

1. Title page for the state’s substance use disorder (SUD) demonstration or the SUD component of the broader demonstration

The state should complete this title page at the beginning of a demonstration and submit as the title page for all monitoring reports. The content of this table should stay consistent over time. Definitions for certain rows are below the table.

| | |
|---|---|
| State | <i>Wisconsin.</i> |
| Demonstration name | <i>BadgerCare Reform</i> |
| Approval period for section 1115 demonstration | <i>10/31/2018-12/31/2023</i> |
| SUD demonstration start date^a | <i>2/1/2020</i> |
| Implementation date of SUD demonstration, if different from SUD demonstration start date^b | <i>2/1/2021</i> |
| SUD (or if broader demonstration, then SUD -related) demonstration goals and objectives | <i>Increased rates of identification, initiation, and engagement in SUD treatment, increased adherence to and retention in treatment, fewer readmissions to the same or higher level of care where admissions is preventable, reduction in overdose deaths, reduced inappropriate utilization of emergency departments and inpatient hospital settings via improved access to other SUD continuum of care services.</i> |
| SUD demonstration year and quarter | <i>DY1 Q2</i> |
| Reporting period | <i>Enter calendar dates for the current reporting period (i.e., for the quarter or year) (MM/DD/YYYY – MM/DD/YYYY). This should align with the reporting schedule in the state’s approved monitoring protocol.</i> |

^a **SUD demonstration start date:** For monitoring purposes, CMS defines the start date of the demonstration as the *effective date* listed in the state’s STCs at time of SUD demonstration approval. For example, if the state’s STCs at the time of SUD demonstration approval note that the SUD demonstration is effective January 1, 2020 – December 31, 2025, the state should consider January 1, 2020 to be the start date of the SUD demonstration. Note that the effective date is considered to be the first day the state may begin its SUD demonstration. In many cases, the effective date is distinct from the approval date of a demonstration; that is, in certain cases, CMS may approve a section 1115 demonstration with an effective date that is in the future. For example, CMS may approve an extension request on 12/15/2020, with an effective date of 1/1/2021 for the new demonstration period. In many cases, the effective date also differs from the date a state begins implementing its demonstration.

^b Implementation date of SUD demonstration: The date the state began claiming federal financial participation for services provided to individuals in institutions for mental disease.

2. Executive summary

The executive summary should be reported in the fillable box below. It is intended for summary-level information only. The recommended word count is 500 words or less.

The State of Wisconsin was approved for the extension and amendment of the Badger Care Reform Waiver as of October 31, 2018, authorizing the Wisconsin Division of Medicaid Services to operate the Badger Care Reform services through December 31, 2023. The new residential substance use disorder (SUD) treatment benefit was developed under this section 1115 demonstration waiver, allowing Wisconsin Medicaid to claim federal funding for residential SUD services provided in IMD settings.

The Wisconsin Medicaid residential SUD treatment benefit launched February 1, 2021. Treatment services are available to members of all ages who are enrolled in a full-benefit Medicaid plan and who are pursuing recovery from one or more SUDs. Residential SUD treatment is reimbursed only for services delivered in facilities certified by the Wisconsin Division of Quality Assurance as medically monitored treatment (Wis. Admin. Code DHS 75.11) or transitional treatment (Wis. Admin. Code DHS 75.14). The residential SUD treatment benefit does not include coverage in non-treatment residential settings, such as sober living homes, recovery residences, or community arrangements.

The policy and implementation teams have focused on provider enrollment, providing technical assistance via group and individual meetings, providing training and support related to the use of American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM) program standards, monitoring and adjusting systems processes for prior authorization and claims, and ensuring that members are accessing treatment. As of August 1, 2021, over 1600 members had approved prior authorizations for residential SUD treatment. As of August 1, 2021 the residential SUD treatment benefit had one denied prior authorization, no appeals, and no grievances filed. Outcome data for reporting purposes will be available in November.

