# **Table of Contents**

**State/Territory Name: IA** 

State Plan Amendment (SPA) #: 18-0014

This file contains the following documents in the order listed:

- 1) Approval Letter
- 2) Summary Form (with 179-like data)
- 3) Approved SPA Pages

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services 601 East 12<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 355 Kansas City, Missouri 64106



#### Division of Medicaid and Children's Health Operations

November 8, 2018

Michael Randol, Medicaid Director Division of Medical Services Department of Human Services Iowa Medicaid Enterprise 100 Army Post Road Des Moines, IA 50315

Dear Mr. Randol:

On August 30, 2018, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) received Iowa's State Plan Amendment (SPA) Transmittal #18-0014. The purpose of the SPA is to implement changes to Iowa's 1915(i) State Plan Home and Community-Based Services (HCBS) program, by updating the performance measures to align with the measures found in the state's 1915(c) HCBS waivers.

SPA #18-0014 was approved on November 5, 2018, with an effective date of October 1, 2018, as requested by the state. Enclosed is a copy of the CMS-179 summary form, as well as the approved pages (pp. 44-62C of Attachment 3.1-C) for incorporation into the Iowa State Plan.

If you have any questions regarding this amendment, please contact Laura D'Angelo at (816) 426-5925.

Sincerely,

11/8/2018

James G. Scott Associate Regional Administrator for Medicaid and Children's Health Operations

Signed by: James G. Scott -A

cc:

Mikki Stier, Deputy Director, Iowa DHS Jennifer Steenblock, IME Alisa Horn, IME LeAnn Moskowitz, IME Sandra Levels, DMCHO

OCH TERRO FOR MEDIOARE & MEDIOARD SERVICES	
TRANSMITTAL AND NOTICE OF APPROVAL	1. TRANSMITTAL NUMBER 2. STATE
STATE PLAN MATERIAL	1 8 — 0 1 4 IOWA
FOR: CENTERS FOR MEDICARE & MEDICAID SERVI	3. PROGRAM IDENTIFICATION: TITLE XIX OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT (MEDICAID)
TO: REGIONAL ADMINISTRATOR	4. PROPOSED EFFECTIVE DATE
CENTERS FOR MEDICARE & MEDICAID SERVICES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES	October 1, 2018
5. TYPE OF PLAN MATERIAL (Check One)	
	ONSIDERED AS NEW PLAN
	AMENDMENT (Separate transmittal for each amendment)
6. FEDERAL STATUTE/REGULATION CITATION	7. FEDERAL BUDGET IMPACT a. FFY 2018 \$ 0
Federal mandate	b. FFY 2019 \$ 0
8. PAGE NUMBER OF THE PLAN SECTION OR ATTACHMENT	PAGE NUMBER OF THE SUPERSEDED PLAN SECTION     OR ATTACHMENT (If Applicable)
Attachment 3.1-C, pages 44-62 Attachment 3.1-C, pages 62A - 62C (new pages)	Attachment 3.1-C, pages 44-62
•	
10. SUBJECT OF AMENDMENT	
	led HCBS performance measures approved by CMS in
the 1915(c) HD Waiver.	
11. GOVERNOR'S REVIEW (Check One)	
<ul> <li>☑ GOVERNOR'S OFFICE REPORTED NO COMMENT</li> <li>☐ COMMENTS OF GOVERNOR'S OFFICE ENCLOSED</li> <li>☐ NO REPLY RECEIVED WITHIN 45 DAYS OF SUBMITTAL</li> </ul>	OTHER, AS SPECIFIED
12. SIGNATURE OF STATE AGENCY OFFICIAL	16, RETURN TO
	JERRY R FOXHOVEN
13 TYPED NAME Jerry R. Foxhoven	DIRECTOR DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES
14. TITLE DIRECTOR	1305 EAST WALNUT 5TH FLOOR DES MOINES IA 50319-0114
15. DATE SUBMITTED	
8-30-18	
	AL OFFICE USE ONLY  18. DATE APPROVED
17. DATE RECEIVED August 30, 2018	November 5, 2018
	D - ONE COPY ATTACHED
19. EFFECTIVE DATE OF APPROVED MATERIAL	20. SIGNATUDE OF PEGIONAL OFFICIAL
October 1, 2018	
21. TYPED NAME	22. TITLE Associate Regional Administrator for
James G. Scott	Medicaid and Children's Health Operations
23. REMARKS	
A Company of the Comp	
:	

