Plan  NO WAIVER - FEDERAL SHARE  WITH WAIVER  Title XIX  **ABD/LTC/HCBS State Plan  HCBS state plan  HOLD DDD Supports-PDN  DSRIP  CNOMS  WITH WAIVER - FEDERAL SHARE	\$ 947,820,714 \$ \$ 2,391,860,109 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2014 1,506,619,075 3,385,329,393 - 4,891,948,468 1,328,022,716 2,751,940,994 - - 138,946,278 21,084,004 4,239,993,992 651,954,476	\$ 1,750,450,892 \$ 3,480,257,450 \$ - \$ 5,230,708,342 \$ 1,416,882,579 \$ 2,624,022,610 \$ - \$ 150,097,502 \$ 18,689,916 \$ 4,209,692,607	\$ 1,732,193,224 \$ \$ 3,620,527,386 \$ \$ - \$ \$ 5,352,720,610 \$  \$ 1,272,489,520 \$ \$ 2,647,200,206 \$ \$ - \$ \$ 168,572,730 \$ \$ 20,274,381 \$ \$ 4,108,536,836 \$	5 2017 1,810,147,427 3,784,120,100 - - 5,594,267,527 1,329,921,166 2,759,984,637 - - 167,087,881 20,073,645 4,277,067,328	Demo Period 1  \$ 7,747,231,332 \$ 16,662,094,439 \$ - \$ - \$ 24,409,325,771  \$ 6,180,941,082 \$ 12,794,229,285 \$ - \$ - \$ 720,926,211 \$ 94,920,286 \$ 19,791,016,864	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2018  1,966,078,907 \$ 3,997,285,138 \$  - \$ 5,963,364,045 \$  1,444,484,528 \$ 2,608,866,127 \$  - \$ 83,000,002 \$ 20,073,645 \$  4,156,424,302 \$	2019  2,135,442,788 \$ 4,223,298,360 \$  - \$  6,358,741,148 \$  1,568,916,719 \$ 2,752,620,510 \$  - \$  83,000,002 \$ 20,073,645 \$ 4,424,610,876 \$	2,319,396,177 \$ 4,462,099,796 \$ - \$ 6,781,495,973 \$  1,704,067,869 \$ 2,811,855,451 \$ - \$ 83,000,002 \$ 20,073,645 \$ 4,618,996,967 \$	2,519,195,858 \$ 4,714,413,534 \$ - \$ - \$ 7,233,609,393 \$  1,850,861,340 \$ 2,872,665,650 \$ - \$ - \$ 4,743,600,635 \$	2,736,206,878 \$ 4,981,004,694 \$ - \$ - \$ 7,717,211,572 \$  2,010,300,038 \$ 2,935,107,363 \$ - \$ - \$ 20,073,645 \$ 4,965,481,045 \$	Period 1  11,676,320,608 22,378,101,523 34,054,422,131  8,578,630,493 13,981,115,101 - 249,000,007 100,368,223 22,909,113,824
State Fiscal Year  NO WAIVER  Title XIX  *ABD/LTC/HCBS State Plan  NO WAIVER - FEDERAL SHARE  WITH WAIVER  Title XIX  **ABD/LTC/HCBS State Plan  HCBS state plan  HOLD DDD Supports-PDN  DSRIP  CNOMS  WITH WAIVER - FEDERAL SHARE  Difference  Notes:	\$ 947,820,714 \$ \$ 2,391,860,109 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2014  1,506,619,075 3,385,329,393	\$ 1,750,450,892 \$ 3,480,257,450 \$ - \$ 5,230,708,342 \$ 1,416,882,579 \$ 2,624,022,610 \$ - \$ 150,097,502 \$ 18,689,916 \$ 4,209,692,607 \$ 1,021,015,735	2016  \$ 1,732,193,224 \$ \$ 3,620,527,386 \$ \$ - \$ \$ 5,352,720,610 \$  \$ 1,272,489,520 \$ \$ 2,647,200,206 \$ \$ - \$ \$ 168,572,730 \$ \$ 20,274,381 \$ \$ 4,108,536,836 \$  \$ 1,244,183,774 \$  ber-months reported thr	5 2017  1,810,147,427 3,784,120,100 - 5,594,267,527  1,329,921,166 2,759,984,637 - 167,087,881 20,073,645 4,277,067,328  1,317,200,200  ough March 2017	Demo Period 1  \$ 7,747,231,332 \$ 16,662,094,439 \$ - \$ - \$ 24,409,325,771  \$ 6,180,941,082 \$ 12,794,229,285 \$ - \$ - \$ 720,926,211 \$ 94,920,286 \$ 19,791,016,864  \$ 4,618,308,907	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2018  1,966,078,907 \$ 3,997,285,138 \$  -	2019  2,135,442,788 \$ 4,223,298,360 \$  - \$  6,358,741,148 \$  1,568,916,719 \$ 2,752,620,510 \$  - \$  83,000,002 \$ 20,073,645 \$ 4,424,610,876 \$	2,319,396,177 \$ 4,462,099,796 \$ - \$ 6,781,495,973 \$  1,704,067,869 \$ 2,811,855,451 \$ - \$ 83,000,002 \$ 20,073,645 \$ 4,618,996,967 \$	2,519,195,858 \$ 4,714,413,534 \$ - \$ - \$ 7,233,609,393 \$  1,850,861,340 \$ 2,872,665,650 \$ - \$ - \$ 4,743,600,635 \$	2,736,206,878 \$ 4,981,004,694 \$ - \$ - \$ 7,717,211,572 \$  2,010,300,038 \$ 2,935,107,363 \$ - \$ - \$ 20,073,645 \$ 4,965,481,045 \$	Period 1  11,676,320,608 22,378,101,523 34,054,422,131  8,578,630,493 13,981,115,101 - 249,000,007 100,368,223 22,909,113,824

Dudget Noutrality Mar	itoring Coros	dchoot											
<b>Budget Neutrality Mor</b>	illoring Sprea	iasneet											
					Supple	mental Test #:	1						
Budget Neutrality "Without Waiver" Caps	based on Current Demo	caps Established	in STC #129										
					тот	AL COMPUTABLE							
Waiver Year	1	2	3	4	5	Demo		6	7	8	9	10	Renewal
State Fiscal Year	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Period 1		2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Period 1
NO WAIVER													
HCBS 217-like	\$ 217,434,338 \$	299,298,600	\$ 296,727,244	\$ 333,410,293 \$	381,879,694	\$ 1,528,750,169	\$	403,278,363 \$	425,876,110 \$	449,740,123 \$	474,941,359 \$	501,554,749 \$	2,255,390,70
Adults w/o Depend. Children	\$ 1,677,789 \$	798,912	\$ -	\$ - \$	-	\$ 2,476,701	\$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	-
SED 217-like	\$ 253,840 \$	345,267	\$ 290,262	\$ 256,844 \$	5,104,782	\$ 6,250,995	\$	5,510,394 \$	5,948,235 \$	6,420,866 \$	6,931,050 \$	7,481,773 \$	32,292,319
Former XIX Chip Parents	\$ - \$	140,335,250	\$ -	\$ - \$	-	\$ 140,335,250	\$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	-
IDD/MI	\$ - \$	-	\$ 6,423,263	\$ 34,851,919 \$	40,495,720	\$ 81,770,902	\$	43,713,400 \$	47,186,749 \$	50,936,080 \$	54,983,323 \$	59,352,149 \$	256,171,702
NO WAIVER -TOTAL COMPUTABLE	\$ 219,365,967 \$	440,778,028	\$ 303,440,769	\$ 368,519,057 \$	427,480,196	\$ 1,759,584,017	\$	452,502,158 \$	479,011,094 \$	507,097,069 \$	536,855,733 \$	568,388,671 \$	2,543,854,724
WITH WAIVER													
HCBS 217-like	\$ 207,464,369 \$	278,302,398	\$ 331,117,748	\$ 375,476,571 \$	430,061,851	\$ 1,622,422,937	\$	454,160,413 \$	479,609,340 \$	506,484,301 \$	534,865,203 \$	564,836,432 \$	2,539,955,689
Adults w/o Depend. Children	\$ 1,529,772 \$	- ,		\$ - \$		\$ 2,203,790	\$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	-
SED 217-like	\$ 83 \$	58,922	\$ 27,837	\$ 96,680 \$	6,135,308	\$ 6,318,830	\$	6,622,803 \$	7,149,033 \$	7,717,076 \$	8,330,254 \$	8,992,153 \$	38,811,319
Former XIX Chip Parents	\$ - \$	126,863,607	\$ -	\$ - \$		\$ 126,863,607	\$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	-
IDD/MI	\$ - \$	-	\$ 1,186,792	\$ 7,795,679 \$	9,058,086	\$ 18,040,557	\$	9,777,817 \$	10,554,736 \$	11,393,387 \$	12,298,675 \$	13,275,894 \$	57,300,509
WITH WAIVER - TOTAL COMPUTABLE	\$ 208,994,224 \$	405,898,945	\$ 332,332,377	\$ 383,368,930 \$	445,255,245	\$ 1,775,849,721	\$	470,561,033 \$	497,313,109 \$	525,594,764 \$	555,494,131 \$	587,104,479 \$	2,636,067,516
Difference	\$ 10,371,743 \$	34,879,083	\$ (28,891,608)	\$ (14,849,873) \$	(17,775,049)	\$ (16,265,704)	\$	(18,058,875) \$	(18,302,016) \$	(18,497,695) \$	(18,638,399) \$	(18,715,808) \$	(92,212,792)
					FI	EDERAL SHARE							
Waiver Year	1	2	3	4	5	Demo		6	7	8	9	10	Renewal
State Fiscal Year	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Period 1		2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Period 1
NO WAIVER													
HCBS 217-like	\$ 110,183,053 \$	154,284,438	\$ 152,380,963	\$ 167,828,121 \$	192,226,073	\$ 776,902,648	\$	201,639,182 \$	212,938,055 \$	224,870,062 \$	237,470,680 \$	250,777,374 \$	1,127,695,352
Adults w/o Depend. Children	\$ 852,857 \$	408,324	\$ -	\$ - \$	-	\$ 1,261,182	\$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	-
SED 217-like	\$ - \$	172,639			2,552,391		\$	2,755,197 \$	2,974,118 \$	3,210,433 \$	3,465,525 \$	3,740,886 \$	16,146,159
Former XIX Chip Parents	\$ - \$	71,621,870		\$ - \$		\$ 71,621,870	\$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	-
IDD/MI	\$ - \$		\$ 3,244,338		20,362,472		\$	21,980,419 \$	23,726,924 \$	25,612,201 \$	27,647,277 \$	29,844,055 \$	128,810,876
NO WAIVER -TOTAL COMPUTABLE	\$ 111,035,911 \$	226,487,272	\$ 155,770,698	\$ 185,403,603 \$	215,140,936	\$ 893,838,420	\$	226,374,798 \$	239,639,096 \$	253,692,695 \$	268,583,482 \$	284,362,316 \$	1,272,652,387
WITH WAIVER													
HCBS 217-like	\$ 105,130,854 \$	143,461,176			216,479,436	· · · ·	\$	227,080,206 \$	239,804,670 \$	253,242,150 \$	267,432,602 \$	282,418,216 \$	1,269,977,844
	\$ 777,617 \$	344,491		\$ - \$		\$ 1,122,108	\$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	-
Adults w/o Depend. Children	1 1	29,462	\$ 13,944	\$ 48,823 \$	3,067,654		\$	3,311,401 \$	3,574,516 \$	3,858,538 \$	4,165,127 \$	4,496,077 \$	19,405,659
SED 217-like	\$ - \$	,			1		\$	- \$	- S	- S	- Ś	- <b>Ś</b>	-
SED 217-like Former XIX Chip Parents	\$ - \$	64,746,447	\$ -	\$ - \$	-	· · · · ·		1015 500 1	'	'	,		
SED 217-like Former XIX Chip Parents IDD/MI	\$ - \$	64,746,447	\$ - \$ 599,439	\$ - \$ \$ 3,902,272 \$	4,554,680	\$ 9,056,391	\$	4,916,582 \$	5,307,240 \$	5,728,939 \$	6,184,145 \$	6,675,521 \$	<u> </u>
SED 217-like Former XIX Chip Parents	\$ - \$	64,746,447	\$ - \$ 599,439	\$ - \$ \$ 3,902,272 \$		\$ 9,056,391		4,916,582 \$ <b>235,308,190</b> \$	'	'	,		28,812,428 1,318,195,932
SED 217-like Former XIX Chip Parents IDD/MI WITH WAIVER - TOTAL COMPUTABLE	\$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ 105,908,471 \$	64,746,447 - 208,581,576	\$ - \$ 599,439 \$ 170,655,205	\$ - \$ \$ 3,902,272 \$ \$ 192,954,040 \$	4,554,680 <b>224,101,770</b>	\$ 9,056,391 \$ 902,201,062	\$	235,308,190 \$	5,307,240 \$ 248,686,427 \$	5,728,939 \$ 262,829,628 \$	6,184,145 \$ 277,781,874 \$	6,675,521 <b>\$ 293,589,813 \$</b>	
SED 217-like Former XIX Chip Parents IDD/MI	\$ - \$	64,746,447	\$ - \$ 599,439 \$ 170,655,205	\$ - \$ \$ 3,902,272 \$ \$ 192,954,040 \$	4,554,680	\$ 9,056,391 \$ 902,201,062	\$		5,307,240 \$	5,728,939 \$	6,184,145 \$	6,675,521 \$	<u> </u>
SED 217-like Former XIX Chip Parents IDD/MI WITH WAIVER - TOTAL COMPUTABLE	\$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ 105,908,471 \$	64,746,447 - 208,581,576	\$ - \$ 599,439 \$ 170,655,205	\$ - \$ \$ 3,902,272 \$ \$ 192,954,040 \$	4,554,680 224,101,770 (8,960,833)	\$ 9,056,391 \$ 902,201,062	\$ \$	235,308,190 \$	5,307,240 \$ 248,686,427 \$	5,728,939 \$ 262,829,628 \$	6,184,145 \$ 277,781,874 \$	6,675,521 <b>\$ 293,589,813 \$</b>	1,318,195,932

<b>Budget Neutrality Mor</b>	nitori	ng Sp	reac	dsheet											
Budget Neutrality "Without Waiver" Cap	s based o	n Current	Demo	caps Established	l in STC #129										
							TO	TAL COMPUTABLE							
Waiver Year		1		2	3	4	5	Demo		6	7	8	9	10	Renewal
State Fiscal Year		2013		2014	2015	2016	2017	Period 1		2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Period 1
NO WAIVER															
New Adult Group	\$	-	\$	655,329,429	\$ 3,208,229,680	\$ 3,490,197,745 \$	3,690,968,615	\$ 11,044,725,469	\$	3,946,655,795 \$	4,220,055,380 \$	4,512,394,375 \$	4,824,984,784 \$	5,159,229,498 \$	22,663,319,831
NO WAIVER -TOTAL COMPUTABLE	\$	-	\$	655,329,429	\$ 3,208,229,680	\$ 3,490,197,745 \$	3,690,968,615	\$ 11,044,725,469	\$	3,946,655,795 \$	4,220,055,380 \$	4,512,394,375 \$	4,824,984,784 \$	5,159,229,498 \$	22,663,319,831
WITH WAIVER															
New Adult Group	\$	-	\$	862,002,142	1 ,, ,			\$ 9,692,285,416	\$	3,280,956,785 \$	3,508,240,914 \$	3,751,269,863 \$	4,011,134,335 \$	4,289,000,589 \$	18,840,602,486
WITH WAIVER - TOTAL COMPUTABLE	\$	-	\$	862,002,142	\$ 2,860,394,406	\$ 2,901,491,432 \$	3,068,397,436	\$ 9,692,285,416	\$	3,280,956,785 \$	3,508,240,914 \$	3,751,269,863 \$	4,011,134,335 \$	4,289,000,589 \$	18,840,602,486
				(222 222 242)	4	4 4				4					
Difference	\$	-	Ş	(206,672,713)	\$ 347,835,274	\$ 588,706,313 \$	622,571,179	\$ 1,352,440,053	Ş	665,699,009 \$	711,814,466 \$	761,124,512 \$	813,850,449 \$	870,228,909 \$	3,822,717,345
								EDERAL SHARE							
Waiver Year		1		2	2	4	-	Demo		6	7	8	q	10	Renewal
		2013		2014	2015	2016	2017	Period 1		2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Period 1
State Fiscal Year NO WAIVER		2013		2014	2015	2016	2017	Period 1		2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Perioa 1
New Adult Group	Ś		Ś	655 316 796	\$ 3 208 177 306	\$ 3,490,140,767 \$	3 598 694 400	\$ 10,952,329,269	Ġ	3,729,589,726 \$	3,945,751,780 \$	4,128,840,853 \$	4,342,486,306 \$	4,643,306,548 <b>\$</b>	20,789,975,212
NO WAIVER -TOTAL COMPUTABLE	Ġ		1	655,316,796			<u> </u>	\$ 10,952,329,269	¢	3,729,589,726 \$	3,945,751,780 \$	4,128,840,853 \$	4,342,486,306 \$	4,643,306,548 \$	20,789,975,212
WITH WAIVER	<u>,                                     </u>		1	033,310,730	3,200,177,300	<del>\$ 3,430,140,707 \$</del>	3,330,034,400	7 10,532,323,203	7	3,723,303,720 \$	3,545,751,760 \$	4,120,040,033 \$	4,342,400,300 \$	4,043,300,340 \$	20,703,373,212
New Adult Group	Ś	-	Ś	861.985.526	\$ 2.860.347.710	\$ 2,901,444,065 \$	2.991.687.500	\$ 9.615.464.801	Ś	3,100,504,162 \$	3,280,205,254 \$	3,432,411,925 \$	3,610,020,901 \$	3,860,100,530 \$	17,283,242,772
WITH WAIVER - TOTAL COMPUTABLE	\$	-	\$	<u> </u>		\$ 2,901,444,065 \$	<u> </u>		\$	3,100,504,162 \$	3,280,205,254 \$	3,432,411,925 \$	3,610,020,901 \$	3,860,100,530 \$	17,283,242,772
	T T														
					1	A 500 505 700 A	607,006,899	\$ 1,336,864,468	Ś	629,085,564 \$	665,546,525 \$	696,428,928 \$	732,465,404 \$	783,206,018	3,506,732,440
Difference	\$	-	\$	(206,668,730)	\$ 347,829,596	\$ 588,696,702 \$	007,000,833	7 1,550,004,400		023,003,304 9	003,340,323	030)420)320 9	732,403,404	763,200,016	3,300,732,440
Difference Notes:	\$	-	\$	(206,668,730)	\$ 347,829,596	\$ 588,696,702 \$	007,000,833	2,330,004,400	· ·	023,003,304	003,540,523	030,423,320 \$	732,403,404 3	783,200,018	3,300,132,440
				<u> </u>						023,003,304	003,340,323	C50,420,520 Ç	732,403,404	763,200,016	3,300,732,440
Notes:	site Fede	ral Share I	Ratios (	source data is CN	лS 64 Schedule C as re				-	023/003/304	003,340,323	030,420,320 ¥	732,403,404	763,200,016	3,300,732,440