3. Narrative information on implementation, by milestone and reporting topic

| Prompt | State has no trends/update to report (place an X) | Related metric(s) (if any) | State response |
|--|---|----------------------------|---|
| 1. Assessment of need and qualification for SUD services | | | |
| 1.1 Metric trends | | | |
| 1.1.1. The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services | X | | Data on the metrics related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services is currently in development. The state intends to report the required metrics in future quarterly reports. |
| 1.2 Implementation update | | | |
| 1.2.1. Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 1.2.1.i. The target population(s) of the demonstration | X | | <i>*EXAMPLE: The state is expanding the clinical criteria to include X diagnoses</i> |
| 1.2.1.ii. The clinical criteria (e.g., SUD diagnoses) that qualify a beneficiary for the demonstration | X | | <i>*EXAMPLE: The state projects an x% increase in beneficiaries with a SUD diagnosis due to an increase in the FPL limits which will be effective on X date.</i> |
| 1.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services | X | | |

Medicaid Section 1115 SUD Demonstrations Monitoring Report – Part B Version 3.0
 [Wisconsin] [BadgerCare Reform]

| Prompt | State has no trends/update to report (place an X) | Related metric(s) (if any) | State response |
|---|---|----------------------------|--|
| 2. Access to Critical Levels of Care for OUD and other SUDs (Milestone 1) | | | |
| 2.1 Metric trends | | | |
| 2.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 1 | X | | Data on the metrics related to access to critical levels of care for OUD and other SUDs is currently in development. The state intends to report the required metrics in future quarterly reports. |
| 2.2 Implementation update | | | |
| 2.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 2.2.1.i. Planned activities to improve access to SUD treatment services across the continuum of care for Medicaid beneficiaries (e.g. outpatient services, intensive outpatient services, medication-assisted treatment, services in intensive residential and inpatient settings, medically supervised withdrawal management) | X | | |
| 2.2.1.ii. SUD benefit coverage under the Medicaid state plan or the Expenditure Authority, particularly for residential treatment, medically supervised withdrawal management, and medication-assisted treatment services provided to individual IMDs | X | | |
| 2.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 1 | X | | |

| Prompt | State has no trends/update to report (place an X) | Related metric(s) (if any) | State response |
|--|---|----------------------------|--|
| 3. Use of Evidence-based, SUD-specific Patient Placement Criteria (Milestone 2) | | | |
| 3.1 Metric trends | | | |
| 3.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 2 | X | | Data on the metrics related to use of evidence-based, SUD-specific patient placement criteria is currently in development. The state intends to report the required metrics in future quarterly reports. |
| 3.2. Implementation update | | | |
| 3.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 3.2.1.i. Planned activities to improve providers' use of evidence-based, SUD-specific placement criteria | X | | |
| 3.2.1.ii. Implementation of a utilization management approach to ensure (a) beneficiaries have access to SUD services at the appropriate level of care, (b) interventions are appropriate for the diagnosis and level of care, or (c) use of independent process for reviewing placement in residential treatment settings | X | | |
| 3.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 2 | X | | |

| Prompt | State has no trends/update to report (place an X) | Related metric(s) (if any) | State response |
|---|---|----------------------------|---|
| 4. Use of Nationally Recognized SUD-specific Program Standards to Set Provider Qualifications for Residential Treatment Facilities (Milestone 3) | | | |
| 4.1 Metric trends | | | |
| 4.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 3 <i>Note: There are no CMS-provided metrics related to Milestone 3. If the state did not identify any metrics for reporting this milestone, the state should indicate it has no update to report.</i> | X | | The State of Wisconsin has no update to report for this set of metrics. |
| 4.2 Implementation update | | | |
| 4.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 4.2.1.i. Implementation of residential treatment provider qualifications that meet the ASAM Criteria or other nationally recognized, SUD-specific program standards | X | | |
| 4.2.1.ii. Review process for residential treatment providers' compliance with qualifications. | X | | |
| 4.2.1.iii. Availability of medication-assisted treatment at residential treatment facilities, either on-site or through facilitated access to services off site | X | | |
| 4.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 3 | X | | |