TN: IA 18-014

Effective: October 1, 2018 Approved: November 5, 2018

Attachment 3.1-C

Page 44

Discovery Activities				Remediation		
Requirement	Discovery Evidence	Discovery	Monitoring		Remediation Responsibilities	
****	(Performance	Activity (Source of	Responsibilities	Frequency	(Who corrects, analyzes, and aggregates	Frequency
	Measures)	Data & sample size)	(Agency or entity		remediation activities; required	(Analysis and
			that conducts		timeframes for remediation)	Aggregation)
			discovery			
			activities)			
Service plans	SP-1: The IME shall	Member service plans	State Medicaid	Data is	The MCO ensures that the Case	Data is
address assessed	measure the number	are reviewed at a 95%	Agency &	Collected	Manager, Community-based Case	Aggregated
needs of 1915(i)	and percent of service	confidence level on a	Contracted	Monthly	Manager or Integrated Health Home	and Analyzed
participants, are	plans that accurately	three-year cycle. Data	Entity (Including		Care Coordinator has addressed the	Quarterly
updated annually,	reflect the member's	is inductively analyzed	MCOs)		member's health and safety needs in the	
and document	assessed needs. The	and reported to the			member's service or treatment plan.	
choice of services	assessed needs must	state.				
and providers.	include, at a minimum,				The Medical Services Unit utilizes	
	personal goals, health				criteria to grade each reviewed service	
	risks, and safety risks.	The Medical Services			plan component. If it is determined that	
		Unit utilizes criteria to			the service plan does not meet the	
	Numerator = # of	grade each reviewed			standards for component(s), the case	
	service plans that	service plan			manager is notified of deficiency and	
	address all member	component. If it is			expectations for remediation. MCOs are	
	assessed needs	determined that the			responsible for oversite of service plans	
	including health and	service plan does not			for their members.	
	safety risks, and	meet the standards for			The HCDS Oscalitas Oscarai alta Haita haa	
	personal goals.	component(s), the case			The HCBS Quality Oversight Unit has identified questions and answers that	
	Denominator = # of	manager is notified of deficiency and			demand additional attention. These	
	reviewed service plans	expectations for			questions are considered urgent in nature	
	reviewed service plans	remediation. MCOs are			and are flagged for follow-up. Based on	
		responsible for oversite			the responses to these flagged questions,	
		of service plans for			the HCBS interviewer performs	
		their members.			education to the member at the time of	
		then members.			the interview and requests additional	
		The HCBS Quality			information and remediation from the	
		Oversight Unit has			case manager.	
		identified questions and			cuso munuger.	
		answers that demand			General methods for problem correction	
		additional attention.			at a systemic level include informational	
		These questions are			letters, provider training, collaboration	
		considered urgent in			with stakeholders and changes in policy.	

State: Iowa §1915(i) State plan HCBS

TN: IA 18-014

Effective: October 1, 2018 Approved: November 5, 2018

Attachment 3.1-C

Page 45

SP-2: The IME measure the num and percent of so plans which are on or before the member's annua date.  Numerator = # oservice plans up prior to due date  Denominator = # service plans rev	confidence level on a three-year cycle. Data is inductively analyzed and reported to the state.  See SP-1 Above  f dated;  drop of three-year cycle. Data is inductively analyzed and reported to the state.  See SP-1 Above	State Medicaid Agency & Contracted Entity (Including MCOs)	Data is Collected Monthly	See SP-1 Above	Data is Aggregated and Analyzed Quarterly
SP-3 The IME we measure the number and percent of so plans which were	are reviewed at a 95% confidence level on a three-year cycle. Data	Agency & Contracted Entity (Including MCOs)	Collected Monthly	Sec 51-1 Above	Aggregated and Analyzed Quarterly

State: Iowa §1915(i) State plan HCBS

TN: IA 18-014

Effective: October 1, 2018

Approved: November 5, 2018

Attachment 3.1-C

Page 46

revised when warranted by a change in the member's needs.  Numerator = # of service plans updated or revised when warranted by changes to the member's needs.  Denominator = # of reviewed service plans  SP-4: The IME will measure the # and percent of members' service plans that identify all the following elements; amount, duration, and funding sources of all services and all services authorized in the service plan were provided as verified by supporting documentation.  Numerator: # members receiving services authorized in their service plan;	and reported to the state. See SP-1 Above  Member service plans are reviewed at a 95% confidence level on a three-year cycle. Data is inductively analyzed and reported to the state.  See SP-1 Above	State Medicaid Agency & Contracted Entity (Including MCOs)	Data is Collected Monthly	See SP-1 Above	Data is Aggregated and Analyzed Quarterly
Denominator = # of service plans reviewed.					
SP-5: The IME will measure the number and percentage of members from the HCBS IPES who	Member service plans are reviewed at a 95% confidence level on a three-year cycle. Data is inductively analyzed and reported to the	State Medicaid Agency & Contracted Entity (Including MCOs)	Data is Collected Monthly	See SP-1 Above	Data is Aggregated and Analyzed Quarterly

State: Iowa §1915(i) State plan HCBS

TN: IA 18-014

Effective: October 1, 2018

Approved: November 5, 2018

Attachment 3.1-C

Page 47

	responded that they had a choice of services  Numerator = # of IPES respondents who stated that they were a part of planning their services;  Denominator = # of IPES respondents that answered the question asking if they were a part of planning their services.  SP-6: The IME will measure the number and percentage of service plans from the HCBS QA survey review that indicated the member had a choice of providers.  Numerator: The total number of service plans reviewed which demonstrate choice of HCBS service providers;  Denominator: The total number of service plans reviewed	Member service plans are reviewed at a 95% confidence level on a three-year cycle. Data is inductively analyzed and reported to the state.  See SP-1 Above	State Medicaid Agency & Contracted Entity (Including MCOs	Data is Collected Monthly	See SP-1 Above	Data is Aggregated and Analyzed Quarterly
Providers meet	QP-1: The IME will	Encounter data, claims	Contracted	Data is	Contracted Entities (Including MCOs)	Data is
required qualifications.	measure the number and percent of licensed	data and enrollment information out of ISIS.	Entity (Including	Collected	manage the provider networks and do not enroll providers who cannot meet the	Aggregated and Analyzed
1	or certification waiver	All MCO HCBS	MCOs)	Monthly	required qualifications.	Quarterly
	provider enrollment	providers must be			1	
	applications verified	enrolled as verified by			If it is discovered by Provider Services	

TN: IA 18-014

Effective: October 1, 2018 Approved: November 5, 2018

Attachment 3.1-C

Page 48

Supersedes: IA-17-003

against the appropriate licensing and/or certification entity.