Federal Budget Neutrality - C	Сар												
TOTAL EXPENDITURES IN WAIVER	\$6,876,501,076	\$10,545,509,706	\$13,626,352,883	\$14,536,541,263	\$15,277,717,966	\$60,862,622,893	\$16,296,108,122	\$17,384,617,893	\$18,548,237,377	\$19,792,323,316	\$21,122,626,849	\$93,143,913,557	,
													Original STC
Waiver Year	1	2	3	4	5	Demo	6	7	8	9	10	Renewal	Growth %'s
State Fiscal Year	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Period 1	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Period 1	used for
Member Months	actual	actual	actual	actual	estimated		projected	projected	projected	projected	projected		BN
Title XIX	5,773,180	7,850,901	8,699,959	8,893,999	8,785,836		9,019,541	9,259,462	9,505,765	9,758,620	10,018,201		2.7%
*ABD/LTC/HCBS State Plan	2,499,711	3,361,590	3,381,631	3,401,925	3,357,056		3,418,678	3,481,431	3,545,336	3,610,414	3,676,686		1.8%
													1.8%
													1.8%
Total Waiver Member Months	8,272,891	11,212,491	12,081,590	12,295,924	12,142,892		12,438,219	12,740,893	13,051,101	13,369,034	13,694,887		
Per Member Per Month	400= 00	40.40.00	4000 = 4	400= 0=	****		****	4.50.00	4.00.00	4=	<b>*=</b> 0=		- no.
Title XIX	\$327.03	\$346.69	\$366.74	\$387.95	\$410.40		\$434.20	\$459.39	\$486.03	\$514.22	\$544.05		5.8%
*ABD/LTC/HCBS State Plan	\$1,907.87	\$2,001.31	\$2,047.55	\$2,124.50	\$2,250.06		\$2,334.42	\$2,421.96	\$2,512.78	\$2,607.01	\$2,704.78		3.75%
T : 15 15 /20 1 20 11 20	M224)												
Total Expenditures (Member Months x PN		42 724 020 050	42.400.622.064	42 450 425 042	42.505.707.004	444.055.500.000	40.046.040.404	44.000.000	44 500 400 504	AT 040 000 000	45 450 252 225	400 000 040 450	
Title XIX	\$1,888,003,055	\$2,721,828,868	\$3,190,622,964	\$3,450,426,912	\$3,605,707,094	\$14,856,588,893	\$3,916,313,421	\$4,253,676,301	\$4,620,100,621	\$5,018,089,822	\$5,450,362,995	\$23,258,543,159	
*ABD/LTC/HCBS State Plan	\$4,769,132,053	\$6,727,573,381	\$6,924,059,470	\$7,227,397,549	\$7,553,562,061	\$33,201,724,514	\$7,980,636,748	\$8,431,875,120	\$8,908,645,312	\$9,412,392,978	\$9,944,645,685	\$44,678,195,842	
Total Base Expenditures	\$6,657,135,109	\$9,449,402,249	\$10,114,682,433	\$10,677,824,461	\$11,159,269,155	\$48,058,313,407	\$11,896,950,170	\$12,685,551,420	\$13,528,745,933	\$14,430,482,800	\$15,395,008,680	\$67,936,739,002	
* ABD, LTC, and HCBS State Plan Member I	Months, PMPM, and	Total Expenditures a	re combined in the W	OW Cap Consolidated	l Calculation								
<b>Hypothetical Population Expenditures</b>													
HCBS 217-Like	\$217,434,338	\$299,298,600	\$296,727,244	\$333,410,293	\$381,879,694	\$1,528,750,169	\$403,278,363	\$425,876,110	\$449,740,123	\$474,941,359	\$501,554,749	\$2,255,390,704	
*Adults w/o Dependent Children	\$1,677,789	\$798,912	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,476,701	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
SED 217-Like	\$253,840	\$345,267	\$290,262	\$256,844	\$5,104,782	\$6,250,995	\$5,510,394	\$5,948,235	\$6,420,866	\$6,931,050	\$7,481,773	\$32,292,319	
*XIX CHIP Parents	\$0	\$140,335,250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$140,335,250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
IDD/MI	\$0	\$0	\$6,423,263	\$34,851,919	\$40,495,720	\$81,770,902	\$43,713,400	\$47,186,749	\$50,936,080	\$54,983,323	\$59,352,149	\$256,171,702	
New Adult Group	\$0	\$655,329,429	\$3,208,229,680	\$3,490,197,745	\$3,690,968,615	\$11,044,725,469	\$3,946,655,795	\$4,220,055,380	\$4,512,394,375	\$4,824,984,784	\$5,159,229,498	\$22,663,319,831	
Total Hypothetical Expenditures	\$219,365,967	\$1,096,107,457	\$3,511,670,449	\$3,858,716,802	\$4,118,448,811	\$12,804,309,486	\$4,399,157,952	\$4,699,066,473	\$5,019,491,444	\$5,361,840,517	\$5,727,618,169	\$25,207,174,555	
* Adults w/o Dependent Chidren and Title	XIX CHIP Parents are	now in New Adult Gr	oup as of 1/1/14.										

With Waiver - Expenditures													
Trici valve: Expenditures	<del></del>												
TOTAL EXPENDITURES IN WAIVER	\$6,100,227,468	\$9,442,488,618	\$11,297,320,773	\$11,437,497,403	\$12,005,158,050	\$50,282,692,312	\$12,044,101,459	\$12,833,033,560	\$13,491,860,168	\$14,029,478,279	\$14,781,257,604	\$67,179,731,070	
													Original S
Waiver Year	1	2	3	4	5	Demo	6	7	8	9	10	Renewal	Growth
State Fiscal Year	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Period 1	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Period 1	used fo
Member Months	actual	actual	actual	actual	estimated		projected	projected	projected	projected	projected		BN
Title XIX	5,773,180	7,850,901	8,699,959	8,893,999	8,785,836		9,019,541	9,259,462	9,505,765	9,758,620	10,018,201		2.7%
*ABD/LTC/HCBS State Plan	2,499,711	3,361,590	3,381,631	3,401,925	3,357,056		3,046,489	3,102,410	3,159,358	3,217,351	3,276,409		1.8%
													1.8%
													1.8%
Total Waiver Member Months	8,272,891	11,212,491	12,081,590	12,295,924	12,142,892		12,066,029	12,361,872	12,665,123	12,975,971	13,294,610		
Per Member Per Month		****	****	****	****		40.00			****			F 00/
*ARD /LTC /LICRS State Blog	\$287.63	\$305.59	\$296.85	\$284.99	\$301.52		\$319.01	\$337.51	\$357.09	\$377.80	\$399.71		5.8% 3.6%
*ABD/LTC/HCBS State Plan	\$1,604.06	\$1,626.65	\$1,543.46	\$1,553.21	\$1,609.12		\$1,667.05	\$1,727.06	\$1,727.06	\$1,727.06	\$1,727.06		3.6%
													3.7%
													3.7%
Total Expenditures (Member Months x F	PMPM)												
Title XIX	\$1,660,532,120	\$2,399,180,142	\$2,582,613,493	\$2,534,724,200	\$2,649,124,657	\$11,826,174,612	\$2,877,328,130	\$3,125,189,727	\$3,394,402,860	\$3,686,806,812	\$4,004,399,310	\$17,088,126,839	
*ABD/LTC/HCBS State Plan	\$4,009,676,348	\$5,468,130,944	\$5,219,407,337	\$5,283,892,825	\$5,508,360,696	\$25,489,468,150	\$5,209,108,223	\$5,496,142,521	\$5,614,445,392	\$5,735,895,711	\$5,860,605,937		
, ,	<i>+ 1/2 22/21 2/2 12</i>	70,100,000,0	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	70,000,000,000	¥=0,100,100,	75/255/255/2	70,100,110,100	7-7	7-777	+0,000,000,000	7-1/5-1/1-01	
otal Base Actual Expenditures	\$5,670,208,468	\$7,867,311,086	\$7,802,020,830	\$7,818,617,025	\$8,157,485,353	\$37,315,642,762	\$8,086,436,352	\$8,621,332,248	\$9,008,848,252	\$9,422,702,523	\$9,865,005,247	\$45,004,324,623	
* ABD, LTC, and HCBS State Plan Member						<del>407,010,011,701</del>	φο,οσο, ισο,οσο	<del>\(\text{\constant}\) \(\text{\constant}\) \(\text{\constant}\)</del>	<del>\$5,000,010,000</del>	<del>43,122,702,023</del>	<del>+3,003,003,11</del>	<del> </del>	
, ,,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,											
Hypothetical Population Expenditures													
HCBS 217-Like	\$207,464,369	\$278,302,398	\$331,117,748	\$375,476,571	\$430,061,851	\$1,622,422,937	\$454,160,413	\$479,609,340	\$506,484,301	\$534,865,203	\$564,836,432	\$2,539,955,689	
**Adults w/o Dependent Children	\$1,529,772	\$674,018	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,203,790	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
SED 217-Like	\$83						γU			γU	Ų	70	
, 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1	\$83	\$58,922	\$27,837	\$96,680	\$6,135,308	\$6,318,830	\$6,622,803	\$7,149,033	\$7,717,076	\$8,330,254	\$8,992,153		
**XIX CHIP Parents	\$0	\$58,922 \$126,863,607	\$27,837 \$0	\$96,680 \$0	\$6,135,308 \$0	\$6,318,830 \$126,863,607				·		\$38,811,319	
**XIX CHIP Parents							\$6,622,803		\$7,717,076	\$8,330,254	\$8,992,153	\$38,811,319 \$0	
	\$0	\$126,863,607	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$126,863,607	\$6,622,803 \$0	\$0	\$7,717,076 \$0	\$8,330,254 \$0	\$8,992,153 \$0	\$38,811,319 \$0 \$57,300,509	
**XIX CHIP Parents IDD/MI - 217-Like New Adult Group Total Hypothetical Expenditures	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$208,994,224	\$126,863,607 \$0 \$862,002,142 \$1,267,901,087	\$0 \$1,186,792 \$2,860,394,406 \$3,192,726,783	\$0 \$7,795,679	\$0 \$9,058,086	\$126,863,607 \$18,040,557	\$6,622,803 \$0 \$9,777,817	\$0 \$10,554,736	\$7,717,076 \$0 \$11,393,387	\$8,330,254 \$0 \$12,298,675	\$8,992,153 \$0 \$13,275,894	\$38,811,319 \$0 \$57,300,509 \$18,840,602,486	
**XIX CHIP Parents IDD/MI - 217-Like New Adult Group	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$208,994,224	\$126,863,607 \$0 \$862,002,142 \$1,267,901,087	\$0 \$1,186,792 \$2,860,394,406 \$3,192,726,783	\$0 \$7,795,679 \$2,901,491,432	\$0 \$9,058,086 \$3,068,397,436	\$126,863,607 \$18,040,557 \$9,692,285,416	\$6,622,803 \$0 \$9,777,817 \$3,280,956,785	\$0 \$10,554,736 \$3,508,240,914	\$7,717,076 \$0 \$11,393,387 \$3,751,269,863	\$8,330,254 \$0 \$12,298,675 \$4,011,134,335	\$8,992,153 \$0 \$13,275,894 \$4,289,000,589	\$38,811,319 \$0 \$57,300,509 \$18,840,602,486	
**XIX CHIP Parents IDD/MI - 217-Like New Adult Group Total Hypothetical Expenditures ** Adults w/o Dependent Chidren and Ti	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$208,994,224 tle XIX CHIP Parents are	\$126,863,607 \$0 \$862,002,142 <b>\$1,267,901,087</b> e now in New Adult G	\$0 \$1,186,792 \$2,860,394,406 <b>\$3,192,726,783</b> Group as of 1/1/14.	\$0 \$7,795,679 \$2,901,491,432 \$3,284,860,362	\$0 \$9,058,086 \$3,068,397,436 \$3,513,652,681	\$126,863,607 \$18,040,557 \$9,692,285,416 \$11,468,135,137	\$6,622,803 \$0 \$9,777,817 \$3,280,956,785 \$3,751,517,818	\$0 \$10,554,736 \$3,508,240,914 <b>\$4,005,554,023</b>	\$7,717,076 \$0 \$11,393,387 \$3,751,269,863 \$4,276,864,626	\$8,330,254 \$0 \$12,298,675 \$4,011,134,335 \$4,566,628,466	\$8,992,153 \$0 \$13,275,894 \$4,289,000,589 \$4,876,105,068	\$38,811,319 \$0 \$57,300,509 \$18,840,602,486 \$21,476,670,002	
**XIX CHIP Parents IDD/MI - 217-Like New Adult Group Total Hypothetical Expenditures	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$208,994,224	\$126,863,607 \$0 \$862,002,142 \$1,267,901,087	\$0 \$1,186,792 \$2,860,394,406 \$3,192,726,783	\$0 \$7,795,679 \$2,901,491,432	\$0 \$9,058,086 \$3,068,397,436	\$126,863,607 \$18,040,557 \$9,692,285,416	\$6,622,803 \$0 \$9,777,817 \$3,280,956,785	\$0 \$10,554,736 \$3,508,240,914	\$7,717,076 \$0 \$11,393,387 \$3,751,269,863 \$4,276,864,626	\$8,330,254 \$0 \$12,298,675 \$4,011,134,335	\$8,992,153 \$0 \$13,275,894 \$4,289,000,589 \$4,876,105,068	\$38,811,319 \$0 \$57,300,509 \$18,840,602,486 \$21,476,670,002	
**XIX CHIP Parents IDD/MI - 217-Like New Adult Group Total Hypothetical Expenditures ** Adults w/o Dependent Chidren and Ti	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$208,994,224 tle XIX CHIP Parents are	\$126,863,607 \$0 \$862,002,142 <b>\$1,267,901,087</b> e now in New Adult G	\$0 \$1,186,792 \$2,860,394,406 <b>\$3,192,726,783</b> Group as of 1/1/14.	\$0 \$7,795,679 \$2,901,491,432 \$3,284,860,362	\$0 \$9,058,086 \$3,068,397,436 \$3,513,652,681	\$126,863,607 \$18,040,557 \$9,692,285,416 \$11,468,135,137	\$6,622,803 \$0 \$9,777,817 \$3,280,956,785 \$3,751,517,818	\$0 \$10,554,736 \$3,508,240,914 <b>\$4,005,554,023</b>	\$7,717,076 \$0 \$11,393,387 \$3,751,269,863 \$4,276,864,626	\$8,330,254 \$0 \$12,298,675 \$4,011,134,335 \$4,566,628,466	\$8,992,153 \$0 \$13,275,894 \$4,289,000,589 \$4,876,105,068	\$38,811,319 \$0 \$57,300,509 \$18,840,602,486 \$21,476,670,002	
**XIX CHIP Parents IDD/MI - 217-Like New Adult Group Total Hypothetical Expenditures ** Adults w/o Dependent Chidren and Ti Supports Program Hospital Subsidies	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$208,994,224 tle XIX CHIP Parents are	\$126,863,607 \$0 \$862,002,142 <b>\$1,267,901,087</b> e now in New Adult G	\$0 \$1,186,792 \$2,860,394,406 <b>\$3,192,726,783</b> Group as of 1/1/14.	\$0 \$7,795,679 \$2,901,491,432 \$3,284,860,362 \$0	\$0 \$9,058,086 \$3,068,397,436 <b>\$3,513,652,681</b> \$0	\$126,863,607 \$18,040,557 \$9,692,285,416 \$11,468,135,137 \$0	\$6,622,803 \$0 \$9,777,817 \$3,280,956,785 \$3,751,517,818	\$0 \$10,554,736 \$3,508,240,914 \$4,005,554,023 \$0	\$7,717,076 \$0 \$11,393,387 \$3,751,269,863 \$4,276,864,626 \$0	\$8,330,254 \$0 \$12,298,675 \$4,011,134,335 <b>\$4,566,628,466</b>	\$8,992,153 \$0 \$13,275,894 \$4,289,000,589 \$4,876,105,068	\$38,811,319 \$0 \$57,300,509 \$18,840,602,486 \$21,476,670,002	
**XIX CHIP Parents IDD/MI - 217-Like New Adult Group Total Hypothetical Expenditures ** Adults w/o Dependent Chidren and Ti Supports Program Hospital Subsidies HRSF & GME	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$208,994,224 tle XIX CHIP Parents are	\$126,863,607 \$0 \$862,002,142 <b>\$1,267,901,087</b> e now in New Adult G \$0	\$0 \$1,186,792 \$2,860,394,406 <b>\$3,192,726,783</b> Group as of 1/1/14.	\$0 \$7,795,679 \$2,901,491,432 \$3,284,860,362 \$0	\$0 \$9,058,086 \$3,068,397,436 <b>\$3,513,652,681</b> \$0	\$126,863,607 \$18,040,557 \$9,692,285,416 \$11,468,135,137 \$0 \$12,443,637	\$6,622,803 \$0 \$9,777,817 \$3,280,956,785 \$3,751,517,818	\$0 \$10,554,736 \$3,508,240,914 \$4,005,554,023 \$0	\$7,717,076 \$0 \$11,393,387 \$3,751,269,863 \$4,276,864,626 \$0	\$8,330,254 \$0 \$12,298,675 \$4,011,134,335 \$4,566,628,466	\$8,992,153 \$0 \$13,275,894 \$4,289,000,589 \$4,876,105,068	\$38,811,319 \$0 \$57,300,509 \$18,840,602,486 \$21,476,670,002 \$0	
**XIX CHIP Parents IDD/MI - 217-Like New Adult Group Total Hypothetical Expenditures ** Adults w/o Dependent Chidren and Til Supports Program Hospital Subsidies HRSF & GME HRSF Transition Payments	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$208,994,224 tle XIX CHIP Parents are \$0 \$192,443,637	\$126,863,607 \$0 \$862,002,142 \$1,267,901,087 e now in New Adult G \$0 \$1 \$1,267,901,087 \$1,267,901,087 \$1,267,901,087 \$1,267,901,087 \$1,267,901,087	\$0 \$1,186,792 \$2,860,394,406 <b>\$3,192,726,783</b> Group as of 1/1/14. <b>\$0</b> \$	\$0 \$7,795,679 \$2,901,491,432 <b>\$3,284,860,362</b> \$0 \$0	\$0 \$9,058,086 \$3,068,397,436 \$3,513,652,681 \$0 \$0	\$126,863,607 \$18,040,557 \$9,692,285,416 \$11,468,135,137 \$0 \$192,443,637 \$83,302,681	\$6,622,803 \$0 \$9,777,817 \$3,280,956,785 \$3,751,517,818	\$0 \$10,554,736 \$3,508,240,914 \$4,005,554,023 \$0	\$7,717,076 \$0 \$11,393,387 \$3,751,269,863 \$4,276,864,626 \$0	\$8,330,254 \$0 \$12,298,675 \$4,011,134,335 <b>\$4,566,628,466</b>	\$8,992,153 \$0 \$13,275,894 \$4,289,000,589 \$4,876,105,068	\$38,811,319 \$0 \$57,300,509 \$18,840,602,486 \$21,476,670,002	
**XIX CHIP Parents DD/MI - 217-Like New Adult Group Fotal Hypothetical Expenditures  ** Adults w/o Dependent Chidren and Tile Supports Program Hospital Subsidies HRSF & GME HRSF Transition Payments GME State Plan	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$208,994,224 tle XIX CHIP Parents are \$0 \$192,443,637	\$126,863,607 \$0 \$862,002,142 <b>\$1,267,901,087</b> e now in New Adult G \$0	\$0 \$1,186,792 \$2,860,394,406 <b>\$3,192,726,783</b> Group as of 1/1/14.	\$0 \$7,795,679 \$2,901,491,432 \$3,284,860,362 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$9,058,086 \$3,068,397,436 <b>\$3,513,652,681</b> <b>\$0</b>	\$126,863,607 \$18,040,557 \$9,692,285,416 \$11,468,135,137 \$0 \$12,443,637	\$6,622,803 \$0 \$9,777,817 \$3,280,956,785 \$3,751,517,818	\$0 \$10,554,736 \$3,508,240,914 \$4,005,554,023 \$0	\$7,717,076 \$0 \$11,393,387 \$3,751,269,863 \$4,276,864,626 \$0	\$8,330,254 \$0 \$12,298,675 \$4,011,134,335 <b>\$4,566,628,466</b> \$0	\$8,992,153 \$0 \$13,275,894 \$4,289,000,589 \$4,876,105,068 \$0	\$38,811,319 \$0 \$57,300,509 \$18,840,602,486 \$21,476,670,002 \$0 \$0	
**XIX CHIP Parents DD/MI - 217-Like New Adult Group Fotal Hypothetical Expenditures  ** Adults w/o Dependent Chidren and Tile Supports Program Hospital Subsidies HRSF & GME HRSF Transition Payments GME State Plan DSRIP	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$208,994,224 tle XIX CHIP Parents and \$0 \$1 \$192,443,637	\$126,863,607 \$0 \$862,002,142 \$1,267,901,087 e now in New Adult G \$0 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$3,302,681 \$ \$100,000,001 \$3,304,870	\$0 \$1,186,792 \$2,860,394,406 \$3,192,726,783 Group as of 1/1/14. \$0 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$0 \$7,795,679 \$2,901,491,432 \$3,284,860,362 \$0 \$0 \$1 \$1 \$1,7,272,727	\$0 \$9,058,086 \$3,068,397,436 \$3,513,652,681 \$0 \$1 \$127,272,727 \$166,600,000	\$126,863,607 \$18,040,557 \$9,692,285,416 \$11,468,135,137 \$0 \$192,443,637 \$83,302,681 \$454,545,455	\$6,622,803 \$0 \$9,777,817 \$3,280,956,785 \$3,751,517,818 \$0 \$	\$0 \$10,554,736 \$3,508,240,914 <b>\$4,005,554,023</b> \$0 \$0 \$	\$7,717,076 \$0 \$11,393,387 \$3,751,269,863 \$4,276,864,626 \$0 \$	\$8,330,254 \$0 \$12,298,675 \$4,011,134,335 <b>\$4,566,628,466</b> \$0 \$	\$8,992,153 \$0 \$13,275,894 \$4,289,000,589 \$4,876,105,068 \$0	\$38,811,319 \$0 \$57,300,509 \$18,840,602,486 \$21,476,670,002 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	
**XIX CHIP Parents IDD/MI - 217-Like New Adult Group Total Hypothetical Expenditures ** Adults w/o Dependent Chidren and Ti Supports Program Hospital Subsidies HRSF & GME HRSF Transition Payments GME State Plan DSRIP Hospital Subsidies Expenditures	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$208,994,224 tle XIX CHIP Parents and \$0 \$192,443,637 \$192,443,637	\$126,863,607 \$0 \$862,002,142 \$1,267,901,087 e now in New Adult G \$0 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$3,302,681 \$ \$100,000,001 \$3,304,870	\$0 \$1,186,792 \$2,860,394,406 \$3,192,726,783 Group as of 1/1/14. \$0 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$0 \$7,795,679 \$2,901,491,432 \$3,284,860,362 \$0 \$0 \$1 \$127,272,727 \$166,600,000	\$0 \$9,058,086 \$3,068,397,436 \$3,513,652,681 \$0 \$1 \$127,272,727 \$166,600,000	\$126,863,607 \$18,040,557 \$9,692,285,416 \$11,468,135,137 \$0 \$1 \$12,443,637 \$83,302,681 \$454,545,455 \$583,104,871	\$6,622,803 \$0 \$9,777,817 \$3,280,956,785 \$3,751,517,818 \$0 \$ \$ \$	\$0 \$10,554,736 \$3,508,240,914 \$4,005,554,023 \$0 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$7,717,076 \$0 \$11,393,387 \$3,751,269,863 \$4,276,864,626 \$0 \$0 \$	\$8,330,254 \$0 \$12,298,675 \$4,011,134,335 \$4,566,628,466 \$0 \$	\$8,992,153 \$0 \$13,275,894 \$4,289,000,589 \$4,876,105,068 \$0 \$	\$38,811,319 \$0 \$57,300,509 \$18,840,602,486 \$21,476,670,002 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$498,000,000	
**XIX CHIP Parents IDD/MI - 217-Like New Adult Group Total Hypothetical Expenditures ** Adults w/o Dependent Chidren and Ti Supports Program Hospital Subsidies HRSF & GME HRSF Transition Payments GME State Plan DSRIP Hospital Subsidies Expenditures Costs Otherwise Not Matchable (CNOMS	\$0 \$0 \$208,994,224 tle XIX CHIP Parents and \$0 \$ 192,443,637 \$  \$ 192,443,637 \$ \$	\$126,863,607 \$0 \$862,002,142 \$1,267,901,087 e now in New Adult G \$0 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$3,302,681 \$ \$100,000,001 \$3,304,870 \$ \$ \$266,607,552	\$0 \$1,186,792 \$2,860,394,406 \$3,192,726,783 Group as of 1/1/14. \$0 \$ \$ - 100,000,000 166,600,001 \$ 266,600,001	\$0 \$7,795,679 \$2,901,491,432 \$3,284,860,362 \$0 \$ \$ - 127,272,727 166,600,000 \$ 293,872,727	\$0 \$9,058,086 \$3,068,397,436 \$3,513,652,681 \$ \$0 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$126,863,607 \$18,040,557 \$9,692,285,416 \$11,468,135,137 \$0 \$192,443,637 \$83,302,681 \$454,545,455 \$583,104,871 \$1,313,396,644	\$6,622,803 \$0 \$9,777,817 \$3,280,956,785 \$3,751,517,818 \$0 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$0 \$10,554,736 \$3,508,240,914 \$4,005,554,023 \$0 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$7,717,076 \$0 \$11,393,387 \$3,751,269,863 \$4,276,864,626 \$0 \$ \$ - 166,000,000 \$ 166,000,000	\$8,330,254 \$0 \$12,298,675 \$4,011,134,335 \$4,566,628,466 \$0 \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$8,992,153 \$0 \$13,275,894 \$4,289,000,589 \$4,876,105,068  \$0  \$ \$ \$ -	\$38,811,319 \$0 \$57,300,509 \$18,840,602,486 \$21,476,670,002 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$498,000,000 \$498,000,000	
**XIX CHIP Parents IDD/MI - 217-Like New Adult Group Total Hypothetical Expenditures ** Adults w/o Dependent Chidren and Ti Supports Program  Hospital Subsidies HRSF & GME HRSF Transition Payments GME State Plan DSRIP Hospital Subsidies Expenditures  Costs Otherwise Not Matchable (CNOM: SED at Risk	\$0 \$0 \$208,994,224 tile XIX CHIP Parents and \$0 \$ 192,443,637 \$  \$ 192,443,637 \$ \$ 192,443,637 \$ \$ 24,511,364 \$	\$126,863,607 \$0 \$862,002,142 \$1,267,901,087 e now in New Adult G \$0 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$3,302,681 \$100,000,001 \$3,304,870 \$ \$266,607,552 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$0 \$1,186,792 \$2,860,394,406 \$3,192,726,783 Group as of 1/1/14. \$0 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$0 \$7,795,679 \$2,901,491,432 \$3,284,860,362 \$0 \$ \$ \$ - 127,272,727 166,600,000 \$ 293,872,727 \$ 40,147,289	\$0 \$9,058,086 \$3,068,397,436 \$3,513,652,681 \$ \$0 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$126,863,607 \$18,040,557 \$9,692,285,416 \$11,468,135,137 \$0 \$192,443,637 \$83,302,681 \$454,545,455 \$583,104,871 \$1,313,396,644 \$178,018,836	\$6,622,803 \$0 \$9,777,817 \$3,280,956,785 \$3,751,517,818 \$0 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$0 \$10,554,736 \$3,508,240,914 \$4,005,554,023 \$0 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$7,717,076 \$0 \$11,393,387 \$3,751,269,863 \$4,276,864,626 \$0 \$0 \$	\$8,330,254 \$0 \$12,298,675 \$4,011,134,335 \$4,566,628,466 \$0 \$	\$8,992,153 \$0 \$13,275,894 \$4,289,000,589 \$4,876,105,068 \$0 \$	\$38,811,319 \$0 \$57,300,509 \$18,840,602,486 \$21,476,670,002 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$20,736,445	
**XIX CHIP Parents IDD/MI - 217-Like New Adult Group Total Hypothetical Expenditures ** Adults w/o Dependent Chidren and Til Supports Program Hospital Subsidies HRSF & GME HRSF Transition Payments GME State Plan DSRIP Hospital Subsidies Expenditures  Costs Otherwise Not Matchable (CNOM: SED at Risk MATI at Risk	\$0 \$0 \$208,994,224 tle XIX CHIP Parents and \$0 \$ 192,443,637 \$  \$ 192,443,637 \$ \$ 192,443,637 \$ \$ 4,069,775	\$126,863,607 \$0 \$862,002,142 \$1,267,901,087 e now in New Adult G \$0 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$3,302,681 \$100,000,001 \$3,304,870 \$ \$266,607,552 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$0 \$1,186,792 \$2,860,394,406 \$3,192,726,783 Group as of 1/1/14. \$0 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$0 \$7,795,679 \$2,901,491,432 \$3,284,860,362 \$0 \$ \$ - 127,272,727 166,600,000 \$ 293,872,727	\$0 \$9,058,086 \$3,068,397,436 \$3,513,652,681 \$ \$0 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$126,863,607 \$18,040,557 \$9,692,285,416 \$11,468,135,137 \$0 \$192,443,637 \$83,302,681 \$454,545,455 \$583,104,871 \$1,313,396,644	\$6,622,803 \$0 \$9,777,817 \$3,280,956,785 \$3,751,517,818 \$0 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$0 \$10,554,736 \$3,508,240,914 \$4,005,554,023 \$0 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$7,717,076 \$0 \$11,393,387 \$3,751,269,863 \$4,276,864,626 \$0 \$ \$ - 166,000,000 \$ 166,000,000	\$8,330,254 \$0 \$12,298,675 \$4,011,134,335 \$4,566,628,466 \$0 \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$8,992,153 \$0 \$13,275,894 \$4,289,000,589 \$4,876,105,068  \$0  \$ \$ \$ -	\$38,811,319 \$0 \$57,300,509 \$18,840,602,486 \$21,476,670,002 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$498,000,000 \$498,000,000	
**XIX CHIP Parents IDD/MI - 217-Like New Adult Group Total Hypothetical Expenditures ** Adults w/o Dependent Chidren and Ti Supports Program Hospital Subsidies HRSF & GME HRSF Transition Payments GME State Plan DSRIP Hospital Subsidies Expenditures Costs Otherwise Not Matchable (CNOM: SED at Risk	\$0 \$0 \$208,994,224 tle XIX CHIP Parents and \$0 \$ 192,443,637 \$ - - \$ 192,443,637 \$ \$ \$ 24,511,364 \$ 4,069,775 -	\$126,863,607 \$0 \$862,002,142 \$1,267,901,087 e now in New Adult G \$0 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$3,302,681 \$100,000,001 \$3,304,870 \$ \$266,607,552 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$0 \$1,186,792 \$2,860,394,406 \$3,192,726,783 Group as of 1/1/14. \$0 \$ \$ - 100,000,000 166,600,001 \$ 266,600,001	\$0 \$7,795,679 \$2,901,491,432 \$3,284,860,362 \$0 \$ \$ \$ - 127,272,727 166,600,000 \$ 293,872,727 \$ 40,147,289	\$0 \$9,058,086 \$3,068,397,436 \$3,513,652,681 \$ \$0 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$126,863,607 \$18,040,557 \$9,692,285,416 \$11,468,135,137 \$0 \$192,443,637 \$83,302,681 \$454,545,455 \$583,104,871 \$1,313,396,644 \$178,018,836	\$6,622,803 \$0 \$9,777,817 \$3,280,956,785 \$3,751,517,818 \$0 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$0 \$10,554,736 \$3,508,240,914 \$4,005,554,023 \$0 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$7,717,076 \$0 \$11,393,387 \$3,751,269,863 \$4,276,864,626 \$0 \$ \$ - 166,000,000 \$ 166,000,000	\$8,330,254 \$0 \$12,298,675 \$4,011,134,335 \$4,566,628,466 \$0 \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$8,992,153 \$0 \$13,275,894 \$4,289,000,589 \$4,876,105,068  \$0  \$ \$ \$ -	\$38,811,319 \$0 \$57,300,509 \$18,840,602,486 \$21,476,670,002 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$20,736,445	