| Prompt | State has no trends/update to report (place an X) | Related metric(s) (if any) | State response |
|---|---|----------------------------|---|
| 5. Sufficient Provider Capacity at Critical Levels of Care including for Medication Assisted Treatment for OUD (Milestone 4) | | | |
| 5.1 Metric trends | | | |
| 5.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 4 | X | | Data on the metrics related to Sufficient Provider Capacity at Critical Levels of Care including for Medication Assisted Treatment for OUD is currently in development. The state intends to report the required metrics in future quarterly reports. |
| 5.2 Implementation update | | | |
| 5.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: Planned activities to assess the availability of providers enrolled in Medicaid and accepting new patients in across the continuum of SUD care | X | | |
| 5.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 4 | X | | |
| 6. Implementation of Comprehensive Treatment and Prevention Strategies to Address Opioid Abuse and OUD (Milestone 5) | | | |
| 6.1 Metric trends | | | |
| 6.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 5 | X | | Data on the metrics related to Implementation of Comprehensive Treatment and Prevention Strategies to Address Opioid Abuse and OUD is currently in development. The state intends to report the required metrics in future quarterly reports. |
| 6.2 Implementation update | | | |

| Prompt | State has no trends/update to report (place an X) | Related metric(s) (if any) | State response |
|--|---|----------------------------|---|
| 6.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 6.2.1.i. Implementation of opioid prescribing guidelines and other interventions related to prevention of OUD | X | | |
| 6.2.1.ii. Expansion of coverage for and access to naloxone | X | | |
| 6.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 5 | X | | |
| 7. Improved Care Coordination and Transitions between Levels of Care (Milestone 6) | | | |
| 7.1 Metric trends | | | |
| 7.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 6 | | | Data on the metrics related to Improved Care Coordination and Transitions between Levels of Care is currently in development. The state intends to report the required metrics in future quarterly reports. |
| 7.2 Implementation update | | | |
| 7.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: Implementation of policies supporting beneficiaries' transition from residential and inpatient facilities to community-based services and supports | X | | |
| 7.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 6 | X | | |
| 8. SUD health information technology (health IT) | | | |
| 8.1 Metric trends | | | |

| Prompt | State has no trends/update to report (place an X) | Related metric(s) (if any) | State response |
|--|---|----------------------------|--|
| 8.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to its health IT metrics | X | | Data on the metrics related SUD health information technology (health IT) is currently in development. The state intends to report the required metrics in future quarterly reports. |
| 8.2 Implementation update | | | |
| 8.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 8.2.1.i. How health IT is being used to slow down the rate of growth of individuals identified with SUD | X | | |
| How health IT is being used to treat effectively individuals identified with SUD | | | |
| 8.2.1.ii. How health IT is being used to effectively monitor “recovery” supports and services for individuals identified with SUD | X | | |
| 8.2.1.iii. Other aspects of the state’s plan to develop the health IT infrastructure/capabilities at the state, delivery system, health plan/MCO, and individual provider levels | X | | |
| 8.2.1.iv. Other aspects of the state’s health IT implementation milestones | X | | |
| 8.2.1.v. The timeline for achieving health IT implementation milestones | X | | |
| 8.2.1.vi. Planned activities to increase use and functionality of the state’s prescription drug monitoring program | X | | |
| 8.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to health IT | X | | |

| Prompt | State has no trends/update to report (place an X) | Related metric(s) (if any) | State response |
|---|---|----------------------------|--|
| 9. Other SUD-related metrics | | | |
| 9.1 Metric trends | | | |
| 9.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to other SUD-related metrics | X | | Data on other SUD-related metrics is currently in development. The state intends to report the required metrics in future quarterly reports. |
| 9.2 Implementation update | | | |
| 9.2.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to other SUD-related metrics | X | | |