Numerator = # and percent of waiver

Numerator = # and percent of waiver providers verified against appropriate licensing and/or certification entity prior to providing services.

Denominator = # of licensed or certified waiver providers.

the IME Provider services.

The IME Provider Services unit is responsible for review of provider licensing, certification, background checks of relevant providers, and determining compliance with provider service and business requirements prior to initial enrollment and reenrollment. All MCO providers must be enrolled as verified by IME Provider Services.

The Home and Community Based Services (HCBS) quality oversight unit is responsible for reviewing provider records at a 100% level over a three to five year cycle, depending on certification or accreditation. If it is discovered that providers are not adhering to provider training requirements, a corrective action plan is implemented. If corrective action attempts do not correct

Unit during the review that the provider is not compliant in one of the enrollment and reenrollment state or federal provider requirements, the provider is required to correct deficiency prior to enrollment or reenrollment approval. Until the provider makes these corrections, they are ineligible to provide services to waiver members. All MCO providers must be enrolled as verified by IME Provider Services, so if the provider is no longer enrolled by the IME then that provider is no longer eligible to enroll with an MCO.

If it is discovered during HCBS Quality Oversight Unit review that providers are not adhering to provider training requirements, a corrective action plan is implemented. If corrective action attempts do not correct noncompliance, the provider is sanctioned for noncompliance and eventually disenrolled or terminated is noncompliance persists.

General methods for problem correction at a systemic level include informational letters, provider trainings, collaboration with stakeholders and required changes in individual provider policy. State: Iowa §1915(i) State plan HCBS Attachment 3.1-C TN: IA 18-014 Page 49

Effective: October 1, 2018 Approved: November 5, 2018 Supersedes: IA-17-003

	noncompliance, the provider is sanctioned for noncompliance and eventually disenrolled or terminated if noncompliance persists.  Data is inductively analyzed at a 100% level.				
QP-2: The IME will measure the total number and percent of providers, that meet training requirements as outlined in State regulations.  Numerator = # of reviewed HCBS providers which did not have a corrective action plan issued related to training;  Denominator = # of HCBS waiver providers that had a certification or periodic quality assurance review.	OnBase and MCO reports are used to retrieve data associated with the number reviewed providers who meet training requirements. Data is inductively analyzed of 100% sample spread over 5 years.  The IME Provider Services unit is responsible for review of provider licensing, certification, background checks of relevant providers, and determining compliance with provider service and business requirements prior to initial enrollment and reenrollment.  All MCO providers must be enrolled as verified by IME Provider Services.	Contracted Entity (Including MCOs)	Data is Collected Continuously and Ongoing	See QP-1 Above	Data is Aggregated and Analyzed Quarterly

State: Iowa

§1915(i) State plan HCBS

Attachment 3.1-C Page 50

TN: IA 18-014 Effective: October 1, 2018

Approved: November 5, 2018

1						
		The Home and Community Based Services (HCBS) quality oversight unit is responsible for reviewing provider records at a 100% level over a three to five year cycle, depending on certification or accreditation. If it is discovered that providers are not adhering to provider training requirements, a corrective action plan is implemented. If corrective action attempts do not correct noncompliance, the provider is sanctioned for noncompliance and eventually disenrolled or terminated if				
Settings meet the home and community-based setting requirements as specified in this SPA and in accordance with 42 CFR 441.710(a)(1) and (2).	SR-1: Number and percent of service plans which indicate that the member resides in a setting that meets the HCB setting requirements.  Numerator: The total number of service plans reviewed which indicate that the member resides in a setting that meets the HCB setting	noncompliance persists.  Member service plans are reviewed annually, and more frequently as member needs require, at a 95% confidence level on a three-year cycle. Data is inductively analyzed and reported to the state.	Contracted Entity (Including MCOs)	Data is Collected Continuously and Ongoing	Contracted Entities (Including MCOs) ensure that Case Managers or Integrated Health Home Care Coordinators have addressed the member's health and safety risks during service authorization. The IME Medical Services Unit completes the QA Service Plan Desk Review within 10 days of receipt of the information from the member's HCB service provider(s) and the Case Manager or IHH Care Coordinator. The IME Medical Services Unit will send the review results to the MCO and the Case Manager or Integrated Health Home	Data is Aggregated and Analyzed Quarterly