Federal Budget Neutrality - 0	Сар												
TOTAL EXPENDITURES IN WAIVER	\$6,876,501,076	\$10,545,509,706	\$13,626,352,883	\$14,536,541,263	\$15,277,717,966	\$60,862,622,893	\$16,296,108,122	\$17,384,617,893	\$18,548,237,377	\$19,792,323,316	\$21,122,626,849	\$93,143,913,557	
													Original STC
Waiver Year	1	2	3	4	5	Demo	6	7	8	9	10	Renewal	Growth %'s
State Fiscal Year	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Period 1	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Period 1	used for
Member Months	actual	actual	actual	actual	estimated		projected	projected	projected	projected	projected		BN
Title XIX	5,773,180	7,850,901	8,699,959	8,893,999	8,785,836		9,019,541		9,505,765	9,758,620	10,018,201		2.7%
ABD	2,204,185	2,970,258	2,994,227	2,984,002	2,893,300		2,946,410	3,000,494	3,055,571	3,111,658	3,168,776		1.8%
LTC	281,932	372,472	361,748	358,881	365,469		372,177	379,009	385,966	393,051	400,265		1.8%
HCBS State Plan	13,594	18,860	25,656	59,042	98,287		100,091	101,928	103,799	105,705	107,645		1.8%
Total Waiver Member Months	8,272,891	11,212,491	12,081,590	12,295,924	12,142,892		12,438,219	12,740,893	13,051,101	13,369,034	13,694,887		
Per Member Per Month													
Title XIX	\$327.03	\$346.69	\$366.74	\$387.95	\$410.40		\$434.20	\$459.39	\$486.03	\$514.22	\$544.05		5.8%
ABD	\$1,045.04	\$1,124.49	\$1,164.91	\$1,206.78	\$1,250.17		\$1,295.18	\$1,341.80	\$1,390.11	\$1,440.15	\$1,492.00		3.6%
LTC	\$8,636.81	\$8,975.89	\$9,325.83	\$9,689.41	\$10,067.17		\$10,459.79	\$10,867.72	\$11,291.56	\$11,731.93	\$12,189.48		3.9%
HCBS State Plan	\$2,256.69	\$2,347.84	\$2,434.29	\$2,523.94	\$2,616.93		\$2,713.76	\$2,814.17	\$2,918.29	\$3,026.27	\$3,138.24		3.7%
Total Expenditures (Member Months x PN	,												
Title XIX	\$1,888,003,055	\$2,721,828,868	\$3,190,622,964	\$3,450,426,912	\$3,605,707,094	\$14,856,588,893	\$3,916,313,421	\$4,253,676,301	\$4,620,100,621	\$5,018,089,822	\$5,450,362,995	\$23,258,543,159	
ABD	\$2,303,461,492	\$3,340,025,418	\$3,488,004,975	\$3,601,033,934	\$3,617,117,365	\$16,349,643,184	\$3,816,119,411	\$4,026,069,903	\$4,247,571,189	\$4,481,258,757	\$4,727,803,055	\$21,298,822,314	
LTC	\$2,434,993,117	\$3,343,267,700	\$3,373,600,351	\$3,477,345,150	\$3,679,234,498	\$16,308,440,816	\$3,892,894,337	\$4,118,961,793	\$4,358,157,397	\$4,611,243,524	\$4,879,026,824	\$21,860,283,875	
HCBS State Plan	\$30,677,444	\$44,280,262	\$62,454,144	\$149,018,465	\$257,210,199	\$543,640,515	\$271,623,000	\$286,843,424	\$302,916,726	\$319,890,697	\$337,815,807	\$1,519,089,653	
Total Base Expenditures	\$6,657,135,109	\$9,449,402,249	\$10,114,682,433	\$10,677,824,461	\$11,159,269,155	\$48,058,313,407	\$11,896,950,170	\$12,685,551,420	\$13,528,745,933	\$14,430,482,800	\$15,395,008,680	\$67,936,739,002	
Hypothetical Population Expenditures													
HCBS 217-Like	\$217,434,338	\$299,298,600	\$296,727,244	\$333,410,293	\$381,879,694	\$1,528,750,169	\$403,278,363	\$425,876,110	\$449,740,123	\$474,941,359	\$501,554,749	\$2,255,390,704	
*Adults w/o Dependent Children	\$1,677,789	\$798,912	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,476,701	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
SED 217-Like	\$253,840	\$345,267	\$290,262	\$256,844	\$5,104,782	\$6,250,995	\$5,510,394	\$5,948,235	\$6,420,866	\$6,931,050	\$7,481,773	\$32,292,319	
*XIX CHIP Parents	\$0	\$140,335,250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$140,335,250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	, -	\$0	
IDD/MI	\$0	\$0	\$6,423,263	\$34,851,919	\$40,495,720	\$81,770,902	\$43,713,400	\$47,186,749	\$50,936,080	\$54,983,323	\$59,352,149	\$256,171,702	
New Adult Group	\$0	\$655,329,429	\$3,208,229,680	\$3,490,197,745	\$3,690,968,615	\$11,044,725,469	\$3,946,655,795	\$4,220,055,380	\$4,512,394,375	\$4,824,984,784	\$5,159,229,498	\$22,663,319,831	
Total Hypothetical Expenditures	\$219,365,967	\$1,096,107,457	\$3,511,670,449	\$3,858,716,802	\$4,118,448,811	\$12,804,309,486	\$4,399,157,952	\$4,699,066,473	\$5,019,491,444	\$5,361,840,517	\$5,727,618,169	\$25,207,174,555	
* Adults w/o Dependent Chidren and Title	XIX CHIP Parents are	now in New Adult Gr	oup as of 1/1/14.										