4. Narrative information on other reporting topics

| Prompts | State has no update to report (Place an X) | State response |
|---|--|----------------|
| 10. Budget neutrality | | |
| 10.1 Current status and analysis | | |
| 10.1.1 If the SUD component is part of a broader demonstration, the state should provide an analysis of the SUD-related budget neutrality and an analysis of budget neutrality as a whole. Describe the current status of budget neutrality and an analysis of the budget neutrality to date. | X | |
| 10.2 Implementation update | | |
| 10.2.1 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect budget neutrality | X | |

| Prompts | State has no update to report (Place an X) | State response |
|--|--|--|
| 11. SUD-related demonstration operations and policy | | |
| 11.1 Considerations | | |
| 11.1.1 The state should highlight significant SUD (or if broader demonstration, then SUD-related) demonstration operations or policy considerations that could positively or negatively affect beneficiary enrollment, access to services, timely provision of services, budget neutrality, or any other provision that has potential for beneficiary impacts. Also note any activity that may accelerate or create delays or impediments in achieving the SUD demonstration's approved goals or objectives, if not already reported elsewhere in this document. See report template instructions for more detail. | | To comply with the requirements related to enhanced FMAP authorized by the Families First Coronavirus Response Act, for this first quarter, the State of Wisconsin has maintained eligibility for individuals who may have been determined ineligible for Medicaid, with the exception of individuals who voluntarily declined benefits or who are no longer residents of Wisconsin. |
| 11.2 Implementation update | | |
| 11.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 11.2.1.i. How the delivery system operates under the demonstration (e.g. through the managed care system or fee for service) | | The State of Wisconsin originally planned to launch the benefit through the managed care system. Based on input from stakeholders and further consideration by the policy team, the benefit launched on 2/1/2021 as a fee-for-service benefit. |
| 11.2.1.ii. Delivery models affecting demonstration participants (e.g. Accountable Care Organizations, Patient Centered Medical Homes) | X | |
| 11.2.1.iii. Partners involved in service delivery | X | |
| 11.2.2 The state experienced challenges in partnering with entities contracted to help implement the demonstration (e.g., health plans, credentialing vendors, private sector providers) and/or noted any performance issues with contracted entities | X | |
| 11.2.3 The state is working on other initiatives related to SUD or OUD | | Separate from this demonstration project, the state launched a pilot SUD health home project as of 7/1/2021. The pilot program is geographically limited to 8 counties and 4 tribes. |

Medicaid Section 1115 SUD Demonstrations Monitoring Report – Part B Version 3.0
 [Wisconsin] [BadgerCare Reform]

| Prompts | State has no update to report (Place an X) | State response |
|--|--|---|
| 11.2.4 The initiatives described above are related to the SUD or OUD demonstration (The state should note similarities and differences from the SUD demonstration) | | Some members receiving SUD health home services via the pilot may be referred for residential SUD treatment, which may modestly increase treatment utilization and compliance. Health home services and residential SUD treatment are non-duplicative. |
| 12. SUD demonstration evaluation update | | |
| 12.1 Narrative information | | |
| 12.1.1 Provide updates on SUD evaluation work and timeline. The appropriate content will depend on when this report is due to CMS and the timing for the demonstration. There are specific requirements per Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) for annual reports. See report template instructions for more details. | | As of August 2020, the evaluation of Wisconsin's Medicaid § 1115 waiver proceeds according to the workplan, but has required various adjustments due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The Wisconsin Medicaid program, with the federal and state public health emergency (PHE), delayed or suspended several of the provisions attached to the waiver. As well, the circumstances of the PHE have required other adjustments to data collection. |
| 12.1.2 Provide status updates on deliverables related to the demonstration evaluation and indicate whether the expected timelines are being met and/or if there are any real or anticipated barriers in achieving the goals and timeframes agreed to in the STCs | | As of August 2020, the evaluation of Wisconsin's Medicaid § 1115 waiver proceeds according to the workplan, but has required various adjustments due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The Wisconsin Medicaid program, with the federal and state public health emergency (PHE), delayed or suspended several of the provisions attached to the waiver. As well, the circumstances of the PHE have required other adjustments to data collection. |
| 12.1.3 List anticipated evaluation-related deliverables related to this demonstration and their due dates | | |
| 13. Other demonstration reporting | | |
| 13.1 General reporting requirements | | |
| 13.1.1 The state reports changes in its implementation of the demonstration that might necessitate a change to approved STCs, implementation plan, or monitoring protocol | X | |