TN: IA 18-014

Effective: October 1, 2018 Approved: November 5, 2018

Attachment 3.1-C

Page 51

	requirements  Denominator: The total number of service plans reviewed.				Coordinator within 2 business days of completing the review.	
	SR-2: Number and percent of service plans which indicate that the member is receiving services in a setting that meets the HCB setting requirements.  Numerator: The total number of service plans reviewed which indicate that the member resides in a setting that meets the HCB setting requirements  Denominator: The total number of service plans reviewed.	Member service plans are reviewed annually, and more frequently as member needs require, at a 95% confidence level on a three-year cycle. Data is inductively analyzed and reported to the state.	Contracted Entity (Including MCOs)	Data is Collected Continuously and Ongoing	Contracted Entities (Including MCOs) ensure that Case Managers or Integrated Health Home Care Coordinators have addressed the member's health and safety risks during service authorization. The IME Medical Services Unit completes the QA Service Plan Desk Review within 10 days of receipt of the information from the member's HCB service provider(s) and the Case Manager or IHH Care Coordinator. The IME Medical Services Unit will send the review results to the MCO and the Case Manager or Integrated Health Home Coordinator within 2 business days of completing the review.	Data is Aggregated and Analyzed Quarterly
The SMA retains authority and responsibility for program operations and oversight.	AA-1: The IME shall measure the number and percent of required MCO HCBS Performance Measure Quarterly reports that are submitted timely.  Numerator: # of MCO HCBS Performance Measure Quarterly Reports submitted timely	Contracted Entity and MCO performance monitoring.  Data is inductively analyzed at a 100% level. Through the Bureau of Managed Care each MCO is assigned state staff as the contract manager; and other state staff are	State Medicaid Agency and Contracted Entity (Including MCOs	Data is reported Monthly	Each MCO contract manager is responsible for ensuring that the MCO submits reports timely. If the contract manager, or policy staff as a whole, discovers and documents a repeated deficiency in performance of the MCO, a plan for improved performance is developed. In addition, repeated deficiencies in contractual performance may result in a withholding of payment compensation.  General methods for problem correction	Data is Aggregated and Analyzed Quarterly

State: Iowa §1915(i) State plan HCBS

TN: IA 18-014

Effective: October 1, 2018

Approved: November 5, 2018

Attachment 3.1-C

Page 52

Denominator: # of MCO HCBS Performance Measure Quarterly reports due in a calendar quarter.	assigned to aggregate and analyze MCO data. This staff oversees the quality and timeliness of monthly reporting requirements.  Whenever data is late or missing the issues are immediately addressed by each MCO account manager to the respective MCO.			include revisions to state contract terms based on lessons learned.	
AA-2: The IME shall measure the number and percent of months in a calendar quarter that each MCO reported all HCBS PM data measures.  Numerator = # of months each MCO entered all required HCBS PM data;	Contracted Entity performance monitoring.  Data is inductively analyzed at a 100% level.  See AA-1 Above	State Medicaid Agency and Contracted Entity (Including MCOs	Data is Collected Monthly	See AA-1 Above	Data is Aggregated and Analyzed Quarterly
Denominator = # of reportable HCBS PM months in a calendar quarter					

TN: IA 18-014

Effective: October 1, 2018 Approved: November 5, 2018

Attachment 3.1-C

Page 53

The SMA maintains financial accountability through payment of claims for services that are authorized and furnished to 1915(i) participants by qualified providers.	FA-1: The IME will determine the number and percent of FFS reviewed claims supported by provider documentation.  Numerator = # of reviewed paid claims where documents supports the units of service;  Denominator = # of reviewed paid claims	The Program Integrity (PI) unit requests service documentation from providers and cross-walks with claims. The Program Integrity Unit utilizes an algorithm that establishes providers exceeding the norm rate and unit charged. 100% of these providers are reviewed quarterly.	Contracted Entity (Including MCOs)	Data is Collected Quarterly	When the Program Integrity unit discovers situations where providers are missing documentation to support billing or coded incorrectly, monies are recouped and technical assistance is given to prevent future occurrence.  When the lack of supporting documentation and incorrect coding appears to be pervasive, the Program Integrity Unit may review additional claims, suspend the provider payments; require screening of all claims, referral to MFCU, or provider suspension.	Data is Aggregated and Analyzed Quarterly
					stored in the Program Integrity tracking system and reported to the state on a quarterly basis. If during the review of capitation payments the IME determines that a capitation was made in error, that claim is adjusted to create a corrected payment.	
	FA-2: The IME will determine the number of clean claims that are paid by the managed care organizations within the timeframes specified in the contract  Numerator = # of clean claims that are paid by the managed care organization within the timeframes specified in the contract;  Denominator = # of	100% of MCO claims data is compared to the contractual obligations for MCO timeliness of clean claim payments. Data is provided to the HCBS staff as well as to the Bureau of Managed Care.  Data sources includes; Claims Data, adjudicated claims summary, claims aging summary, and claims lag report	Contracted Entity (Including MCOs)	Data is Collected Quarterly	See FA-1 Above	Data is Aggregated and Analyzed Quarterly