With Waiver - Expenditures													
-													
TOTAL EXPENDITURES IN WAIVER	\$6,100,227,468	\$9,442,488,618	\$11,297,320,773	\$11,437,497,403	\$12,005,158,050	\$50,282,692,312	\$12,044,101,459	\$12,833,033,560	\$13,491,860,168	\$14,029,478,279	\$14,781,257,604	\$67,179,731,070	
													Original S
Waiver Year	1	2	3	4	5	Demo	6	7	8	9	10	Renewal	Growth 9
State Fiscal Year	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Period 1	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Period 1	used fo
Member Months	actual	actual	actual	actual	estimated		projected	projected	projected	projected	projected		BN
Title XIX	5,773,180	7,850,901	8,699,959	8,893,999	8,785,836		9,019,541	9,259,462	9,505,765	9,758,620	10,018,201		2.7%
*ABD	2,486,117	3,342,730	3,355,975	3,342,883	3,258,769		2,946,398	3,000,482	3,055,559	3,111,646	3,168,764		1.8%
*LTC HCBS State Plan	13.594	18.860	25.656	59,042	98,287		100.091	101,928	103.799	105,705	107.645		1.8%
Total Waiver Member Months	8,272,891	11,212,491	12,081,590	12,295,924	12,142,892		12,066,029	12,361,872	12,665,123	12,975,971	13,294,610		1.070
Total Walver Member Months	8,272,831	11,212,491	12,081,590	12,295,924	12,142,032		12,000,029	12,301,672	12,003,123	12,373,371	13,294,610		
Per Member Per Month													
Title XIX	\$287.63	\$305.59	\$296.85	\$284.99	\$301.52		\$319.01	\$337.51	\$357.09	\$377.80	\$399.71		5.8%
*ABD	\$1,595.54	\$1,616.41	\$1,525.65	\$1,508.82	\$1,563.14		\$1,619.41	\$1,677.71	\$1,677.71	\$1,677.71	\$1,677.71		3.6%
*LTC	Ç1,555.54	ψ1,010.41	ψ±,323.03	φ1,500.02	71,303.14		- <del> </del>	φ <u>τ</u> ,σ,,,,	φ <u>1,</u> 0/7./1	Ψ1,077.71	Ψ1,077.71		3.9%
HCBS State Plan	\$3,162.12	\$3,441.37	\$3,872.47	\$4,066.37	\$4,216.83		\$4,372.85	\$4,534.64	\$4,702.43	\$4,876.42	\$5,056.84		3.7%
	+0,102.122	+3,2.57	+5,0.2.47	<b>-</b> 1,000.57	7 1,220.33		ψ 1,57 2.05	ψ 1,00 NO+	7 1,7 02.40	7 1,07 0.42	<b>—</b> <del>•</del> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		±/0
Total Expenditures (Member Months x P	MPM)												
Title XIX	\$1,660,532,120	\$2,399,180,142	\$2,582,613,493	\$2,534,724,200	\$2,649,124,657	\$11,826,174,612	\$2,877,328,130	\$3,125,189,727	\$3,394,402,860	\$3,686,806,812	\$4,004,399,310	\$17,088,126,839	
*ABD	\$3,966,690,442	\$5,403,226,627	\$5,120,055,291	\$5,043,806,205	\$5,093,901,545	\$24,627,680,110	\$4,771,424,809	\$5,033,933,470	\$5,126,336,408	\$5,220,435,488	\$5,316,261,845	\$25,468,392,019	
*LTC	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-	
HCBS State Plan	\$42,985,906	\$64,904,317	\$99,352,046	\$240,086,620	\$414,459,151	\$861,788,040	\$437,683,414	\$462,209,051	\$488,108,984	\$515,460,224	\$544,344,093		
Total Base Actual Expenditures	\$5,670,208,468	\$7,867,311,086	\$7,802,020,830	\$7,818,617,025	\$8,157,485,353	\$37,315,642,762	\$8,086,436,352	\$8,621,332,248	\$9,008,848,252	\$9,422,702,523	\$9,865,005,247	\$45,004,324,623	
* ABD and LTC Member Months, PMPM, o	and Total Expenditures	are combined in the	Actual Detail Calcul	ation									
Hypothetical Population Expenditures													
HCBS 217-Like	\$207,464,369	\$278,302,398	\$331,117,748	\$375,476,571	\$430,061,851	\$1,622,422,937	\$454,160,413	\$479,609,340	\$506,484,301	\$534,865,203	\$564,836,432	\$2,539,955,689	
**Adults w/o Dependent Children	\$1,529,772	\$674,018	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,203,790	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
SED 217-Like	\$83	\$58,922	\$27,837	\$96,680	\$6,135,308	\$6,318,830	\$6,622,803	\$7,149,033	\$7,717,076	\$8,330,254	\$8,992,153	\$38,811,319	
**XIX CHIP Parents	\$0	\$126,863,607	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$126,863,607	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
IDD/MI - 217-Like	\$0	\$0	\$1,186,792	\$7,795,679	\$9,058,086	\$18,040,557	\$9,777,817	\$10,554,736	\$11,393,387	\$12,298,675	\$13,275,894	\$57,300,509	
New Adult Group	\$0	\$862,002,142	\$2,860,394,406	\$2,901,491,432	\$3,068,397,436	\$9,692,285,416	\$3,280,956,785	\$3,508,240,914	\$3,751,269,863	\$4,011,134,335	\$4,289,000,589	\$18,840,602,486	
Total Hypothetical Expenditures	\$208,994,224	\$1,267,901,087	\$3,192,726,783	\$3,284,860,362	\$3,513,652,681	\$11,468,135,137	\$3,751,517,818	\$4,005,554,023	\$4,276,864,626	\$4,566,628,466	\$4,876,105,068	\$21,476,670,002	
** Adults w/o Dependent Chidren and Titl	e XIX CHIP Parents are	now in New Adult G	roup as of 1/1/14.										
Supports Program	\$0	ŚO	\$0	\$0	ŚO	\$0	\$0	ŚO	\$0	ŚO	\$0	\$0	
Supports 110gram	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	- 30	30	
Hospital Subsidies													
	\$ 192,443,637 \$	- 5	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$192,443,637	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$0	
HRSF Transition Payments	-	83,302,681	-	-	-	\$83,302,681						\$0	
GME State Plan	-	100,000,001	100,000,000	127,272,727	127,272,727	\$454,545,455	-	-	-	-	-	\$0	
DSRIP	-	83,304,870	166,600,001	166,600,000	166,600,000	\$583,104,871	166,000,000	166,000,000	166,000,000	-	-	\$498,000,000	
Hospital Subsidies Expenditures	\$ 192,443,637	266,607,552	266,600,001	\$ 293,872,727	\$ 293,872,727	\$1,313,396,644	\$ 166,000,000	\$ 166,000,000	\$ 166,000,000	<del>\$</del> -	\$ -	\$498,000,000	
Costs Otherwise Not Matchable (CNOMs													
SED at Risk	\$ 24,511,364 \$	37,239,735	35,973,159	\$ 40,147,289	\$ 40,147,289	\$178,018,836	\$ 40,147,289	\$ 40,147,289	\$ 40,147,289	\$ 40,147,289	\$ 40,147,289	\$200,736,445	
MATI at Risk	4,069,775	3,429,158	-	-	-	\$7,498,933	-		,,2,200		, .,,203	\$0	
DDD non-Disabled Adult Children	-	-	-		'	. , ,	' '					, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
DDD Community / Supports Equalization	-	-	-										
CNOM Expenditures	\$ 28,581,139	40,668,893	35,973,159	\$ 40.147.289	\$ 40,147,289	\$185,517,769	\$ 40,147,289	\$ 40,147,289	\$ 40,147,289	\$ 40.147.289	\$ 40,147,289	\$200,736,445	

Hypotheticals	s: Enrollm	ent and	PMPM's	3										
Waiver Year	-	1	2	3	4	5	Demo	6	7	8	9	10	Renewal	Growth %'s
State Fiscal Year	r	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Period 1	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Period 1	
WOW-CAP														
HCBS 217-Like	Enrollment	96,351	127,895	122,272	132,486	146,332		149,018	151,753	154,539	157,376	160,264		1.8%
	PMPM	\$2,256.69	\$2,340.19	\$2,426.78	\$2,516.57	\$2,609.68		\$2,706.24	\$2,806.37	\$2,910.20	\$3,017.88	\$3,129.54		3.7%
Adults w/o DC	Enrollment	6,057	2,774	3,870,426	4,240,834	4,389,071		4,389,071	4,389,071	4,389,071	4,389,071	4,389,071		
	PMPM	\$277.00	\$288.00					\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		
SED 217-Like	Enrollment	113	145	115	96	1,800		1,833	1,867	1,901	1,936	1,971		1.8%
	PMPM	\$2,246.37	\$2,381.15	\$2,524.02	\$2,675.46	\$2,835.99		\$3,006.15	\$3,186.52	\$3,377.71	\$3,580.37	\$3,795.19		6.0%
XIX Chip Parents	Enrollment	0	456,761	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0		
	PMPM		\$307.24					\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		
IDD/MI	Enrollment	0	0	581	2,974	3,260		3,320	3,381	3,443	3,506	3,570		1.8%
	PMPM	\$9,839.39	\$10,429.75	\$11,055.53	\$11,718.87	\$12,422.00		\$13,167.32	\$13,957.36	\$14,794.80	\$15,682.49	\$16,623.44		6.0%
New Adult Group	Enrollment	0	1,408,947	6,541,000	6,777,083	6,825,647		6,950,938	7,078,529	7,208,462	7,340,780	7,475,527		1.8%
	PMPM		\$465.12	\$490.48	\$515.00	\$540.75		\$567.79	\$596.18	\$625.99	\$657.29	\$690.15		5.0%
ACTUALS														
HCBS 217-Like	Enrollment	96,351	127,895	122,272	132,486	146,332		149,018	151,753	154,539	157,376	160,264		1.8%
	PMPM	\$2,153.21	\$2,176.02	\$2,708.04	\$2,834.08	\$2,938.95		\$3,047.69	\$3,160.45	\$3,277.39	\$3,398.65	\$3,524.40		3.7%
Adults w/o DC	Enrollment	6,057	2,774	3,870,426	4,240,834	4,389,071		4,389,071	4,389,071	4,389,071	4,389,071	4,389,071		
	PMPM	\$252.56	\$242.98					\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		
SED 217-Like	Enrollment	113	145	115	96	1,800		1,833	1,867	1,901	1,936	1,971		1.8%
	PMPM	\$0.73	\$406.36	\$242.06	\$1,007.08	\$3,408.50		\$3,613.01	\$3,829.80	\$4,059.58	\$4,303.16	\$4,561.35		6.0%
*XIX CHIP Parents	Enrollment	0	456,761	0	0	0								
	PMPM		\$277.75											
IDD/MI - 217-Like	Enrollment	0	0	581	2,974	3,260		 3,320	3,381	3,443	3,506	3,570		1.8%
	PMPM	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,042.67	\$2,621.28	\$2,778.55		 \$2,945.27	\$3,121.98	\$3,309.30	\$3,507.86	\$3,718.33		6.0%
New Adult Group	Enrollment	0	1,186,513	6,541,000	6,777,083	6,825,647		6,950,938	7,078,529	7,208,462	7,340,780	7,475,527		1.8%
	PMPM		\$726.50	\$437.30	\$428.13	\$449.54		\$472.02	\$495.62	\$520.40	\$546.42	\$573.74		5.0%

Hospital Subsidy Sumr	mary												
Waiver Year	1	2	3	4	5		Demo	6	7	8	9	10	Renewal
State Fiscal Year	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017		Period 1	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Period 1
TOTAL COMPUTABLE													
HRSF & GME	\$ 192,443,637	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	192,443,637	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
HRSF Transition Payments	-	83,302,681	-	-	-	\$	83,302,681						\$ -
GME State Plan	-	100,000,001	100,000,000	127,291,443	140,999,967	\$	468,291,411	-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
DSRIP	-	83,304,870	166,600,001	166,600,000	15,238,210	\$	431,743,081	166,000,000	166,000,000	166,000,000	-	-	\$ 498,000,00
TOTAL COMPUTABLE	\$ 192,443,637	\$ 266,607,552	\$ 266,600,001	\$ 293,891,443	\$ 156,238,177	\$ 1,	175,780,810	\$ 166,000,000	\$ 166,000,000	\$ 166,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 498,000,00
Composite Federal Share Perc	entage												
HRSF & GME	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	ò							
HRSF Transition Payments	0.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	ò		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
GME State Plan	0.00%	55.64%	66.80%	67.00%	65.83%	ò		64.83%	64.50%	63.83%	63.33%	63.33%	
DSRIP	0.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	ò		50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	
FEDERAL SHARE													
HRSF & GME	\$ 96,221,820	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	96,221,820	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
HRSF Transition Payments	-	41,651,341	-	-	-	\$	41,651,341	-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
GME State Plan	-	55,642,502	66,797,499	85,272,727	83,787,879	\$	291,500,607	-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
DSRIP	-	41,652,435	83,300,003	83,300,003	83,300,003	\$	291,552,443	83,000,002	83,000,002	83,000,002	-	-	\$ 249,000,00
FEDERAL SHARE	\$ 96,221,820	\$ 138,946,278	\$ 150,097,502	\$ 168,572,730	\$ 167,087,881	\$	720,926,211	\$ 83,000,002	\$ 83,000,002	\$ 83,000,002	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 249,000,00
DY6-10: Total Computable amour	l nts tie to the amo	unts budgeted in	SFY2016.										
DY6-10: Federal Share amounts =	Total Computabl	e amounts multip	lied by the Feder	al Composite Shar	e Percentage (es	stimate	e for DY4/DY5)						

Costs Otherwise Not M	atchable (CNC	M) Summary													
	Waiver Year	1	2	3	4	5	Demo		6	7	8	9	10	Renewal	Growth %
S	State Fiscal Year	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Period 1		2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Period 1	
TOTAL COMPUTABLE															
SED at Risk	\$	24,511,364 \$	37,239,735	\$ 35,973,159	\$ 40,147,289 \$	40,147,289	\$ 178,018,836		\$ 40,147,289	40,147,289	\$ 40,147,289	\$ 40,147,289	\$ 40,147,289 \$	200,736,445	
MATI at Risk	\$	4,069,775 \$	3,429,158	\$ -	\$ - \$	-	\$ 7,498,933		\$ - !	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ - \$	-	
DDD non-Disabled Adult Children	\$	- \$	-	\$ -	\$ - \$	-	\$ -		\$ - \$	; -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ - \$	-	3.00%
DDD Community / Supports Equali:	zation \$	- \$	-	\$ -	\$ - \$	-	\$ -	_	\$ - \$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ - \$	-	3.00%
TOTAL COMPUTABLE	\$	28,581,139.00 \$	40,668,893.00	\$ 35,973,159.00	\$ 40,147,289.00 \$	40,147,289.00	\$ 185,517,769		\$ 40,147,289	40,147,289	\$ 40,147,289	\$ 40,147,289	\$ 40,147,289 \$	200,736,445	
Composite Federal Share Perce	ntage														
SED at Risk		51.99%	51.83%	51.96%	50.50%	50.00%			50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%		
MATI at Risk		50.50%	52.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%			0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
DDD non-Disabled Adult Children					50.00%	50.00%			50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%		
DDD Community / Supports Equali:	zation				50.00%	50.00%			50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%		
FEDERAL SHARE															
SED at Risk	\$	12,743,019 \$	19,300,842	\$ 18,689,916	\$ 20,274,381 \$	20,073,645	\$ 91,081,802		\$ 20,073,645 \$	20,073,645	\$ 20,073,645	\$ 20,073,645	\$ 20,073,645 \$	100,368,223	
MATI at Risk	\$	2,055,322 \$	1,783,162	\$ -	\$ - \$	-	\$ 3,838,484		\$ - \$	; -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ - \$	-	
DDD non-Disabled Adult Children	\$	- \$	-	\$ -	\$ - \$	-	\$ -		\$ - \$	; -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ - \$	-	
DDD Community / Supports Equali:	zation \$	- \$	-	\$ -	\$ - \$	-	\$ -		\$ - \$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ - \$	-	
FEDERAL SHARE	\$	14,798,341 \$	21,084,004	\$ 18,689,916	\$ 20,274,381 \$	20,073,645	\$ 94,920,286	_	\$ 20,073,645	20,073,645	\$ 20,073,645	\$ 20,073,645	\$ 20,073,645 <b>\$</b>	100,368,223	1
Notes: SED at Risk and MATI at Ris	ck														
DY6-10: Total Computable = DY5 es		15 Report for current of	demonstration												
DY6-10 Federal Share amounts = To		<u> </u>		Share Percentage in acco	rdance with current STC #13	0									
DTO TO TEACHER SHAPE AMOUNTS = TO	otal compatable and	varies maniphed by the i	ederar composite s	Share refeemage in deco	radice with current 516 #15	0.									
Notes: DDD programs															
DY6-10: Total Computable = DY5 es	stimate in the QE Dec	: 15 Report for current o	demonstration incre	eased by 3% annually											
DY6-10: Federal Share amounts = T	Total Computable amo	ounts multiplied by the	Federal Composite	Share Percentage (estimate	ate for DY4/DY5)										

# **Budgent Neutrality Monitoring Sheet Notes**

# **Enrollment Trends**

# **No Waiver Spending**

DY6-10 Total Computable = MM's multplied by DY5 PMPM caps per STCs #128 and #129 (increased annually by CMS approved growth factors in current STC #128).

DY6-10 Federal Share = Total Computable multiplied by composite federal share ratio in accordance with current Demo's STC #130

### With Waiver Spending

**DY6-10** = projected MM's multiplied by PMPMs. PMPM calculated by using the DY5 PMPMs from the QE Dec 15 Report and increasing them annually by CMS approved growth factors in current STC #128 and #129

DY6-10 Federal Share = Total Computable multiplied by composite federal share ratio in accordance with STC #130

Meg =	Title XIX	march 27 2014	Should appear on 3/27/14 STCs PMPM
	DY2	\$346.00	\$346.69
	DY3	\$366.07	\$366.74
	DY4	\$387.30	\$387.95
	DY5	\$409.76	\$410.40

	original	after CMS approve \$10m addl GME
Meg = ABD	PMPM	PMPM
DY2	\$1,123.36	\$1,124.49
DY3	\$1,163.80	\$1,164.91
DY4	\$1,205.69	\$1,206.78
DY5	\$1,249.10	\$1,250.17

	original	after CMS approve \$10m addl GME
Meg = LTC	PMPM	PMPM
DY2	\$8,973.64	\$8,975.89
DY3	\$9,323.62	\$9,325.83
DY4	\$9,687.24	\$9,689.41
DY5	\$10,065.04	\$10,067.17

Meg =	HCBS State Plan	original PMPM	after CMS approve \$10m addl GME PMPM
	DY2	\$2,340.19	\$2,347.84
	DY3	\$2,426.78	\$2,434.29
	DY4	\$2,516.57	\$2,523.94
	DY5	\$2,609.68	\$2,616.93

Schedule C																	
CMS 64 Waiver I	xpenditure	Report															
Cumulative Data	•	•	2017 ( OE 3/1	7)													
			-017 ( Q1 0) 1	,													
State: New Jerse	w																1
State. New Jerse	·y																
6		144.1															
Summary of Exp		y waiver Year															
Waiver: 11W001	.18	1							1			1					
MAP Wai	vers																
Total Computable	le																
Waiver Name	Α	01	02	03	04	05											
ABD	0		5,403,226,627	5,120,055,291	5,043,806,205	3,636,073,614											
ACCAP – 217 Like	0		880,454	0	0	0											
ACCAP – SP AWDC	0		966,297 674,018	0	0	0											
AWDC Childless Adults	0		674,018 48,216,389	0	0	0		1									
CRPD – 217 Like	0		16,894,842	0	0	0											
CRPD –SP	0	10,672,842	15,247,535	0	0	0											
DSRIP	0		83,304,870	166,600,001	166,600,000	15,238,210											
GME State Plan	0		100,000,001	100,000,000	127,291,443	140,999,967						1					
GO – 217 Like GO – SP	0		221,682,839 33,606,671	0	0	0		+									
HCBS – 217 Like	0		21,406,012	331,117,748	375,476,571	296,482,723											
HCBS – State Plan	0	86,858	5,718,886	99,352,046	240,086,620	260,071,514											
HRSF & GME	0		0	0	0	0											
HRSF Transition Paym IDD/MI – 217 Like	0		83,302,681 0	1,186,792	7,795,679	4,741,149											
MATI at Risk	0		3,429,158	1,186,792	7,795,679	4,741,149											
New Adult Group	0		862,002,142	2,860,394,406	2,901,491,432	2,218,672,613											
SED – 217 Like	0		58,922	27,837	96,680	6,135,308											
SED at Risk	0		37,239,735	35,973,159	40,147,289	26,165,967											
TBI – 217 Like	0		17,438,251	0	0	0											
TBI – SP Title XIX	0		9,364,928 2,399,180,142	0 2,582,613,493	2,534,724,200	1,688,400,858											
XIX CHIP Parents	0		126,863,607	0	0	0											
Total	0	6,136,011,966	9,490,705,007	11,297,320,773	11,437,516,119	8,292,981,923											
Federal Share									Camer	asita Fas	laval Cha	ua Dausa					
		04			0.4		14/-1 11				leral Sha						
Waiver Name	A		02	03	04	05	Waiver Name	01	02		04		06	07	08	09	
ABD ACCAP – 217 Like	0		2,718,465,396 446,869	2,572,994,973	2,526,461,274 0	1,819,158,599 0	ABD	50.15%	50.31%	50.25%	50.09%	50.09%	50.09%	50.09%	50.09%	50.09%	50.09%
ACCAP – SP	0		489,362	0	0	0											
AWDC	0	777,617	344,491	0	0		AWDC	50.83%	51.11%								
Childless Adults	0		24,778,164	0	0		Childless Adults	52.85%	51.39%								
CRPD – 217 Like	0		8,740,654	0	0	0											
CRPD –SP DSRIP	0		7,899,121 41,652,435	83,300,003	83,300,002	7,619,106	DSRIP		50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%
GME State Plan	0		55,642,502	66,797,499	84,588,472		GME State Plan		55.64%	66.80%	67.00%	65.83%	64.83%	64.50%	63.83%	63.33%	63.33%
GO – 217 Like	0		114,209,771	00,737,433	0	0			22.0470	22.3070	250/0	22.0070	25570	25070			22.007
GO – SP	0		17,304,835	0	0	0											
HCBS – 217 Like	0		11,076,822	170,041,822	189,002,945		HCBS – 217 Like	50.67%	51.55%	51.35%	50.34%	50.34%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.009
HCBS – State Plan HRSF & GME	0		2,963,002 0	51,027,637 0	120,738,932 0		HCBS – State Plan HRSF & GME	50.79% 50.00%	51.58%	51.36%	50.29%	50.29%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%
HRSF Transition Paym	0		41,651,341	0	0		HRSF Transition Payments	30.0076	50.00%								
IDD/MI – 217 Like	0	0	0	599,439	3,902,272		IDD/MI – 217 Like			50.51%	50.06%	50.28%	50.28%	50.28%	50.28%	50.28%	50.28
MATI at Risk	0	2,055,322	1,783,162	0	0	0	MATI at Risk	50.50%	52.00%								
New Adult Group	0		861,985,526	2,860,347,710	2,900,760,178		New Adult Group	99.98%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	97.50%	94.50%	93.50%	91.50%	90.00%	90.009
SED – 217 Like SED at Risk	0		29,462 19,300,842	13,944 18,689,916	48,354 20,565,520	3,068,231 13,082,984	SED – 217 Like	51.99%	50.00% 51.83%	50.09% 51.96%	50.50% 50.50%	50.00% 50.00%	50.00% 50.00%	50.00% 50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.009
TBI – 217 Like	0		8,987,060	18,089,910	20,363,320	13,082,984	JED OF HISK	31.33/0	31.03/0	J1.30/0	30.30/0	30.0076	30.0076	30.0076	30.0070	30.0076	50.007
TBI – SP	0	3,776,704	4,819,278	0	0	0											
Title XIX	0		1,328,022,716	1,416,882,579	1,286,290,909	845,254,972		50.20%	55.35%	54.86%	50.20%	50.20%	50.20%	50.20%	50.20%	50.20%	50.20%
XIX CHIP Parents	0		64,746,447	2,148	0		XIX CHIP Parents		51.04%								
Total	0	3,084,288,459	5,335,339,258	7,240,697,670	7,215,658,858	5,242,012,202											