| Prompts | State has no update to report (Place an X) | State response |
|--|--|--|
| 13.1.2 The state anticipates the need to make future changes to the STCs, implementation plan, or monitoring protocol, based on expected or upcoming implementation changes | X | |
| 13.1.3 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 13.1.3.i. The schedule for completing and submitting monitoring reports | | The state of Wisconsin plans to submit the required metrics as agreed upon in the SUD monitoring protocol. |
| 13.1.3.ii. The content or completeness of submitted reports and/or future reports | X | |
| 13.1.4 The state identified real or anticipated issues submitting timely post-approval demonstration deliverables, including a plan for remediation | X | |
| 13.2 Post-award public forum | | |
| 13.2.2 If applicable within the timing of the demonstration, provide a summary of the annual post-award public forum held pursuant to 42 CFR § 431.420(c) indicating any resulting action items or issues. A summary of the post-award public forum must be included here for the period during which the forum was held and in the annual report. | X | |

| Prompts | State has no update to report (Place an X) | State response |
|---|--|--|
| 14. Notable state achievements and/or innovations | | |
| 14.1 Narrative information | | |
| 14.1.1 Provide any relevant summary of achievements and/or innovations in demonstration enrollment, benefits, operations, and policies pursuant to the hypotheses of the SUD (or if broader demonstration, then SUD related) demonstration or that served to provide better care for individuals, better health for populations, and/or reduce per capita cost. Achievements should focus on significant impacts to beneficiary outcomes. Whenever possible, the summary should describe the achievement or innovation in quantifiable terms, e.g., number of impacted beneficiaries. | | During the first six months of the benefit, over 1600 members had approved prior authorizations for residential SUD treatment. |

*The state should remove all example text from the table prior to submission.

Note: Licensee and states must prominently display the following notice on any display of Measure rates:

Measures IET-AD, FUA-AD, FUM-AD, and AAP [Metrics #15, 17(1), 17(2), and 32] are Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS®) measures that are owned and copyrighted by the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA). HEDIS measures and specifications are not clinical guidelines, do not establish a standard of medical care and have not been tested for all potential applications. The measures and specifications are provided “as is” without warranty of any kind. NCQA makes no representations, warranties or endorsements about the quality of any product, test or protocol identified as numerator compliant or otherwise identified as meeting the requirements of a HEDIS measure or specification. NCQA makes no representations, warranties, or endorsement about the quality of any organization or clinician who uses or reports performance measures and NCQA has no liability to anyone who relies on HEDIS measures or specifications or data reflective of performance under such measures and specifications.

The measure specification methodology used by CMS is different from NCQA’s methodology. NCQA has not validated the adjusted measure specifications but has granted CMS permission to adjust. A calculated measure result (a “rate”) from a HEDIS measure that has not been certified via NCQA’s Measure Certification Program, and is based on adjusted HEDIS specifications, may not be called a “HEDIS rate” until it is audited and designated reportable by an NCQA-Certified HEDIS Compliance Auditor. Until such time, such measure rates shall be designated or referred to as “Adjusted, Uncertified, Unaudited HEDIS rates.”