TN: IA 18-014

Effective: October 1, 2018

Approved: November 5, 2018

Attachment 3.1-C

Page 54

Managed Care provider					
claims.					
FA-3: The IME will	See FA-2	Contracted	Data is	See FA-2 Above	Data is
measure the number	See FA-2	Entity (Including	Collected	See FA-2 Above	100 0000 - D
		MCOs)	Quarterly		Aggregated
and percent of claims that are reimbursed		MCOS)	Quarterry		and Analyzed
					Quarterly
according to the Iowa					
Administrative Code					
approved rate					
methodology for the					
services provided.					
Numerator = # of					
reviewed claims paid					
using IME-approved					
rate methodologies;					
rate memodologies,					
Denominator = # of					
reviewed paid claims.					
TO THE PART CLASSIC					
FA-4: The IME will	See FA-2	Contracted	Data is	See FA-2	Data is
measure the number of		Entity	Collected		Aggregated
capitation payments to					
the MCOs that are		(Including	Quarterly		and
made in accordance		MCOs)			Analyzed
with the CMS approved					Quarterly
actuarially sound rate					
methodology.					
Numerator: # of					
Capitation payments					
made to the MCOs at					
the approved rates					
through the CMS					
certified MMIS.					
Denominator: # of					
Denominator: # 01					
capitation payments					

§1915(i) State plan HCBS

Attachment 3.1-C Page 55

Effective: October 1, 2018 Approved:

State: Iowa

TN: IA 18-014

The state identifies, addresses and seeks to prevent incidents of abuse, neglect, and exploitation, including the use of restraints.	HW-1: The IME will measure the total number and percent of IAC-defined major critical incidents requiring follow-up escalation that were investigated.  Numerator = # of critical incidents that received follow-up as required;  Denominator = # of critical incidents requiring follow-up escalation	100% of Incident Reports are reviewed The HCBS Quality Assurance unit and each MCO is responsible for monitoring and analyzing data associated with the major incidents reported for members on waivers. Data is pulled from the data warehouse and from MCO reporting on a regular basis for programmatic trends, individual issues and operational concerns. Reported incidents of abuse, medication error, death, rights restrictions, and restraints are investigated further by the HCBS Incident Reporting Specialist as each report is received. The analysis of this data is presented to the state on a quarterly basis.	Contracted Entity (Including MCOs)	Data is Collected Monthly,	The HCBS Incident Reporting Specialist and each MCO analyzes data for individual and systemic issues. Individual issues require communication with the case manager to document all efforts to remediate risk or concern. If a these efforts are not successful, staff continues efforts to communicate with the case manager, the case manager's supervisor, and protective services when necessary. All remediation efforts of this type are documented in the monthly and quarterly reports.  The HCBS Specialists conducting interviews conduct individual remediation to flagged question/response occurs, the Specialist first seeks further clarification from the member and provides education when necessary. Following the interview, the case manager is notified and information regarding remediation is required within 30 days. This data is stored in a database and reported to the state on a quarterly and annual basis. MCO are responsible for research and follow up to flagged responses.  General methods for problem correction at a systemic level include informational letters, provider trainings, collaboration with stakeholders and changes to provider policy.	Data is Aggregated and Analyzed Quarterly

State: Iowa TN: IA 18-014 §1915(i) State plan HCBS

Attachment 3.1-C

Page 56

Effective: October 1, 2018

Approved: November 5, 2018

TI LICDO 1		
The HCBS provider		
oversight unit, and each		
MCO, is responsible for		
conducting IPES		
interviews with waiver		
members. The IPES		
tool has been expanded		
based on the federal		
PES tool and thought to		
capture a more		
comprehensive view of		
Iowa's waiver		
population needs and		
issues. The IPES tool		
incorporates the seven		
principles of the		
Quality Framework and		
is able to adjust based		
on the member		
interviewed and service		
enrollment. HCBS		
Specialists conduct		
interviews either face-		
to-face or via telephone,		
to the discretion of the		
waiver member. All		
waiver members. All waiver members have		
the right to decline interview. The results		
of these interviews are		
presented to the state on		
a quarterly basis.		

State: Iowa §1915(i) State plan HCBS

TN: IA 18-014

Effective: October 1, 2018 Approved: November 5, 2018

Attachment 3.1-C

Page 57

HW-2 The IME will measure Critical Incident Reports (CRIS) that identify a reportable event of abuse, neglect, exploitation, or unexplained death and were followed upon appropriately.	See HW-1 Above	Contracted Entity (Including MCOs)	Data is Collected Monthly,	See HW-1 Above	Data is Aggregated and Analyzed Quarterly
Numerator = # of CIRs that identified a report was made to DHS protective services and/or appropriate follow up was initiated  Denominator = # of CIRs that identified a reportable event of abuse, neglect, exploitation, and/or					
unexplained death  HW-3: The IME will identify all unresolved critical incidents which resulted in a targeted review and were completed to resolution.  Numerator = # of targeted reviews resulting from an incident which were resolved within 60 days;  Denominator = # of		Contracted Entity (Including MCOs)	Data is Collected Monthly,	See HW-1 Above	Data is Aggregated and Analyzed Monthly, Quarterly and Annually