Created On: Thursday, January 14, 2016 2:51 PM

	O	IS 64 - MEDIC	AID ELIGIBILITY	GROUPS AS C	OF JUNE 2014																																																						
		Actuals throug	h 9/30/2015 (as c	F 12/31/2015)		11/11/11	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	1	1	Party of the last	and the same of th	A. S.	1	1	e de	1	1	N. A. S.	J. Committee of the com	1	A Part of the San of t	100	a de la companya de l	· d	1 1	1		11/1	No. of the last of	A COLONIA																													
DEFINITIONS:	DYI	DYZ	DYS	DY4	DYS	Oct-12	Nov-12	Dec-12	Jan-13	Feb-13	Mar-13	Apr-11	3 May	13 Jun-	-13 3	of-23 A	Aug-13	Sep-13	Oct-13	Nov-13	Dec-13	Jan-	14 Feb	-14 N	tur-14 Ap	r-14 Ma	ry-14 Jun	4 Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14 Ja	an-15 Fe	eb-15 Ma	ir-15 Apr-1	15 May-13	5 Jun-15	Jul-25	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15 D	lec-15 Jan	1-16 Feb-	-16 Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16	Jul-25	Aug-16 5	p-16 Oct-	16 Nov-1	5 Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17 Ap	r-17 May	-17 Jun-17
1 TITLE XIX	5.773.180	7,850,901	8,699,959	8,893,999	8,785,836	643,208	641,115	641,945	643,840	643,718	645,054	645,11	635,0	634)	001 63	3,251 6	32,536	631,012	628,743	625,874	623,702	661,2	41 667	292 60	78,653 68	673 689	9,180 693,	698,873	3 705,756	712,044	716,443	718,070	721,307 72	26,830 73	12,311 736	5,730 740,2	51 744,44	2 746,892	744,994	744,692	744,034	744,176	741,195 73	39,809 741	220 741,2	740,227	739,262	737,369	735,681	735,154	737,284 7.	8,022 736,4	925 736,411	8 736,958	726,522	734,897	733,911 72	1,898 722,	,969 708,387
2 ABD (Excluding HCBS and LTC SPC 61)	2,486,117	3,342,730	3,355,975	3,342,683	3,258,769	274,854	274,540	274,471	275,897	276,304	275,808	277,25	19 277,7	50 278,	,234 23	8,390 2	78,697	279,521	279,906	279,461	278,818	276,8	42 277	,127 2	18,134 27	326 278	1,535 278,	73 280,263	2 280,382	280,535	280,359	280,294	278,829 27	79,154 27	79,165 271	0,205 279,3	54 279,28	7 279,139	279,313	279,789	278,995	278,966	278,024 2	79,820 278	1,974 278,0	024 278,259	278,098	277,601	277,019	276,790	276,574 2	6,205 276,0	274,82	1 273,472	272,228	270,923	269,376 26	7,113 264,	,186 260,844
3 Childiess Adults	385,740	225.208				45,455	44.363	41.494	43.024	42.618	42.563	41.976	6 41.5	ES 40.6	659 2	2.735	39.242	35.275	37,737	34,678	35.535																																						
4 Adults W/O Dependent Children	6.057	2,774	3.870.426	4.240.814	4.389.071	772	750	713	682	670	663	644	611	55	53	501	491	460	451	442	425	145.2	07 160	725 20	33.473 22	698 235	5,947 248	251.46	57 275.82	4 285 000	293,647	303,733	320,267 3	332 291 3	148.973 35	5,792 362	164.4	366.29	1 362.228	357,424	350.616	345.857	142,109	45.701 253	211 254	296 255.355	357,219	357,319	359,299	358.462	259,858 2	7.745 3563	276 363.521	0 369,302	275.277	274.260	374.239 37	2 399 369	236 359,397
5 SED	26,729	43,160	38,453	43,794	46,139	2,560	2,618	2,677	2,907	3,029	3,110	3,181	3,31	3,3	134 3	(271	3,291	3,154	3,364	3,566	3,531	3,76	9 3,	156 4	1,162 4	291 3,	551 3,4	3,18	15 3,02	E 2,810	2,886	2,923	3,039	3,164	3,262	3,413	522 3,6	3,58	3,435	3,182	3,107	3,201	3,431	3,581 3,3	756 3,83	75 3,959	4,033	4,148	4,083	3,852	3,604	(441 3,40	51 3,592	3,675	2,879	3,963	4,169 4	242 4,3	45 3,947
6 HCBS (State Plan)	13.594	15,550	25,656	59,042	98.287	1.518	1.520	1.504	1.467	1.474	1.493	1.511	1.54	0 15	84 1	.553	1,555	1.540	1.567	1.586	1,586	1.59	6 1/	33	1.580 1	576 1.	573 1.5	1.49	1.54	6 1.624	1.821	2.011	2.162	2.161	2.265	2.149 2.	196 2.7	101 3.02	1.360	3,715	3.972	4.158	4,528	4.751 54	067 5.30	20 5.531	5.297	6.263	6.590	6.848	7.075	250 7.43	7,681	8.122	9.319	9.429	2,606 9	090 9.5	26 9.922
7 HCBS (217 Like)	96.351	127,895	122.272	132,486	146.332	11.219	11.225	11.221	10.428	10.196	10.420	10.456	6 10.4	EO 10.5	506 1	3.556	10.577	10.645	10.726	10.752	10,751	10.73	8 10	742 1	0.606 10	604 10	1577 10.6	9.86	53 9.92	0 9,994	10,100	10.490	10.467	10.246	10.156	0.149 10.	181 10.2	24 10.28	10.517	10.584	10.701	10.734	10.837 1	1.028 11	081 11.2	05 11.255	11,390	11.474	11.690	11.807	11.873	1.909 12.0	22 12.145	12.276	12.427	12.350	12.359 12	390 12.	467 12.417
E LTC																						0		3	0	0	0 0	24,537	24,150	23,794	23,313	22,974	22,725																										
9 SED (217 Like)	113	145	115	96	1.800	15	13	14	15	15	10	. 7	9	12	5	14	11	15	15	16	13	9		,	11	15	10 7	14	25	- 11	6		9	9	5	7	9	11	11	1	7	8	9	7	9 4	2	12	9	5	1	50	92 11	7 123	153	179	296	211 2	22 23	a0 227
10 IDD/MI (217 Like)			581	2,974	1260																				0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	111	133	45 19	205	220	244	271	275	285 2	62 29	9 274	230	222	217	199	192	164 14	5 128	254	261	228	374 3	71 30	41 343
11 XIX CHIP Parents (10/01/2012 - 12/21/2012 Only)		456.761	-	-	-														152.428	152.087	152,246																																						
12 New Adult Group (01/01/2014 Onwords)		1.181.739	2,670,574	2.535.249	2.416.576																	181.1	12 186	389 1	8 362 20	220 205	5.870 208	16 211.41	214.05	216.647	218,794	220.090	225,796 2	225.810 2	28.275 23	18.919 227.	158 226.7	25 226.11	4 221.139	219.467	215.942	213.041	209.176 20	07,478 207	208.0	208,765	208.497	207,899	207,059	205,634	205.150 2	202.9	968 203.37	9 204.088	204.677	204.021	202,746 20	2 298 200	280 196.433
Source of CMSSA MSG report from the 2015				_		_															1																													_									حل

MMX Member Mo	Count(dist) Recip Idn	
10/1/2012		29,433.
11/1/2012		29,367.
12/1/2012		29,283.
1/1/2013		29,181.
2/1/2013		28,845.
3/1/2013		28,867.
4/1/2013		28,800.
5/1/2013		28,697.
6/1/2013		28,751.
7/1/2013		28,866.
8/1/2013		29,043.
9/1/2013		29,079.
10/1/2013		29,124.
11/1/2013		29,164.
12/1/2013		29,214.
1/1/2014		29,088.
2/1/2014		28,864.
3/1/2014		28,896.
4/1/2014		28,828.
5/1/2014		28,811.
6/1/2014		28,779.
7/1/2014		29,249.
8/1/2014		29,145.
9/1/2014		29,003.
10/1/2014		28,809.
11/1/2014		28,542.
12/1/2014		28,371.
1/1/2015		28,361.
2/1/2015		28,067.
3/1/2015		27,860.
4/1/2015		27,782.
5/1/2015		27,731.
6/1/2015		27,921.
7/1/2015		27,954.
8/1/2015		28,139.
9/1/2015		28,193.
10/1/2015		28,284.
11/1/2015		28,452.
12/1/2015		28,484.
1/1/2016		28,452.
2/1/2016 3/1/2016		28,376.
4/1/2016		28,421. 28,335.
5/1/2016		28,464.
6/1/2016		28,446.
7/1/2016		28,373.
8/1/2016		28,372.
9/1/2016		28,154.
10/1/2016		28,120.
11/1/2016		27,751.
12/1/2016		27,731.
1/1/2017		26,793.
2/1/2017		24,354.
3/1/2017		23,716.

	MMs
DY1	261,224.
DY2	347,756.
DY3	340,841.
DY4	340,000.
DY5	242,943.

MMX Member Month Date	Count(dist) Recip Idn
10/1/2012	2,376.
11/1/2012	2,353.
12/1/2012	2,332.
1/1/2013	2,322.
2/1/2013	2,302.
3/1/2013	2,291.
4/1/2013	2,270.
5/1/2013	2,242.
6/1/2013	2,220.
7/1/2013	2,195.
8/1/2013	2,177.
9/1/2013	2,157.
10/1/2013	2,130.
11/1/2013	2,109.
12/1/2013	2,076.
1/1/2014	2,047.
2/1/2014	2,032.
3/1/2014	2,017.
4/1/2014	1,970.
5/1/2014	1,930.
6/1/2014	1,876.
7/1/2014	1,845.
8/1/2014	1,823.
9/1/2014	1,811.
10/1/2014	1,791.
11/1/2014	1,769.
12/1/2014	1,744.
1/1/2015	1,724.
2/1/2015	1,712.
3/1/2015	1,695.
4/1/2015	1,678.
5/1/2015	1,665.
6/1/2015	1,650.
7/1/2015	1,638.
8/1/2015	1,631.
9/1/2015	1,611.
10/1/2015	1,584.
11/1/2015	1,586.
12/1/2015	1,577.
1/1/2016	1,570.
2/1/2016	1,557.
3/1/2016	1,548.
4/1/2016	1,541.
5/1/2016	1,524.
6/1/2016	1,514.
7/1/2016	1,500.
8/1/2016	1,503.
9/1/2016	1,497.
10/1/2016	1,493.
11/1/2016	1,480.
12/1/2016	1,477.
1/1/2017	1,463.

	MMs
DY1	20,708.
DY2	24,716.
DY3	20,907.
DY4	18,881.
DY5	10,413.

The Division of Medical Assistance and Health Services' (DMAHS) Office of Managed Long-Term Services and Supports Quality Monitoring (MLTSS/QM) receives and analyzes the Performance Measure (PM) Reports submitted by the respective data source. The MLTSS-MCO Quality Workgroup continues to meet on a monthly basis to discuss any issues raised by the MCOs, review data submitted, and facilitate resolution. To assist in the refining of the existing data submitted in the MLTSS Performance Measure Reports by the Managed Care Organizations, the State's External Quality Review Organization, IPRO, has developed more refined specifications for the current PMs. The development of the refined specifications has been an ongoing agenda item with the IPRO taking the lead on the discussions during the monthly MLTSS MCO Quality Workgroup meetings. IPRO has been working with the MCOs to validate their system's coding for each Performance Measure using the refined specifications. While the MCO coding has been approved, some of the MCOs have reported error data in producing some Performance Measure Reports. They have retracted these report submissions. The instances when this has occurred is noted in the specific Performance Measure section of this report. The refined specifications that pertain to this report are effective with measurement period beginning July 1, 2016. In addition to the PM deliverables, this workgroup discusses other MCO contract required MLTSS reporting deliverables and any other initiative or issues relevant to the MLTSS program. Any areas of concern are discussed at a following meeting along with recommendations and resolution.

This quarterly report reflects the performance measures (PM) data that were reported by the MCOs and the Division of Aging Services (DoAS) to the Office of MLTSS/QM during the fourth quarter of MLTSS (4/1/17 - 6/30/17). Each performance measure identifies its measurement period; however, depending on the source for the numerator/denominator the due date for reporting on a particular measure may have a lag time to allow for collection of the information. Several measures rely on claims data; therefore, a lag of 180 days must be built into the due date to allow for the MCO to receive the claims and process the data. This report reflects the performance measures data the Office of MLTSS/QM should have received during the third year, fourth quarter (4/1/17 - 6/30/17) of MLTSS program.

The data for the PMs that DoAS is responsible for reporting is obtained from their TeleSys database or from the Shared Data Warehouse (PM#2, PM#5). The data for the PMs concerning the timeliness of reporting critical incidents (PM#17, PM#17a) is housed within their SAMS database.—After reviewing the query results from the data source available, the DoAS determined that they were unable to report the numerator/denominator for PM#2 as initially defined. Per the MCO contract, MLTSS services are not provided prior to an individual's enrollment into MLTSS. Therefore, this measure was revised to evaluate the percentage of MLTSS members who have received MLTSS specific services within the first nine months of MLTSS enrollment as evidenced by encounters. The State is eliminating this performance measure effective July 1, 2017. It was also determined that DoAS was unable to track the numerator and denominator as initially defined for PM#5. However, DoAS continues to monitor the timeliness of the MCOs conducting the nursing facility level of care (LOC) re-determinations. A query is run and provided to each MCO every three months identifying the MLTSS members without a LOC re-determination in the past 16months. The MCOs are then required to submit within a month the status of each member identified on the report. DoAS has been working with MLTSS/QM to develop the means to report the MCO specific data identifying the total number of members for which DoAS does not have data identifying a LOC re-determination within the past 16months; the number of assessments that were conducted and received by the State since the report was generated; the number of LOC re-determinations the MCO reported were conducted but the State did not receive; the number of members recommended for disenrollment due to inability to contact/voluntary disenrollment; and the number of members who either expired or whose eligibility was termed. The revision of PM #5 is effective 7/1/2017 and will be reported to MLTSS/QM on a quarterly basis.

Unless otherwise noted, Performance Measure(s) data reports that are not included in this document may be a result of measures involved in review from New Jersey's EQRO or lag time allowing for receipt of claims related data.

PM: #2	MLTSS recipients accessing services within 9 months of eligibility date.
Numerator:	Number of members in the denominator that had received MLTSS services within the first 9 months as evidenced by a paid claim.
Denominator:	Members new to MLTSS within the measurement month.
Data Source:	DoAS
Measurement Period:	Monthly with a nine month lag – Due the 15 <sup>th</sup> of the month following the 9 month lag

After reviewing the query results from the data source available, the DoAS determined that they were unable to report the numerator/denominator for PM#2 as initially defined. Per the MCO contract, MLTSS services are not provided prior to an individual's enrollment into MLTSS. Therefore, this measure has been revised, effective July 1, 2016, to evaluate the percentage of MLTSS members newly enrolled during the measurement month who received MLTSS specific services within the first 9 months of enrollment as evidenced by paid claims. There is a significant lag to allow sufficient time to receive the claims and allow for the 9-months. The results for this PM will be reported in the Annual Report to CMS. Note that PM #2 will be deleted beginning measurement period 7/1/17.

PM #3	Nursing Facility level of care authorized by Office of Community Choice Options (OCCO) for MCO referred members
Numerator:	# of MLTSS level of care assessment outcomes in the denominator that were "authorized" or "approved" by OCCO
Denominator:	Total number of MLTSS level of care assessments that were "authorized", "approved" or "denied" by OCCO during the measurement month
Data Source:	DoAS
Measurement Period:	Monthly – Due the 15 <sup>th</sup> of the following month

Measurement period	3/2017	4/2017	5/2017
Numerator	1707	1519	679
Denominator	1730	1550	688
%	99	98.0	98.7

Approval rate is consistently at 98% or above. No action required.

PM #4	Timeliness of nursing facility level of care assessment by MCO	
Numerator:	The number of assessments in the denominator where the MCO assessment/ determination date is less than 30 days from the referral date to MLTSS	
Denominator:	r: Number of level of care assessments conducted by MCO in the measurement month	
Data Source:	MCO	
Measurement Period:	Monthly – Due 15 <sup>th</sup> of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> month (lag report) following reporting period	

February 2017	A	В	С	D	Е	TOTAL
Numerator	24	254	349	83	56	766
Denominator	31	255	371	97	141	895
%	77.4	99.6	94.0	86.0	39.7	85.6

March 2017	A	В	С	D	E	TOTAL
Numerator	42	292	518	70	160	1082
Denominator	48	295	573	78	199	1193
%	87.5	99.0	90.4	89.7	80.4	90.7

April 2017	A	В	С	D	E	TOTAL
Numerator	22	247	343	59	185	856
Denominator	24	248	356	66	191	885
%	91.6	99.6	96.4	89.4	96.9	96.7

The MCOs are monitoring the timeliness of level of care (LOC) initial assessments and have identified that some of the delays include: member requested rescheduled assessment, member was assigned to a Care Manager untimely, case reassignment, difficulty contact members due to invalid phone numbers, staff reassignment, and unable to contact until after the 30 day deadline. In one reporting period MCO A reported that they had untimely assessments due to 5 of the 6 assessments completed after the 30 day timeframe. These were located in the same geographical area and were assigned to one Assessor. Additionally MCO A reported that the caseload for that assessor was beginning to grow beyond capacity and the assessor responsible has been counseled and placed on a Performance Improvement Plan. In addition, two additional assessor team staff are being hired for that particular region. MCO D reported that 1 member was on a Medicare Part A stay at the time they received the referral and this caused the assessment to be delayed. MCO E reported for the month of February timeliness declined due to the increase in the number of reassessments required along with a decrease in staffing. Additionally, MCO E reported that their assessment vendor hired 3 new assessors who were trained in March and it has dedicated 3 care management staff to completing assessments only. The addition of these staff has allowed them to increase their completed assessments from 50 to 80 per week. MCO E has increased their compliance rating this reporting quarter to 96.9%.

PM # 4a	Timeliness of nursing facility level of care assessment	
Numerator:	The number of assessments in the denominator where the OCCO assessment/ determination date is less than 30 days from the referral date to OCCO	
Denominator:	<b>Denominator:</b> Number of level of care assessments conducted by OCCO in the measurement month	
Data Source:	DoAS	
Measurement Period:	Monthly – Due 15 <sup>th</sup> of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> month (lag report) following reporting period	

Measurement Period	2/2017	3/2017	4/2017
Numerator	660	810	783
Denominator	1047	1337	1272
%	63.0	60.9	61.6

The average percentage for this reporting period is 61.8%. The criteria are based on the number of level of care assessments conducted by OCCO in the measurement period. OCCO is responsible for conducting assessment for individuals who are newly seeking Medicaid enrollment in order to access long term services and supports in institutional and community settings. These referrals are generated by various provider sources including hospitals, nursing facilities, assisted living, and county offices. OCCO staffing and workload continues to be variable from reporting period to reporting period. The workload includes non-MLTSS individuals and individuals who are not Medicaid eligible. Due to the large population of non-Medicaid eligible individuals OCCO is recommending the measure be taken under advisement for changes and has submitted to a QA workgroup for consideration. Additionally, the DoAS will continue to report the measure while undergoing revision to better report on the MLTSS population.

PM # 5	Timeliness of nursing facility level of care re-determinations
Numerator:	Number of reassessments in the denominator conducted greater than 395 days from the previous OCCO assessment authorization date.
Denominator:	Total number of MLTSS level of care reassessments completed by the MCOs and submitted to OCCO in the measurement month.
Data Source:	DoAS
Measurement Period:	Monthly – Due the 15 <sup>th</sup> of the following month (Initial report due 8/15/15)

As reported in the third quarter (1/1/17-3/31/17) report, the DoAS has implemented an alternate process to monitor the MCO's completion of MLTSS members' level of care re-determination(s). The DoAS runs a query and generates a report that is submitted to the MCOs on a quarterly basis with the expectation that the MCOs will reconcile this information with their files and take necessary action. A summary of the results and action taken by DoAS for the period of September 2016 through end of July 2017 will be provided in the Annual Report.

PM # 6	Interim Plan of Care (IPOC) Completed (Options Counseling)
Numerator:	Number of assessments in the denominator with an Interim Plan of Care (IPOC) completed
Denominator:	Total number of NJ Choice assessments tagged as "authorized", "approved" or "denied" within the measurement month
Data Source:	DoAS
Measurement Period:	Monthly – Due the 15 <sup>th</sup> of the following month

Measurement Period	3/2017	4/2017	5/2017
Numerator	1730	1563	700
Denominator	1730	1563	700
%	100	100	100

The completion of the IPOC is included in the electronic data exchange with the NJ Choice Assessment, the tool used to determine NF LOC eligibility. The IPOC completion should always be 100% since the data exchange will not accept an incomplete record. This measure will be deleted beginning measurement period 7/1/17.

PM # 7	Members offered a choice between institutional and HCBS settings
Numerator:	Number of assessments in the denominator with an indicator showing choice of setting within the IPOC
Denominator:	Number of level of care assessments with a completed Interim Plan of Care (IPOC)
Data Source:	DoAS
Measurement Period:	Monthly – Due the 15 <sup>th</sup> of the following month

Measurement Period	3/2017	4/2017	5/2017
Numerator	1153	1079	518
Denominator	1754	1563	700
%	66.0	69.0	74.0

In March, the range of compliance for the MCOs was 19% - 92% with an overall compliance rate of 66%. DoAS reported that this was a 5 percentage point increase from the previous month. DoAS reported a 3 percentage point increase in April, with an overall compliance rate of 69%. The range for the MCOs was 45.18% - 86.24%. Continued improvement was noted in May with another 5 point overall increase in the MCO compliance rate. The range of compliance was 52.28% - 95.04% with an overall rate of 74%. DoAS reports each MCO's compliance to the respective MCO to ensure assessor staff are continually updated on the coding requirements and to ensure choice of settings is documented on the IPOC as a result of the Options Counseling session. DoAS reports that they expect to see increased compliance rates within the next three months.

PM # 17	Timeliness of Critical Incident (CI) reported to DoAS for measurement month
Numerator:	#CI reported in writing to DoAS within 2 business days
Denominator:	Total # of CI reported to DoAS for measurement month
Data Source:	DoAS
Measurement Period:	Monthly – Due 15 <sup>th</sup> of the following month

Measurement Period	3/2017	4/2017	5/2017
Numerator	359	293	283
Denominator	361	294	287
%	99.4	99.6	98.6

DoAS reports that the reporting from the MCOs is uniform for this measure. Established monitoring of the timeliness of CI reporting has revealed that current analysis doesn't support any significant impact in reporting based on plan enrollment. DoAS has established the minimum percentage accepted is 100% and requires the MCOs provide a corrective action plan to improve timeliness. Three MCOs fell below 100% during this reporting period and have provided DoAS with acceptable corrective action plans. The action plans for all three MCOs included re-educating the CM regarding the contractual timeframes for reporting. One MCO reported reviewing their reporting procedures and created a new procedure delineating the order in which CI reporting is to occur: 1. State database; 2. Plan's (internal) database; 3. Check off list, which includes confirming reporting to the State.

PM # 17a	Timeliness of Critical Incident(CI) reporting (verbally within 1 business day) for media and unexpected death incidents	
Numerator:	# CI reported to DoAS verbally reported within 1 business day for media and unexpected death incidents	
Denominator:	Total # of CI reported verbally to DoAS for measurement month	
Data Source:	DoAS	
Measurement Period:	Monthly – Due 15 <sup>th</sup> of the following month	

Measurement Period	3/2017	4/2017	5/2017
Numerator	3	8	2
Denominator	3	8	2
%	100	100	100

DoAS reported that the established procedures for timely reporting seem to be sufficient. DoAS continues to analyze data to determine trends in CI reporting and identify strategies to improve the timeliness by the MCOs. No actions taken for this month.

PM # 19	Timeliness for investigation of complaints, appeals, grievances (complete within 30-days)
Numerator:	# of complaints, appeals, and grievances investigated within 30-days (unless findings cannot be obtained in that timeframe which must be documented)
Denominator:	Total # of complaints, appeals, and grievances received for measurement period.
Data Source:	MCO Table 3A and 3B Reports; DMAHS
Measurement Period:	Quarterly – Due 45-days after reporting period.

Appeals and Grievances (Table 3A)

1/1/2017 3/31/2017	A	В	С	D	E	TOTAL
Numerator	0	19	52	32	14	117
Denominator	0	19	52	32	14	117
%	0	100	100	100	100	100

**Complaints (Table 3B)** 

		complaints (Table 32)							
1/1/2017 3/31/2017	A	В	С	D	E	TOTAL			
Numerator	10	35	110	2	3	160			
Denominator	11	35	110	2	3	161			
%	90.9	100	100	100	100	99.4			

For Table 3B, one MCO reported a resolution that took more than 30 days. The member complained about the care at a NF/Rehab center. The case was referred to his CM team and resolved in 41 days. The MCO reported that this was a data entry error (resulting in exceeding the 30-days) and that they have since instituted a corrective action plan to address such issues. The MCO does not expect them to occur in the future.

PM # 20	Total # of MLTSS members receiving MLTSS services.
Numerator:	Unique count of members with at least one claim for MLTSS services during the measurement period. (Excluding: CM, PCA, Medical Day, and Behavioral Health services).
Denominator:	Unique count of members meeting eligibility criteria at any time during the measurement period. (Quarter or Annual).
Data Source:	MCO paid claims data, adjusted claims (excluding denied claims); according to the list of MLTSS/HCBS service procedure codes and the logic for the MCO Encounter Categories of Service (copy of list provided). Based on the premise: member must use services monthly *Total may include duplication if member switches MCO during the reporting period.
Measurement Period:	Quarterly/Annually – Due: 180 day lag for claims + 30 days after quarter and year

7/1/2016 - 9/30/2016	A	В	С	D	E	TOTAL
Numerator	716	3300	11256	5148	2475	22895
Denominator	980	3931	14734	6457	4280	30382
%	73.1	83.9	76.4	79.7	57.8	75.4

In analyzing their data, MCOs discovered that there were members with authorizations for MLTSS services but no claims to determine if needed services are being provided. There were also individuals who had lost eligibility with the MCO, MLTSS or had refused program and submitted for disenrollment. MCO A reports they will identify members who do not receive MLTSS specific services and will determine ongoing MLTSS eligibility. Members who meet NF Level of Care will receive options counseling that encourages MLTSS specific services. MCO B reports as an intervention related to their Quality Improvement Program, they are completing falls screening for members in residential settings. The tool identifies the member's current services and whether or not the member utilizes PERS services. A list of members without PERS is identified for care manager follow up. MCO E reports the percentage of members receiving MLTSS specific services decreased significantly from the prior submission due to claims denial or for services with codes that did not match the new specifications. Additionally, MCO E reports there were 301 members without any services. Of those 301 members, 173 members that are currently enrolled of which 120 members now have MLTSS specific services in place. MCOs report that they will continue to provide a member-centric focus during options counseling and will continue to encourage the use of MLTSS services as part of the care planning process.

PM # 21	MLTSS members transitioned from NF to Community.
Numerator:	Cases in the denominator who transitioned to HCBS during the measurement period. (Cases should be counted only once).
Denominator:	Unique count of members continuously enrolled with the MCO in MLTSS for the measurement period. (Quarter or Annual).
Data Source:	MCO – living arrangement file and client tracking system
Measurement Period:	Quarterly/Annually – Due: 30 days after the quarter and year

Due to the EQRO validation process there was refinement to the PM specification, and as a result the MCOs had to revise the coding. Although there was an extension granted for reporting, there appeared to be some discrepancies in the submitted reports. As a result they were returned to the respective MCOs and the EQRO was notified of the need to revisit the MCOs system code for producing the report as well as sample reports. It is anticipated that this will be completed and submitted in the Annual Report.

PM # 23	MLTSS NF to HCBS transitions who returned to NF within 90 days.
Numerator:	Cases in the denominator with an NF living arrangement status within 90 days of initial HCBS transition date.
Denominator:	Unique count of members in NF MLTSS that are continuously enrolled with the MCO from beginning of Measurement period (Quarter or Annual) or from date of initial enrollment in NF MLTSS, whichever is later, through 90 days post HCBS transition date.
Data Source:	MCO – Living arrangement file, CM tracking, and prior auth. System (r/o respite/rehab). MCO to identify how the dates were calculated.
Measurement Period:	Quarterly/ Annually Lag Report Due: 120 days after reporting quarter or year.

10/1/16- 12/31/16	A	В	С	D	E	TOTAL
Numerator	0	0	8	1	0	9
Denominator	3	22	129	52	0	206
%	0	0	6.2	1.9	0	4.4

MCOs are monitoring the reasons for MLTSS members' readmission to the NF. MCO C identified members returning to the Nursing Facility related to functional decline, safety concerns, member or family request, lack of informal supports, and needs not being met in the community. MCO D had identified 1 member who returned to the facility because of insufficient supports within the community due to geographical location. MCO D additionally reported that the member successfully returned to the community with the addition of informal supports in place. MCO A reported that members who transitioned home were provided services including PCA, PERS, DME, and HDM. MCO A added that their NF Transition team provides support to members who have transitioned to the community setting, ensuring members have the resources needed to successfully maintain in the community. The MCOs continue to track and trend elements that are successful to transition such as care manager accessibility, transportation and proximity of community services.

PM # 24	MLTSS HCBS members transitioned from the community to NF for more than 180 days.
Numerator:	Cases in the denominator with NF living arrangement status for 181 days or more after the date of transition to NF.
Denominator:	Unique count of members in HCBS MLTSS that are continuously enrolled with the MCO from the beginning of measurement period (Quarter or Annual) or from date of initial enrollment in HCBS MLTSS, whichever is later, through 181 days post NF transition date.
Data Source:	MCO -Living arrangement file, CM tracking, and prior authorization system (r/o respite/rehab). MCO to identify how the dates were calculated
Measurement Period:	Quarterly/Annually Lag Report Due: 210 day lag after quarter and year

7/1/16 - 9/30/16	A	В	С	D	E	TOTAL
Numerator	4	37	157	75	8	281
Denominator	5	39	173	82	10	309
%	80	94.9	90.8	91.5	80	90.9

O/D = Over due

E = WellCare

The MCOs are monitoring the success of transitions to determine results. MCO B reports continued interventions such as an additional PERS provider that offers sophisticated technology that measures their members activity, sleep patterns, and wear time to keep members independent and safe in the community as long as possible. Additionally, MCO B reports they are introducing a NF Transition Screening Tool that MLTSS care managers would be expected to complete for all NF members. The tool is used to identify members that are interested in and would qualify for a safe transition to a community setting. Another MCO reports that all transitioned members were over 65 years old, and 50% of those members were age 90 or greater. All MCOs report they are reviewing transitions from HCBS to NF to identify trends. MCO C reports that of the 157 members that remained in the NF after 180 days, the top referral source for the NF admit was family members and the second highest was facility referrals.

PM # 25	MLTSS HCBS members transitioned from the community to NF for 180 days or less.
Numerator:	Cases in the denominator with NF living arrangement status for 180 days or less after the date of transition to NF.
Denominator:	Unique count of members in HCBS MLTSS that are continuously enrolled with the MCO from the beginning of measurement period (Quarter or Annual) or from date of initial enrollment in HCBS MLTSS, whichever is later, through 180 days post NF transition date.
Data Source:	MCO - Living arrangement file, CM tracking, and prior authorization system (r/o respite/rehab). MCO to identify how the dates were calculated.
Measurement Period:	Quarterly/Annually Lag Report Due: 210 day lag after quarter and year

7/1/16 - 9/30/16	A	В	С	D	E	TOTAL
Numerator	1	2	16	7	2	28
Denominator	5	39	173	82	10	309
%	20	5.1	9.2	8.5	20	9.1

MCOs report they are continuing to track and trend transitions into the NF to confirm that appropriate community to NF transitions are occurring. MCO D reports that they noticed that some of the placements were of a very short duration. They reported continuing efforts to rapidly assess new members in nursing facilities as they are identified and that the expedited NF designation on the data exchange has sped up proper plan code assignments. MCO E reported a total of 10 HCBS members who transitioned to a NF setting. However, 80% of the members stayed in the NF past 181 days, and only 2 members transitioned back to HCBS setting. Additionally, MCO E reports that 5 out of 10 or 50% were over age 85 which can be a contributing factor to the length of stay. MCO C reports that the top referral source for the return to the community was family members with 61% (11 referrals) and the second highest were the member self-referral 28% (5 referrals). MCO C continues to track and trend transitions into the NF to confirm that appropriate community to NF transitions are occurring. In addition, for tracking and reporting purposes, their staff was educated on adding into the system the reasons the member transitioned back to the community.

PM # 26	Acute inpatient utilization by MLTSS HCBS members.
Numerator:	The sum of visits to an acute inpatient facility, regardless of the intensity or duration of the visit, using identified value sets and exclusions during measurement period. Count IP visits based on member's enrollment in HCBS on date of discharge. (Report monthly values in data analysis).
Denominator:	Sum of member months (# of members enrolled in HCBS per month) for measurement period. (Report monthly values in data analysis).
Data Source:	MCO paid and denied (excluding duplicate claims) claims according to logic for the MCO encounter Categories of Services (separate file)
Measurement Period:	Monthly data reported Quarterly/Annually (180 day lag for claims + 30 days after quarter and year)

7/1/16 - 9/30/16	A	В	С	D	E	TOTAL
Numerator	61	485	1309	204	475	2534
Denominator	1076	8565	27790	10591	9069	57091
%	5.7	5.7	4.89	1.9	5.2	4.4

Data for this measure is captured on a monthly basis and the numerators are added together and the denominators are added together to report quarterly data reflecting the number of hospitalizations that occurred per member month. It is based on hospital events and not unduplicated members. The top diagnosis for hospital admission include: COPD, UTI, cellulitis, fractures, osteomyelitis, sepsis, acute kidney failure, muscle weakness, other malaise, CHF, sepsis, septicemia, GI bleeding, gastritis, GI ulcers, enterocolitis, CVA, pneumonia, renal failure, diabetes and neoplasms. MCO E reports that their highest incidence reported was enterocolitis due to Clostridium difficile. Additionally, MCO E has been tracking and trending hospitalizations by facility and plans to examine trends and develop strategies to decrease utilization, especially in members with chronic conditions. MCO B reports that they are planning to complete claim data analysis on the MLTSS dual, non-dual, and FIDE-SNP population because they do not have complete claims transparency. They hope to provide clarification around what types of claims they are receiving for the dual population (hospital utilization, physician/specialist visit, etc.). MCO D reports there were 8 members with more than 2 admissions during the quarter. Additionally, it was reported that 1 of the 8 members was admitted 9 times for complications from ESRD. MCO E reports 85 members had more than one admission during the measurement period and 78% of them were age 65 and older.

PM # 27	Acute inpatient utilization by MLTSS NF members.
Numerator:	The sum of visits to an acute inpatient facility, regardless of the intensity or duration of the visit, using identified value sets and exclusions. Count IP visits based on member's enrollment in NF on date of discharge. (Report monthly values in data analysis).
Denominator:	Sum of member months (# of members enrolled in NF per month) for measurement period. (Report monthly values in data analysis).
Data Source:	MCO paid claims and denied claims (excluding duplicate claims) according to logic for the MCO encounter Categories of Services (separate file)
Measurement	Monthly data reported Quarterly/Annually (180 day lag for claims + 30 days after quarter and
Period:	year)

7/1/16 - 9/30/16	A	В	С	D	E	TOTAL
Numerator	68	326	543	85	151	1173
Denominator	1553	6109	13849	7365	2740	31616
%	4.4	5.3	3.92	1.2	5.5	3.7

Data for this measure is captured on a monthly basis and the numerators are added together and the denominators are added together to report quarterly data reflecting the number of hospitalizations that occurred per member month. It is based on hospital events and not unduplicated members. Some of the reported diagnoses were related to sepsis, schizoaffective disorder, osteoarthritis, cellulitis, essential hypertension, alcohol induced acute pancreatitis, gastro-esophageal reflux disease without esophagitis, CHF, myocardial infarction, GI bleed, diverticulosis, UTI, and COPD. MCO D reports that one member was admitted four times for alcohol induced acute pancreatitis.

PM # 28	Readmissions of MLTSS HCBS members to the hospital within 30 days.
Numerator:	Sum of all HCBS members acute readmission for any diagnosis within 30 days of an index discharge date during the first and last date of the measurement period (Quarter or Annual). Using the administrative specification, assign each acute inpatient stay to a reporting month based on index discharge date. (Report monthly value in data analysis).
Denominator:	Sum of all acute inpatient discharges on or between the first and last day of the measurement period (Quarter or Annual) using the administrative specification to identify acute inpatient discharges and HCBS members. (Report monthly values in data analysis).
Data Source:	MCO paid and denied claims (exclude denials for duplicate submissions) for numerator and 834 file for denominator.
Measurement Period:	Monthly data reported Quarterly/Annually Lag Report Due: 240 days after quarter and year.