TN: IA 18-014

Attachment 3.1-C

Page 58

Effective: October 1, 2018 Approved: November 5, 2018

critical incidents that resulted in a targeted review					
HW-4: The IME will measure the total # & % of providers with policies for restrictive measures that are consistent with State and Federal policy and rules, and followed as written.  Numerator = # providers reviewed that have policies for restrictive measures that were implemented as written;  Denominator = total # of providers reviewed that identified having policies for restrictive measures.	Provider's policies and procedures. 100% of all certified and periodic reviews are conducted on a 5 year cycle; at the end of the cycle all providers are reviewed.	Contracted Entity (Including MCOs)	Data is Collected Monthly	The Medical Services Unit utilizes criteria to grade each provider compliance area reviewed. If it is determined that the provider does not meet the standards for member's rights restrictions, the provider is notified of the deficiency and expectations for remediation. Providers submit a corrective action plan for any deficiency identified during the review  General methods for problem correction at a systemic level include informational letters, provider training, collaboration with stakeholders and changes in policy.	Data is Aggregated and Analyzed Quarterly
HW-5 The IME will measure the number and percent of providers meeting state and federal requirements relative to individual waivers.  Numerator = # of Quality Assurance reviews that did not receive a corrective action plan;	Provider's policies and procedures. 100% of all certified and periodic reviews are conducted on a 5 year cycle; at the end of the cycle all providers are reviewed.	Contracted Entity (Including MCOs)	Data is Collected Monthly	The Medical Services Unit utilizes criteria to grade each provider compliance area reviewed. If it is determined that the provider does not meet the standards for member's rights restrictions, the provider is notified of the deficiency and expectations for remediation. Providers submit a corrective action plan for any deficiency identified during the review  General methods for problem correction at a systemic level include informational letters, provider training, collaboration with stakeholders and changes in policy.	Data is Aggregated and Analyzed Quarterly

State: Iowa §1915(i) State plan HCBS

TN: IA 18-014

Effective: October 1, 2018 Approved: November 5, 2018

Attachment 3.1-C

Page 59

An evaluation for 1915(i) State plan HCBS eligibility is provided to all applicants for whom there is reasonable indication that 1915(i) services may be needed in the future.	Denominator = # of provider Quality Assurance Reviews completed.  LC-1: Number and percent of new enrollees who had an evaluation indicating the individual 1915(i) eligible prior to receipt of services.  Numerator: # of needs based eligibility reviews completed prior to the receipt of services  Denominator: # of reviewed needs based eligibility reviews completed prior to the receipt of services	The data informing this performance measure is pulled from ISIS and MCO data  The Medical Services Unit performs internal quality reviews on a representative sample of the 1915(i) eligibility determinations that have been made with a 95% confidence level.	State Medicaid Agency & Contracted Entity (Including MCOs)	Data is collected quarterly	The state's Medical Services Unit performs internal quality reviews of initial and annual 1915(i) eligibility determinations to ensure that the proper criteria are applied. In instances when it is discovered that this has not occurred the unit recommends that the service worker take steps to initiate a new 1915(i) eligibility determination through communication with the member and care coordinator. General methods for problem correction at a systemic level include informational letters, provider trainings, collaboration with stakeholders and changes in policy.	Data is Aggregated and Analyzed Quarterly
An evaluation for 1915(i) State plan HCBS eligibility is provided to all applicants for whom there is reasonable indication that 1915(i) services may be needed in the future.	LC-2: IME will measure the number and percent of needs based eligibility decisions.  Numerator: # of completed needs based eligibility reviews  Denominator: # of referrals for needs based eligibility review	The data informing this performance measure is pulled from ISIS  Reports are pulled and data is inductively analyzed at a 100% level.	State Medicaid Agency & Contracted Entity	Data is collected monthly	See LC-1 above	Data is Aggregated and Analyzed Quarterly

State: Iowa §1915(i) State plan HCBS

TN: IA 18-014

Effective: October 1, 2018 Approved: November 5, 2018

Attachment 3.1-C

Page 60

The processes and instruments described in the approved state plan for determining 1915(i) eligibility are applied appropriately.	LC-3: The IME shall determine the number and percent of initial needs based eligibility decisions that were accurately determined by applying the approved needs based eligibility criterion using standard operating procedures.  Numerator: # of needs based eligibility decisions that were accurately determined by applying the correct criteria as defined in the waiver;  Denominator: # of reviewed needs based eligibility determinations.	The Medical Services Unit performs internal quality reviews on a representative sample of the 1915(i) eligibility determinations that have been made with a 95% confidence level. Data is reported on a quarterly basis and inductively analyzed.  Data for completed needs based eligibility determinations is collected quarterly through reports generated through ISIS, MQUIDS, and OnBase. This data is monitored for trends from an individual and systems perspective to determine in procedural standards. Monthly a random sample of needs based eligibility decisions are selected from each reviewer. IQC activity is completed on the random sample. This level of scrutiny aids in early detection of variance from the stated needs based eligibility criteria	System Improveme	Data is collected Monthly	The state's Medical Services Unit performs internal quality reviews of initial and annual level of care determinations to ensure that the proper criteria are applied. In instances when it is discovered that this has not occurred, the unit undertakes additional training for staff	Data is Aggregated and Analyzed Quarterly
(Describe process for systems improvement as a result of aggregated discovery and remediation activities.)						
Methods for Analyzing Data and Roles and Responsibilities Frequency Method for Evaluating Effectiveness of Sys					eness of System	
Moles and responsibilities 11equency interior for Evaluating Effectiveness of System						