7/1/16 - 9/30/16	A	В	С	D	E	TOTAL
Numerator	11	75	171	21	76	354
Denominator	54	434	1252	204	396	2340
%	20.4	17.3	13.66	10.3	19.2	15.1

Data for this measure is captured on a monthly basis and the numerators are added together and the denominators are added together to report quarterly data reflecting the number of hospitalizations that occurred per member month. It is based on hospital events and not unduplicated members. Some of the reported diagnoses include fever, diabetes, schizophrenia, opioid usage, thrombocytopenia, respiratory failure with ventilator, pneumonia, gastro esophageal reflux, alcohol induced pancreatitis, sepsis, diverticulosis, hematemesis, post procedural intestinal obstruction, bacteremia, bradycardia, neoplasms, CHF, and COPD. MCO E reported that review of facilities did not demonstrate any significant trends. Additionally, MCO E reports that only 1 member had multiple readmissions during the measurement period for sepsis. MCO B reports that all readmissions captured in the numerator consisted of unique members – 54 MLTSS Medicaid and 21 MLTSS FIDE-SNP.

PM # 29	Readmissions of MLTSS NF members to the hospital within 30 days.
Numerator:	Sum of all NF members acute readmission for any diagnosis within 30 days of an index discharge date during the first and last date of the measurement period (Quarter or Annual). Using the administrative specification, assign each acute inpatient stay to a reporting month based on index discharge date. (Report monthly value in data analysis).
Denominator:	Sum of all acute inpatient discharges on or between the first and last day of the measurement period (Quarter or Annual) using the administrative specification to identify acute inpatient discharges and NF members. (Report monthly values in data analysis).
Data Source:	MCO paid claims and denied claims (exclude denials for duplicate submissions) for numerator and 834 file for denominator.
Measurement Period:	Monthly data reported Quarterly/Annually Lag Report Due: 240 days after quarter and year.

7/1/16 - 9/30/16	A	В	С	D	E	TOTAL
Numerator	14	0	61	6	15	96
Denominator	58	274	515	82	92	1021
%	24.1	0	11.84	7.3	16.3	9.4

Data for this measure is captured on a monthly basis and the numerators are added together and the denominators are added together to report quarterly data reflecting the number of hospitalizations that occurred per member month. It is based on hospital events and not unduplicated members. MCO A reports that there were 14 readmissions for 12 unique members within 30 days. Additionally, MCO A reports that two members were readmitted twice within 30 days of discharge. The first member with 2 readmissions had a discharge diagnosis of cellulitis and the subsequent re-admissions were for CVA and then complications of gastrostomy. The second member with 2 readmissions had a discharge diagnosis of complications of graft, followed by readmissions for UTI, and then sepsis. MCO D reports that they identified 1 member with 3 admissions with diagnosis of alcohol induced pancreatitis, alcohol dependence, and depression. MCO E reports that there were a total of 14 unique members, of which 79% were over 65 years of age.

PM # 30	ER utilization by MLTSS HCBS members.
Numerator:	Sum of ER visits of HCBS members that did not result in an inpatient encounter, regardless of intensity or duration of visit, using identified value sets and exclusions during the measurement period. (Report monthly values in data analysis).
Denominator:	Sum of member months (Number of members enrolled in HCBS on last day of month) for measurement period. (Report monthly values in data analysis).
Data Source:	MCO paid claims and denied claims (exclude denials for duplicate submissions) for numerator and 834 file for denominator.
Measurement Period:	Monthly data reported Quarterly/Annually (180 day lag for claims + 30 days after quarter and year)

7/1/16 - 9/30/16	A	В	С	D	E	TOTAL
Numerator	106	176	2951	543	756	4532
Denominator	1074	8565	27212	10588	8947	56386
%	9.9	2.1	10.8	5.1	8.4	8.0

A = Aetna B = Amerigroup C = Horizon NJ Health

Data for this measure is captured on a monthly basis and the numerators are added together and the denominators are added together to report quarterly data reflecting the number of ER utilizations that occurred per member month. It is based on ER utilization events and not unduplicated members. The diagnoses across all MCOs include: UTI, chest Pain, abnormal clinical findings, syncope and collapse, dizziness and giddiness, abdominal pain, headache, abrasions, lacerations, heart failure, essential hypertension, and back pain. MCO A reported that there was not specific diagnosis trends identified during this measurement period although the most frequent diagnoses were UTI and chest pain. MCO B reported that 1 member with 12 ER utilizations had various primary diagnosis codes. MCO D reported that they had 1 member with 10 ER utilizations related to alcohol and contusions. Additionally, MCO D reported that members with more than 5 visits were given to the Care Managers for follow-up and it will be determined if these members are receiving additional care management and are enrolled in any additional programs. The MCOs will continue to monitor this data for trends.

PM # 31	ER utilization by MLTSS NF members.
Numerator:	Sum of ER visits of NF members that did not result in an inpatient encounter, regardless of intensity or duration of visit, using identified value sets and exclusions during the measurement period. (Report monthly values in data analysis).
Denominator:	Sum of member months (Number of members enrolled in NF on last day of month) for measurement period. (Report monthly values in data analysis).
Data Source:	MCO paid claims and denied claims (exclude denials for duplicate submissions) for numerator and 834 file for denominator.
Measurement Period:	Monthly data reported Quarterly/Annually (180 day lag for claims + 30 days after quarter and year)

7/1/16 - 9/30/16	A	В	С	D	E	TOTAL
Numerator	81	86	748	199	133	1247
Denominator	1551	6113	14172	7365	2745	31946
%	5.2	1.4	5.3	2.7	4.8	3.9

MCOs are monitoring their respective data to determine trends by facility and develop ongoing prevention strategies especially those with multiple ER visits. MCO B reports that the 86 instances of ER utilization consist of 43 members and 26 of the 43 members experienced 2 or more ER admissions in the measurement period. MCO D reported that the top 5 diagnoses were psychiatric related disorders, injury of head, lacerations, attention to ostomy openings, and UTI. MCO D also reported there were multiple visits for the same member including one member that had 6 visits related to alcohol abuse, convulsions and contusions. Additionally, MCO D reported that 80% of the members that utilized the Emergency Room were over the age of 65. MCO C reports that they will continue to track and trend ER Utilization by Nursing Facility to identify overutilization. The MCOs will continue to monitor this data for trends.

PM # 33	MLTSS services used by HCBS members: PCA services only.
Numerator:	Unique count of members with at least one claim for PCA services during the measurement period. Exclude members with a claim for any other MLTSS service or for claims for Medical Day services during the measurement period.
Denominator:	Unique count of members enrolled in MLTSS HCBS at any time during the measurement period.
Data Source:	MCO – claims data
Measurement Period:	Quarterly (Lag Report Due: 210 day lag after quarter)

7/1/16 - 9/30/16	A	В	С	D	Е	TOTAL
Numerator	87	225	1777	380	527	2996
Denominator	474	2217	14734	4261	3258	24944
%	18.4	10.1	12.1	8.9	16.2	12.0

MCO A reported their data analysis showed that although 6 of the members who were only receiving PCA services, those 6 members began PERS and/or HDM soon after this measurement period. One member had home modifications completed but the claim was paid in October. Additionally, MCO A reports that many members were provided with support under other State Plan services. MCO D reported that there were 8,808 PCA claims for the 380 members counted in the numerator. Additionally, MCO D reports the percentage of members with PCA only has been slightly decreasing over the past 3 measurement periods, and is a result of the inclusion and growth of self-directed PCA services as well as the correct coding of the home-based supportive care benefit. MCO C reports that of the 1,777 members that had PCA services only, there were 1,358 members receiving PCA services from a provider and 419 members were receiving PCA services through the self-directed program. The MCOs will continue to monitor this data for trends.

PM # 34	MLTSS services used by HCBS members: Medical Day services only.
Numerator:	Unique count of members with at least one claim for Medical Day services during the measurement period. Exclude members with a claim for any other MLTSS service or for PCA services during the measurement period.
Denominator:	Unique count of members enrolled in MLTSS HCBS at any time during the measurement period.
Data Source:	MCO claims data
Measurement Period:	Quarterly (Lag Report Due: 210 day lag after quarter)

7/1/16 - 9/30/16	A	В	С	D	E	TOTAL
Numerator	18	89	168	42	371	688
Denominator	474	2217	14734	4261	3258	24944
%	3.8	4.0	1.1	1.0	11.4	2.8

MCO E reports that the percentage of members receiving Medical Day services only declined slightly as well as the overall count of unique members. They report that the decrease may be partially attributed to measures put in place at the time of care plan review to offer MLTSS specific services and the change in the timeframe of the measurement period could have possibility contributed as well. MCO C reports that of the members that had only Medical Day services, there were 165 adults and 3 pediatric members and the member's age ranged from 95 to 2 years old. MCO E reports that 12 of the 371 members identified in the numerator were MLTSS-FIDE-SNP and 333 of these members remain enrolled with the MCO including the 12 MLTSS-FIDE-SNP members. Additionally, MCO E reports that of the members who are on longer enrolled with them, 14 transferred to another MCO, 19 lost eligibility, and 5 have expired. The MCOs will continue to monitor this data for trends.

PM # 39	Total MLTSS HCBS members with select behavioral health diagnoses.
Numerator:	Cases in the denominator with at least one claim during the measurement period with a primary or secondary diagnosis of mental illness (HEDIS 2016 Mental Illness Value Set) or substance abuse (HEDIS 2016 AOD Dependence Value Set). (In data analysis stratify numerator).
Denominator:	Unique count of MLTSS HCBS members meeting eligibility criteria that is assigned to HCBS based on the last date of enrollment in MLTSS with the MCO during the measurement period.
Data Source:	MCO – paid claims
Measurement Period:	Quarterly and Annually

7/1/16 - 9/30/16	A	В	С	D	E	TOTAL
Numerator	111	1075	1464	536	668	3854
Denominator	406	3125	9437	3653	3232	19853
%	27.3	34.4	15.5	14.7	20.7	19.4

MCOs report they used claims payment systems based on the claims submitted/received by the MCO. Also, MLTSS members may transition between HCBS and NF during the year and as a result may appear in both PM's data. MCO A reports that the most frequently occurring diagnosis was major depression. MCO C reports that of the 1464 members with a behavioral health diagnosis 151 had a substance abuse diagnosis, 1,210 had a mental illness diagnosis, and 103 had both mental illness and substance abuse diagnoses. MCO D reports the leading 3 disorders during the measurement period were major depressive disorder, single episode, unspecified; bipolar disorder, unspecified; and other depressive disorders. MCO E reports that a review of all diagnoses received without a unique member count of all claims was completed to identify trends within the population. The most frequent diagnoses identified for AOD Dependence were: alcohol abuse/dependence, opioid dependence, cocaine dependence/abuse, other psychoactive substance dependence not specified, cannabis dependence, and inhalant abuse. Additionally, MCO E reported mental illness claims for non-unique members were much greater. The most frequent diagnosis were depression, schizophrenia / schizoaffective disorders, bipolar disorder, mood/affective disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder, autism/retts syndrome, personality disorder, psychosis, psychotic episode and attention-deficit hyperactive disorders. The MCOs will continue to monitor the data for trends.

PM # 39a	Total MLTSS HCBS members with Substance Abuse Only (SA).
Numerator:	Members in the denominator of the Substance Abuse Only (SA) population, with at least one claim during the measurement period with a primary or secondary diagnosis of substance abuse only (HEDIS 2016 AOD Dependence Value Set).
Denominator:	Unique count of MLTSS HCBS members meeting eligibility criteria that is assigned to HCBS based on the last date of enrollment in MLTSS with the MCO during the measurement period.
Data Source:	MCO – paid claims
Measurement Period:	Quarterly and Annually

7/1/16 - 9/30/16	A	В	С	D	E	TOTAL
Numerator	6	62	151	32	38	289
Denominator	406	3125	9437	3653	3232	19853
%	1.5	2.0	1.6	0.9	1.2	1.5

This is a new stratification of the PM. The MCOs will continue to monitor this data for trends.

PM # 39b	Total MLTSS HCBS members with Mental Illness Only (MI).
Numerator:	Members in the denominator of the Mental Illness Only (MI) population, with at least one claim during the measurement period with a primary or secondary diagnosis of mental illness only (HEDIS 2016 Mental Illness Value Set).
Denominator:	Unique count of MLTSS HCBS members meeting eligibility criteria that is assigned to HCBS based on the last date of enrollment in MLTSS with the MCO during the measurement period.
Data Source:	MCO – paid claims
Measurement Period:	Quarterly and Annually

7/1/16 - 9/30/16	A	В	С	D	E	TOTAL
Numerator	100	899	1219	476	613	3307
Denominator	406	3125	9437	3653	3232	19853
%	24.6	28.8	12.9	13.0	19.0	16.7

This is a new stratification of this PM. The MCOs will continue to monitor this data for trends.

PM # 39c	Total MLTSS HCBS members with Substance Abuse and Mental Illness (SA/MI).
Numerator:	Members in the denominator of the Substance Abuse/Mental Illness (SA/MI) population, with at least one claim during the measurement period with a primary or secondary diagnosis of substance abuse AND mental illness (HEDIS 2016 Mental Illness Value Set) AND (HEDIS 2016 AOD Dependence Value Set).
Denominator:	Unique count of MLTSS HCBS members meeting eligibility criteria that is assigned to HCBS based on the last date of enrollment in MLTSS with the MCO during the measurement period.
Data Source:	MCO – paid claims
Measurement Period:	Quarterly and Annually

7/1/16 - 9/30/16	A	В	С	D	E	TOTAL
Numerator	5	114	103	28	17	267
Denominator	406	3125	9437	3653	3232	19853
%	1.2	3.6	1.1	0.8	0.5	1.3

This is a new stratification of this PM. The MCOs will continue to monitor this data for trends.

PM # 40	Total MLTSS NF members with selective behavioral health diagnoses.
Numerator:	Cases in the denominator with at least one claim during the measurement period with a primary or secondary diagnosis of mental illness (HEDIS 2016 Mental Illness Value Set) or substance abuse (HEDIS 2016 AOD Dependence Value Set). (In data analysis stratify numerator).
Denominator:	Unique count of MLTSS NF members meeting eligibility criteria that is assigned to NF based on the last date of enrollment in MLTSS with the MCO during the measurement period.
Data Source:	MCO – paid claims
Measurement Period:	Quarterly and Annually

7/1/16 - 9/30/16	A	В	С	D	Е	TOTAL
Numerator	312	1100	1679	990	437	4518
Denominator	574	2309	5297	2727	994	11901
%	54.4	47.6	31.7	36.3	44.0	38.0

MCOs report they used claims payment system based on the claims submitted/received by the MCO. Also, MLTSS members may transition between HCBS and NF during the year and as a result may appear in both PM's data. MCO A reports that 169 of the 312 members (54%) had a diagnosis of major depression and the remainder substance abuse diagnoses were for alcohol abuse and opioid abuse. MCO B reports that the nursing facility population has reported a higher percentage of members with a selective behavioral health diagnosis compared to their HCBS population. Additionally, MCO B reports the percentage of NF members with a mental illness is significantly higher than those reported with a diagnosis of substance abuse and believes the use of substance abuse specific diagnosis codes is relatively low amongst primary care

N = Numerator D = Denominator % = Percentage N/A = Not Available O/D = Over due
A = Aetna B = Amerigroup C = Horizon NJ Health D = United HealthCare E = WellCare

physicians. MCO E reported that most common diagnoses identified within this population were depressive disorder, post-traumatic stress, adjustment disorders, schizophrenia, and schizoaffective disorder. MCO E also reports other diagnoses identified with this group were bipolar disorder, psychosis not due to substance abuse, OCD, delirium, mood disorders, and psychotic disorders. Among this group of members with substance abuse, the most frequently reported was alcohol abuse followed by psychoactive substance dependence. Additionally, MCO E reports they have 332 members of this population still enrolled and 74 are assigned to a behavioral health care manager. MCO D reports they utilize a behavioral health administrator who works collaboratively with an interdisciplinary team to ensure that MLTSS NF members diagnosed with a mental illness or a substance abuse disorder are connected to appropriate behavioral health services. MCOs will continue to monitor.

PM # 40a	Total MLTSS NF members with Substance Abuse Only (SA).
Numerator:	Members in the denominator of the Substance Abuse Only (SA) population, with at least one claim during the measurement period with a primary or secondary diagnosis of substance abuse only (HEDIS 2016 AOD Dependence Value Set).
Denominator:	Unique count of MLTSS NF members meeting eligibility criteria that is assigned to NF based on the last date of enrollment in MLTSS with the MCO during the measurement period.
Data Source:	MCO – paid claims
Measurement Period:	Quarterly and Annually

7/1/16 - 9/30/16	A	В	С	D	E	TOTAL
Numerator	10	15	63	37	12	137
Denominator	574	2309	5297	2727	994	11901
%	1.7	0.6	1.2	1.4	1.2	1.2

This is a new stratification of this PM. The MCOs will continue to monitor this data for trends.

PM # 40b	Total MLTSS NF members with Mental Illness Only (MI).
Numerator:	Members in the denominator of the Mental Illness Only (MI) population, with at least one claim during the measurement period with a primary or secondary diagnosis of mental illness only (HEDIS 2016 Mental Illness Value Set).
Denominator:	Unique count of MLTSS NF members meeting eligibility criteria that is assigned to NF based on the last date of enrollment in MLTSS with the MCO during the measurement period.
Data Source:	MCO – paid claims
Measurement Period:	Quarterly and Annually

7/1/16 - 9/30/16	A	A B C		D	E	TOTAL
Numerator	294	1026	1537	924	418	4199
Denominator	574	2309	5297	2727	994	11901
%	51.2	44.4	29	33.9	42.1	35.3

N = Numerator

D = Denominator

% = Percentage

N/A = Not AvailableD = United HealthCare

O/D = Over due E = WellCare

A = Aetna B = Amerigroup C = Horizon NJ Health

This is a new stratification of this PM. The MCOs will continue to monitor this data for trends.

PM # 40c	Total MLTSS NF members with Substance Abuse and Mental Illness (SA/MI).
Numerator:	Members in the denominator of the Substance Abuse/Mental Illness (SA/MI) population, with at least one claim during the measurement period with a primary or secondary diagnosis of substance abuse AND mental illness (HEDIS 2016 Mental Illness Value Set) AND (HEDIS 2016 AOD Dependence Value Set).
Denominator:	Unique count of MLTSS NF members meeting eligibility criteria that is assigned to NF based on the last date of enrollment in MLTSS with the MCO during the measurement period.
Data Source:	MCO – paid claims
Measurement Period:	Quarterly and Annually

7/1/16 - 9/30/16	A	В	С	D	Е	TOTAL
Numerator	8	59	85	29	7	188
Denominator	574	2309	5297	2727	994	11901
%	1.4	2.6	1.6	1.1	0.7	1.6

This is a new stratification of this PM. The MCOs will continue to monitor this data for trends.

PM # 41	MLTSS services used by HCBS members: PCA services and Medical Day services only.
Numerator:	Unique count of members with at least one claim for Medical Day services AND at least one claim for PCA services during the measurement period. Exclude members with a claim for any other MLTSS service during the measurement period.
Denominator:	Unique count of members enrolled in MLTSS HCBS at any time during the measurement period.
Data Source:	MCO claims data
Measurement Period:	Quarterly ( Lag Report Due: 210 day lag after quarter )

7/1/16 - 9/30/16	A	В	С	D	E	TOTAL
Numerator	14	70	391	508	511	1494
Denominator	474	2217	14734	4261	3258	24944
%	3	3.2	2.7	11.9	15.7	6.0

The MCOs will continue to monitor this data for trends, etc.