State: Iowa §1915(i) State plan HCBS

TN: IA 18-014

Effective: October 1, 2018 Approved: November 5, 2018

Attachment 3.1-C

Page 61

Prioritizing Need for System Improvement			Changes
State QA/QI system, at a minimum, addresses the following items: (1) health and safety issues of members receiving HCBS services; (2) abuse/neglect/exploitation of members; (3) member access to services; (4) plan of care discrepancies; (5) availability of services; (6) complaints of service delivery; (7) training of providers, case managers, and other stakeholders; (8) emergency procedures; (9) provider qualifications; and (10) member choice.  Based on contract oversight and performance measure implementation, the	The IME is the single state agency that retains administrative authority of Iowa's HCBS services. Iowa remains highly committed to continually improve the quality of services for all HCBS programs. The QIS developed by Iowa stratifies all HCBS services, including the State's 1915(c) waivers and 1915(i) state plan services. Data is derived from a variety of sources including the MCOs, HCBS Provider Quality Oversight databases, site reviews, follow-up compliance reviews, compliant investigations, evaluation reports, member satisfaction surveys, member interviews, and member records.	Data is Collected Continuously and Ongoing	The IME reviews the State QIS system no less than annually. Strategies are continually adapted to establish and sustain better performance through improvements in skills, processes, and products. Evaluating and sustaining progress toward system goals is an ongoing, creative process that has to involve all stakeholders in the system. Improvement requires structures, processes, and a culture that encourage input from members at all levels within the system, sophisticated and thoughtful use of data, open discussions among people with a variety of perspectives, reasonable risktaking, and a commitment to continuous learning. The QIS is often revisited more often than annually due to the dynamic nature of Medicaid policies and regulations, as well as the changing climate of the member and provider communities.

State: Iowa §1915(i) State plan HCBS

TN: IA 18-014

Effective: October 1, 2018 Approved: November 5, 2018

Attachment 3.1-C

Page 61a

Supersedes: IA-17-003

IME holds weekly policy staff and long The IME employs a Quality Assurance term care coordination meetings to Manager to oversee data compilation and remediation activities. The QA Manager and discuss areas of noted concern for State policy staff address oversight of design assessment and prioritization. This can changes and the subsequent monitoring and include discussion of remediation analysis during the weekly policy and monthly activities at an individual level, quality assurance meetings. Prior to dramatic programmatic changes, and operational system design changes, the State will seek the changes that may need to be initiated and assigned to State or contract staff. input of stakeholders and test/pilot changes that are suggested and developed. Informational letters are sent out to all relevant parties prior Contracts are monitored and to implementation with contact information of improvements are made through other inter-unit meetings designed to promote key staff involved. This workflow is programmatic and operational documented in logs and in informational letters transparency while engaging in continued found within the DHS computer server for collaboration and improvement. Further, future reference. Stakeholder involvement and a quality assurance group gathers on a informational letters are requested or sent out monthly basis to discuss focus areas, on a weekly/monthly/ongoing basis as policy ensuring that timely remediation and engages in the continuous quality improvement contract performance is occurring at a cycle. satisfactory level. ISIS will only be utilized for fee-for-service members.

TN: IA 18-014

Effective: October 1, 2018 Approved: November 5, 2018

Attachment 3.1-C

Page 62

Supersedes: IA-17-003

All contracted MCOs are accountable for improving quality outcomes and developing a Quality Management/ Quality Improvement (QM/QI) program that incorporates ongoing review of all major service delivery areas. The QM/QI program must have objectives that are measurable, realistic and supported by consensus among the MCOs' medical and quality improvement staff. Through the QM/QI program, the MCOs must have ongoing comprehensive quality assessment and performance improvement activities aimed at improving the delivery of healthcare services to members. As a key component of its QM/QI program, the MCOs must develop incentive programs for both providers and members, with the ultimate goal of improving member health outcomes.

Finally, MCOs must meet the requirements of 42 CFR 438 Subpart E and the standards of the credentialing body by which the MCO is credentialed in development of its QM/QI program. The State retains final authority to approve the MCOs' QM/QI program.

The State has developed a draft-reporting manual for the MCOs to utilize for many of the managed care contract reporting requirements, including HCBS performance measures. The managed care contract also allows for the State to request additional regular and ad hoc reports.

Based on contract oversight and performance measure implementation, the IME holds weekly policy staff and long term care coordination meetings to discuss areas of noted concern for assessment and prioritization. This can include discussion of remediation activities at an individual level, programmatic changes, and operational changes that may need to be initiated and assigned to State or contract staff. Contracts are monitored and improvements are made through other inter-unit meetings designed to promote programmatic and operational transparency while engaging in continued collaboration and improvement.

Further, a quality assurance group gathers on a monthly basis to discuss focus areas, ensuring that timely remediation and contract performance is occurring at a satisfactory level. Data from QA/QI activities is also presented to the HCBS QA/AI Committee on a quarterly basis. The QA/QI Committee reviews the data makes recommendations for changes in policy to the IME Policy staff and Bureau Chief. The Committee also uses this information to direct HCBS Provider Quality Oversight Specialists to provide training, technical assistance, or other activity. The Committee monitors training and technical assistance activities to assure consistent implementation statewide. The Committee also directs workgroups on specific activities of quality improvement and other workgroups are activated as needed.

TN: IA 18-014

Effective: October 1, 2018 Approved: November 5, 2018

Attachment 3.1-C

Page 62A

Supersedes: NEW

Iowa acknowledges that improvements are necessary to capture data at a more refined level, specifically individual remediation. While each contracting unit utilizes their own electronic tracking system or OnBase (workflow management), further improvements must be made to ensure that there are not preventable gaps collecting individual remediation. The State acknowledges that this is an important component of the system; however the terrain where intent meets the state budget can be difficult to manage.

The IME supports infrastructure development that ensures choice is provided to all Medicaid members seeking services and that these services are allocated at the most appropriate level possible. This will increase efficiency as less time is spent on service/funding allocation and more time is spent on care coordination and improvement. A comprehensive system of information and referrals ensures that all individuals are allowed fully informed choices prior to facility placement.

A comprehensive system of information and referrals shall also be developed such that all individuals are allowed fully informed choices prior to facility placement. Many program integrity and ACA initiatives will assist in system improvements. These include improvements to provider screening at enrollment, tighter sanction rules, and more emphasis on sustaining quality practices.

The Committee is made up of certain HCBS Provider Quality Oversight staff and supervisors, and IME Policy staff. Minutes are taken at each of the meetings, which show evidence that analysis of data is completed and recommendations for remediation and system improvements are made.

Finally, IME analyzes general system performance through the management of contract performance benchmarks, ISIS reports, and Medicaid Value Management reports and then works with contractors, providers, and other agencies regarding specific issues. HCBS Annual Reports are sent to the Iowa Association of Community Care Providers. Reports are also available to agencies, waiver providers, participants, families, and other interested parties upon request.

State: Iowa TN: IA 18-014 §1915(i) State plan HCBS

Attachment 3.1-C

Page 62B

Effective: October 1, 2018

Approved: November 5, 2018

Supersedes: New

In accordance with 42 CFR 438.202, the State maintains a written strategy for assessing and improving the quality of services offered by MCOs including, but not limited to, an external independent review of the quality of, timeliness of, and access to services provided to Medicaid beneficiaries.

MCOs must comply with the standards established by the State and must provide all information and reporting necessary for the State to carry out its obligations for the State quality strategy. IME performs an annual review of each MCO. This is generally conducted at the time of the annual External Quality Review (EQR) and includes a determination of contract compliance, including that for fraud and abuse reporting and training. EQR is performed as federally required, and committee reports are reviewed during an annual visit. The MCO uses its utilization management practices to develop interest in patterns that might lead to investigative actions. All of this is reported to the State and authenticated as it can be used during onsite visits and through regular reports.

Reviews are Conducted Annually The MCO uses its utilization management practices to develop interest in patterns that might lead to investigative actions. All of this is reported to the state and authenticated as it can be used during onsite visits and through regular reports. The Medical Services Unit contractor conducts an annual EQR of each managed care entity to ensure that they are following the outlined QA/QI plan.

In addition to developing QM/QI programs that include regular, ongoing assessment of services provided to Medicaid beneficiaries, MCOs must maintain a QM/QI Committee that includes medical, behavioral health, and longterm care staff, and network providers. This committee is responsible for analyzing and evaluating the result of QM/QI activities, recommending policy decisions, ensuring that providers are involved in the QM/QI program, instituting needed action, and ensuring appropriate follow-up. This committee is also responsible for reviewing and approving the MCOs' QM/QI program description, annual evaluation, and associated work plan prior to submission to DHS.

All contracted MCOs are accountable for improving quality outcomes and developing a Quality Management/Quality Improvement (QM/QI) program that incorporates ongoing review of all major service delivery areas.

MCO QM/QI programs must have objectives that are measurable, realistic, and supported by consensus among the MCOs' medical and quality improvement staff. Through the QM/QI program, the MCOs must have ongoing comprehensive quality assessment and performance improvement activities aimed at improving the delivery of healthcare services to members. As a key component of its QM/QI program, the MCOs must develop incentive programs for both providers and members, with the ultimate goal of improving member health outcomes. Finally, MCOs must meet the

Reviews are Conducted Annually

The MCO uses its utilization management practices to develop interest in patterns that might lead to investigative actions. All of this is reported to the state an authenticated as it can be used during onsite visits and through regular reports.

State: Iowa

§1915(i) State plan HCBS

Attachment 3.1-C Page 62C

TN: IA 18-014

Effective: October 1, 2018 Approved: November 5, 2018

New

	requirements of 42 CFR 438 subpart D and the standards of the credentialing body by which the MCO is credentialed in development of its QM/QI program. The State retains final authority to approve the MCOs' QM/QI program, and the State Medical Services conducts an annual EQR of each MCO to ensure that they are following the outlined QA/QI plan.		
MCOs must attain and maintain accreditation from the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) or URAC.	If not already accredited, the MCO must demonstrate it has initiated the accreditation process as of the MCO's contract effective date. The MCO must achieve accreditation at the earliest date allowed by NCQA or URAC. Accreditation must be maintained throughout the life of the MCO's contract at no additional cost to the State. When accreditation standards conflict with the standards set forth in the MCO's contract, the contract prevails unless the accreditation standard is more stringent.  MCOs must meet the requirements of 42 CFR 438 subpart D and the standards of the credentialing body by which the MCO is credentialed.	Reviews are Conducted Every Three Years	NCQA and URAC publically report summarized plan performance, as well as accreditation type, accreditation expiration date, date of next review and accreditation status for all NCQA accredited plans in a report card available on the NCQA website. This report card provides a summary of overall plan performance on a number of standards and measures through an accreditation start rating comprised of five categories (access and service, qualified providers, staying health, getting better, living with illness).