Page | **21** 

PM # 18	Quarterly and Annual Critical Incident reporting for abuse, neglect and exploitation
Numerator:	# of critical incidents per category
Denominator:	Total # of critical incidents reported for measurement period (quarter or annual)
Data Source:	MCO
Measurement Period:	January-March 2017

	MCO		A		В		С			D			E			Quarter - TOTAL			
	Participant Safeguards:		D	%	N	D	%	N	D	%	N	D	%	N	D	%	N	D	%
18	Critical Incident (CI) reporting Types:				•		•	•	•			•		•				•	
а	Unexpected death of a member	0	7	0	0	109	0	1	686	0.1	4	114	3.5	0	34	0	5	950	0.5
b	Media involvement or the potential for media involvement	0	7	0	2	109	1.8	3	686	0.4	3	114	2.6	0	34	0	8	950	0.8
С	Physical abuse (including seclusion and restraints both physical and chemical)	0	7	0	2	109	1.8	5	686	0.7	1	114	0.8	0	34	0	8	950	0.8
d	Psychological / Verbal abuse	0	7	0	0	109	0	2	686	0.3	0	114	0	1	34	2.9	3	950	0.3
е	Sexual abuse and/or suspected sexual abuse	0	7	0	0	109	0	2	686	0.3	0	114	0	0	34	0	2	950	0.2
f	Fall resulting in the need for medical treatment	4	7	57.1	63	109	57.8	205	686	29.9	43	114	37.7	22	34	64.7	337	950	35.5
g	Medical emergency resulting in need for medical treatment	1	7	14.3	0	109	0	324	686	47.2	12	114	10.5	9	34	26.5	346	950	36.4
h	Medication error resulting in serious consequences	0	7	0	5	109	4.6	2	686	0.3	0	114	0	0	34	0	7	950	0.7
i	Psychiatric emergency resulting in need for medical treatment	0	7	0	2	109	1.8	22	686	3.2	2	114	1.8	0	34	0	26	950	2.7

## **MLTSS Performance Measure Report**

Page | **22** 

### Deliverables due during MLTSS 4th quarter (4/1/2017 - 6/30/2017)

j	Severe injury resulting in the need for medical treatment	0	7	0	7	109	6.4	15	686	2.2	4	114	3.5	0	34	0	26	950	2.7
k	Suicide attempt resulting in the need for medical attention	0	7	0	3	109	2.8	2	686	0.3	0	114	0	0	34	0	5	950	0.5
1	Neglect/Mistreatment, caregiver (paid or unpaid)	0	7	0	5	109	4.6	7	686	1.0	4	114	3.5	0	34	0	16	950	1.7
m	Neglect/Mistreatment, self	0	7	0	0	109	0	3	686	0.4	1	114	0.9	0	34	0	4	950	0.4
n	Neglect/Mistreatment, other	0	7	0	0	109	0	2	686	0.3	1	114	0.9	0	34	0	3	950	0.3
0	Exploitation, financial	1	7	14.3	3	109	2.8	4	686	0.6	0	114	0	0	34	0	7	950	0.8
р	Exploitation, theft	0	7	0	0	109	0	2	686	0.3	0	114	0	0	34	0	2	950	0.2
q	Exploitation, destruction of property	0	7	0	0	109	0	0	686	0	0	114	0	0	34	0	0	950	0
r	Exploitation, other	0	7	0	0	109	0	2	686	0.3	0	114	0	0	34	0	2	950	0.2
S	Theft with law enforcement involvement	0	7	0	1	109	0.9	3	686	0.4	2	114	1.8	0	34	0	6	950	0.6
t	Failure of member's Back-up Plan	0	7	0	0	109	0	0	686	0	0	114	0	0	34	0	0	950	0
u	Elopement/Wandering from home or facility	0	7	0	1	109	0.9	4	686	0.6	0	114	0	1	34	2.9	6	950	0.6
v	Inaccessible for initial/on-site meeting	0	7	0	4	109	3.7	3	686	0.4	17	114	14.9	0	34	0	24	950	2.5
W	Unable to Contact	0	7	0	2	109	1.8	25	686	3.6	7	114	6.1	0	34	0	34	950	3.6
Х	Inappropriate or unprofessional conduct by a provider involving member	0	7	0	1	109	0.9	30	686	4.4	0	114	0	0	34	0	31	950	3.3
У	Cancellation of utilities	0	7	0	0	109	0	0	686	0	0	114	0	0	34	0	0	950	0
Z	Eviction/loss of home	1	7	14.3	0	109	0	13	686	1.9	3	114	2.6	0	34	0	17	950	1.8
aa	Facility closure, with direct impact to member's health and welfare	0	7	0	0	109	0	0	686	0	0	114	0	0	34	0	0	950	0

N = Numerator

D = Denominator A = Aetna B = Amerigroup C = Horizon NJ Health

% = Percentage

N/A = Not Available D = United HealthCare

O/D = Over due E = WellCare

### **MLTSS Performance Measure Report**

Page | 23

#### Deliverables due during MLTSS 4th quarter (4/1/2017 - 6/30/2017)

ab	Natural disaster, with direct impact to member's health and welfare	0	7	0	0	109	0	0	686	0	0	114	0	0	34	0	0	950	0
ac	Operational Breakdown	0	7	0	0	109	0	0	686	0	0	114	0	0	34	0	0	950	0
ad	Other	0	7	0	8	109	7.3	5	686	0.7	10	114	8.8	1	34	2.9	24	950	2.5

There were a total of 950 Critical Incidents reported by the five MCOs during the January - March 2017 measurement period. These are reported events not unduplicated members. Overall the three most common incidents were: Medical Emergency resulting in the need for medical treatment (36.4%); Fall resulting in the need for medical treatment (35.5%); and Unable to Contact (3.6%). Four of the five MCOs reported that falls accounted for the highest percentage of reported CIs during this quarter. One MCO reported that 63 of their 109 CIs for this measurement period were for falls. This MCO partnered with CareFamily, an independent organization, to complete fall surveys amongst their top PCA providers. Data from these surveys has been received and is being reviewed internally. They are also recommending the authorization of QMedic, a sophisticated PERS technology that measures member sleep patterns and daytime activity. QMedic is able to provide the MCO with member-level reports. Another MCO, which reported that 20 of their 22 CIs were for falls, detailed a fall prevention program scheduled to begin before the end of SFY 2017. Their program will focus on The Otago program, PCA provider education, and member education in an effort to reduce the risk of falls for their members. The MCO whose top category was medical emergencies, focused on more frequent outreach by their CMs as well as evaluating whether a change in the member's POC is indicated.

# 1115 Comprehensive Waiver Quarterly Report Demonstration Year 5

#### Federal Fiscal Quarter: 3/2017 (4/1/17 -6/30/17)

# Department of Children and Families/Division of Children's System of Care (DCF/CSCO)

#### **Quality Assurance Activities:**

# STC 103(d)(x) A summary of the outcomes of the State's Quality Strategy for HCBS as outlined above

• IDD -MI and ASD Pilots

#1 Administrative Authority Sub Assurance	The New Jersey State Medicaid Agency (DMAHS) retains the ultimate administrative authority and responsibility for the operation of the waiver program by exercising oversight of the performance of the waiver functions by other state and contracted agencies.
Data Source	Record Review and or CSA data
	Random sample of case files representing a 95% confidence level
Sampling	
Methodology	
Numerator:	In Development
Number of sub	
assurances that are	
substantially	
compliant (86 % or	
greater)	
Denominator:	In Development
Total number of sub	
assurances audited	
Percentage	In Development

The reporting of this quality strategy is in development and will be addressed at a later date.

- IDD -MI and ASD Pilots
- Measurement period 04/01/2017 06/30/2017

#2 Quality of Life	All youth that meet the clinical criteria for services through the
Sub Assurance	Department of Children and Families(DCF), Division of Children'
	s System of Care (CSOC) will be assessed utilizing the
	comprehensive Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths
	(CANS) assessment tool.

Data Source	Review of Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths scores Contracted System Administrator (CSA) Data. Data report: CSA NJ1225 Strengths & Needs Assessment – Post SPC Start			
Sampling 100% New youth enrolled in the waiver				
Methodology				
Waiver	ID/DD –MI	ASD		
Numerator: Number of youth receiving Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS) assessment	150	11		
Denominator : Total number of new enrollees	150	11		
Percentage	100%	100%		

CSOC conducted a review of the data for all the youth enrolled during the reporting period under the ID/DD – MI and ASD waivers. For all the youth added during the waiver period the record contained strength and needs assessment. CSOC will continue to conduct ongoing monitoring for this sub assurance.

- IDD -MI and ASD Pilots
- Measurement period 04/01/2017 06/30/2017

#3 Quality of Life	80% of youth should show improvement in Child and Adolescent			
Sub Assurance	Needs and Strengths composite	rating within a year		
Data Source	CSA Data on CANS Initial and S	ubsequent Assessments.		
	Data report: CSA NJ2021CANS	Waiver Outcome		
Sampling	Number of youth enrolled in the waiver for at least 1 year.			
Methodology				
Waiver	ID/DD –MI	ASD		
Numerator:	718	179		
Number of youth				
who improved				
within one year of				
admission				
Denominator:	770	185		
Number of youth				
with Child and				

Adolescent Needs and Strengths assessments conducted 1 year from admission or last CANS conducted		
Percentage	93%	97%

CSOC conducted a review of the Care and Associated Needs Assessment (CANS) for all youth during the reporting period served under the ID/DD – MI and ASD waivers. Both waiver programs achieved greater outcomes than the 80% threshold of improvement for the youth. CSOC will continue to monitor this area to make sure that we maintain an 80% or higher outcome for this indicator.

# STC 103(d)(x) A summary of the outcomes of the State's Quality Strategy for HCBS as outlined above

- IDD -MI and ASD Pilots
- Measurement period 04/01/2017 06/30/2017

#4 Level of Care Sub Assurance Data Source	CSOC's Contracted System's Administrator (CSA), conducts an initial Level of Care assessments (aka Intensity of Services (IOS) prior to enrollment for all youth.  CSA Data.				
	Data report: CSA NJ1218 New Enrollees, Quarterly Count and IOS Completed				
Sampling Methodology	100% new youth enrolled in the waiver				
Waiver	ID/DD –MI	ASD			
Numerator: Number of youth receiving initial level of care determination prior to enrollment	150	11			
<b>Denominator:</b> Number of new enrollees	150	11			
Percentage	100%				

CSOC reviewed all new enrollees for the ID/DD – MI and ASD waivers. During the reporting period all the youth met the sub assurance.

# STC 103(d)(x) A summary of the outcomes of the State's Quality Strategy for HCBS as outlined above

- IDD -MI and ASD Pilots
- Measurement period 04/01/2017 06/30/2017

#5 Plan of Care Sub Assurance	The Plan of Care (aka Individual Service Plan (ISP)) is developed based on the needs identified in the Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths assessment tool and according to CSOC policies			
Data Source	CSA Data on Plans of Care completions, Record Review.  Data report: CSA NJ1219 Follow – Up Treatment Plan and Associated SNA			
Sampling Methodology	100% of youth enrolled during the measurement period.			
Waiver	ID/DD –MI	ASD		
Numerator: Number of Plans of Care that address youth's assessed needs	150	11		
<b>Denominator:</b> Number of Plans of Care reviewed	150	11		
Percentage	100%	100%		

CSOC reviewed all new enrollees for the ID/DD – MI and ASD waivers. During the reporting period all those youth records met the sub assurance.

- IDD -MI and ASD Pilots
- Measurement period 04/01/2017 06/30/2017

#6 Plan of Care	Plan of Care (ISP) is updated at least annually or as the needs of
Sub Assurance	the youth changes

Data Source	CSA Data Report : CSA NJ1289 All Youth	Waiver ISP Aggregate Report			
Sampling	100% of youth enrolled during the measurement period.				
Methodology					
Waiver	ID/DD –MI	ASD			
Numerator: Number of current Plans of Care updated at least annually	56	1			
<b>Denominator:</b> Number of Plans of Care reviewed	56	1			
Percentage	100%	100%			

CSOC conducted a review of the data for all youth during the reporting period served under the ID/DD – MI and ASD waivers that have been in the waiver for at least a year. During the reporting all youth on the waiver had at least an annual ISP update. CSOC will continue to monitor this indicator to make sure that ISPs are updated at least annually.

- IDD -MI and ASD Pilots
- Measurement period 04/01/2017 06/30/2017

#7 Plan of Care Sub Assurance	Services are authorized in accordance with the approved plan of care (ISP).  Data Report: CSA NJ1220 Waiver Services Provided				
Data Source	CSA Data Report of Authorizations				
	Record Review				
Sampling Methodology	100% of youth enrolled during the measurement period.				
Waiver	ID/DD –MI	ASD			
Numerator: Number of plans of care that had	150	11			

services authorized		
based on the plan		
of care		
Denominator:	150	11
Number of plans of		
care reviewed		
Percentage	100%	100%

CSOC conducted a review of the data for the youth enrolled during the reporting period under the ID/DD – MI and ASD waivers. All the youth who were enrolled in the waiver during this period had an authorization for provided services.

# STC 102(d)(x) A summary of the outcomes of the State's Quality Strategy for HCBS as outlined above

- IDD -MI and ASD Pilots
- Measurement period 04/01/2017 06/30/2017

#8 Plan of Care Sub Assurance	Services are delivered in accordance with the approved plan of care (ISP).	
Data Source	CSA Data Report of Authorizations	
	Claims paid on authorized services through MMIS	
	Record Review	
Sampling	Random sample representing a 95% confidence level	
Methodology		
Waiver	ID/DD –MI	ASD
Numerator: Number of Services that were delivered	In Development	In Development
Denominator: Number of services that were authorized	In Development	In Development
Percentage	In Development	In Development

The reporting of this quality strategy is in development and will be addressed at a later date.

- IDD -MI and ASD Pilots
- Measurement period 04/01/2017 06/30/2017

#9 Plan of Care Sub Assurance	Youth/Families are provided a choice of providers, based on the available qualified provider network.	
Data Source	Record review Statewide Provider List -CSA Data Report	
Sampling Methodology	Random sample representing a 95% confidence level	
Waiver	ID/DD –MI	ASD
Numerator: Number of youth/families given a choice of providers as indicated in progress notes	N/A*	N/A*
Denominator: Number of records reviewed	N/A*	N/A*
Percentage	N/A*	N/A*

<sup>\*</sup>CSOC does not have data available for this reporting range; we plan to report on this sub assurance next quarter.

- IDD -MI and ASD Pilots
- Measurement period 04/01/2017 06/30/2017

#10 Qualified Providers Sub	Children's System of Care verifies that providers of waiver services initially meet required qualified status, including any	
Assurance	applicable licensure and/or certif	
	furnishing waiver services.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Data Source	Record review.	
Sampling	100% Agency	
Methodology		
Waiver	ID/DD –MI	ASD
Numerator:	N/A*	N/A*
Number of new		
providers that met		
the qualifying		
standards prior to		
furnishing waiver		

services		
Denominator:	N/A*	N/A*
Total number of		
new providers		
Percentage	N/A*	N/A*

<sup>\*</sup>CSOC does not have data available for this reporting range; we plan to report on this sub assurance next quarter.

# STC 103(d)(x) A summary of the outcomes of the State's Quality Strategy for HCBS as outlined above

- IDD -MI and ASD Pilots
- Measurement period 04/01/2017 06/30/2017

# 11 Qualified Providers Sub Assurance	Children's System of Care verifies that providers of waiver services continually meet required qualified status, including any applicable licensure and/or certification standards.	
Data Source	Provider HR Record Review	
Sampling	100% Agency	
Methodology		
Waiver	ID/DD –MI	ASD
Numerator: Number of providers that meet the qualifying standards –applicable Licensures/certification	In Development	In Development
Denominator: Total number of providers that initially met the qualified status	In Development	In Development
Percentage	In Development	In Development

The reporting of this quality strategy is in development and will be addressed at a later date.

# STC 103(d)(x) A summary of the outcomes of the State's Quality Strategy for HCBS as outlined above

• IDD -MI and ASD Pilots

## • Measurement period 04/01/2017 - 06/30/2017

# 12 Qualified Providers Sub Assurance	CSOC implements its policies and procedures for verifying that applicable certifications/checklists and training are provided in accordance with qualification requirements as listed in the waiver.		
Data Source	Record Review		
Sampling	100% Community Provider Ager	ncies	
Methodology		,	
Waiver	ID/DD -MI ASD		
Numerator: Number of providers that have been trained and are qualified to provide waiver services	N/A*	N/A*	
Denominator: Total number of providers that provide waiver services	N/A*	N/A*	
Percentage	N/A*	N/A*	

<sup>\*</sup>CSOC does not have data available for this reporting range; we plan to report on this sub assurance next quarter.

# 13 Health and Welfare Sub Assurance	The State, demonstrates on an oraddresses and seeks to prevent exploitation.	
Data Source	Review of UIRMS database and Administrative policies & procedures	
Sampling Methodology	100% of youth enrolled for the reporting period	
Waiver	ID/DD –MI	ASD
Numerator: Total number of UIRs submitted timely according to State policies	In Development	In Development
Denominator: Number of UIRs submitted involving enrolled youth	In Development	In Development

Percentage	In Development	In Development

The reporting of this quality strategy is in development and will be addressed at a later date.

# 14 Health and Welfare Sub Assurance Data Source	The State incorporates an unusual incident management reporting system (UIRMS), as articulated in administrative order 205, which reviews incidents and develops policies to prevent further similar incidents (i.e., abuse, neglect and runaways).  Review of UIRMS database and Administrative policies & procedures	
Sampling Methodology	100% of youth enrolled for the reporting period	
Waiver	ID/DD –MI	ASD
Numerator: The number of incidents that were reported through UIRMS and had required follow up	In Development	In Development
Denominator: Total number of incidents reported that required follow up	In Development	In Development
Percentage	In Development	In Development

The reporting of this quality strategy is in development and will be addressed at a later date.

# 15 Health and Welfare Sub Assurance	The State policies and procedures for the use or prohibition of restrictive interventions (including restraints and seclusion) are followed.	
Data Source	Review of UIRMS	
Sampling	100% of all allegations of restrictive interventions reported	
Methodology		
Waiver	ID/DD –MI	ASD
Numerator: Number of unusual incidents reported involving restrictive interventions that were remediated in	In Development	In Development

accordance to policies and procedures		
Denominator: Total number of unusual incidents reported involving restrictive interventions	In Development	In Development
Percentage	In Development	In Development

The reporting of this quality strategy is in development and will be addressed at a later date.

# 16 Health and Welfare Sub Assurance	The State establishes overall healthcare standards and monitors those standards based on the NJ established EPSDT periodicity schedule for well visits.		
Data Source	MMIS Claims/Encounter Data		
Sampling	100% of youth enrolled for the reporting period		
Methodology			
Waiver	ID/DD –MI	ASD	
Numerator: Number of youth enrolled that received a well visit	In Development	In Development	
Denominator: Total number of youth enrolled	In Development	In Development	
Percentage	In Development	In Development	

The reporting of this quality strategy is in development and will be addressed at a later date.

# 17 Financial Accountability Sub Assurance	The State provides evidence that claims are coded and paid for in accordance with the reimbursement methodology specified in the approved waiver and only for services rendered.		
Data Source	Claims Data, Plans of Care, Authorizations		
Sampling	100% of youth enrolled for the reporting period		
Methodology			
Waiver	ID/DD –MI	ASD	
Numerator: The number of claims there were paid according to code	In Development	In Development	

within youth's centered plan		
authorization		
Denominator:	In Development	In Development
Total number of		
claims submitted		
Percentage	In Development	In Development

The reporting of this quality strategy is in development and will be addressed at a later date.